Using System-Specific Compiler Extensions to Find Errors in Systems Code

> Dawson Engler Ben Chelf, Andy Chou, Seth Hallem Stanford University

Checking systems software

- Systems software has many ad-hoc restrictions:
 "acquire lock L before accessing shared variable X"
 "do not allocate large variables on 6K kernel stack"
- Error = crashed system. How to find errors?
 - Formal verification
 - + rigorous
 - costly + expensive. *Very* rare to do for software Testing:
 - + simple, few false positives
 - requires running code: doesn't scale & can be impractical

Manual inspection

- + flexible
- erratic & doesn't scale well.

What to do??

Another approach

- Observation: rules can be checked with a compiler scan source for "relevant" acts check if they make sense E.g., to check "disabled interrupts must be re-enabled:" scan for calls to disable()/enable(), check that they match, not done twice
- Main problem:
 - compiler has machinery to automatically check, but not knowledge
 - implementor has knowledge but not machinery
- Meta-level compilation (MC):
 - give implementors a framework to add easily-written, system-specific compiler extensions

Meta-level compilation (MC)

Implementation:

Extensions dynamically linked into GNU g++ compiler
Applied down all paths in input program source
E.g. 64-line extension to check disable/disable (82 bugs)

Linux: raid5.c save(flags); cli(); if(!(buf = alloc())) return NULL; restore(flags); return buf:

"did not re-enable interrupt chk interrupts!"

 Static detection of real errors in real systems:
 600+ bugs in Linux, OpenBSD, FLASH, Xok exokernel most extensions < 100 lines, written by system outsiders

A bit more detail { #include "linux-includes.h" } Is . sm chk_interrupts { enable decl { unsigned } flags; // named patterns disable pat enable = { sti(); } | { restore_flags(flags); }; pat disable = { cli(); }; is_enabled: disable ==> is_disabled | enable ==> { err("double enable"); } is_disabled: enable ==> is_enabled | disable ==> { err("double disable"); | \$end of path\$ ==> { err("exiting w/intr disabled!"); }

"X before Y" rule: system call pointers ◆ Applications are evil OS much check all input pointers before use one missing check = security hole Each input ptr P MC checker: Bind syscall ptr's to "tainted" state copyin(p), copyout(p) .tainte tainted vars only touched w/ "safe" routines heck(p) or: explicit check to make "clean" /* from sys/kern/disk.c */ int sys_disk_request(... struct buf *reqbp, u_int k) { use(p) /* bypass for direct scsi commands */ if (reqbp->b_flags & B_SCSICMD) return sys_disk_scsicmd (sn, k, reqbp);

Deriving specification from common usage

- Problem: difficult to specify all user pointers so:see what code usually does, deviations probably errors if ever pass ptr to paranoid routine, make sure always do
- Found 5 security errors in Linux.

Canonical example: hole in an "ioctl" routine for some obscure device driver.

```
/* drivers/usb/evdev.c */
static int evdev_ioctl(..., unsigned long arg) {
    switch (cmd) {
     case EVIOCGVERSION:
        return put_user(EV_VERSION, (__u32 *) arg);
      case EVIOCGID: /* copy_to_user(to, from)! */
        return copy_to_user(&dev->id, (void *) arg,
                        sizeof(struct input_id));
```

Kernel alloc/dealloc rules

- Must check that alloc succeeded
- Must allocate enough space
- Must not use after free()
- Must free alloc'd object on error:

```
/* from drivers/char/tea6300.c */
client = kmalloc(sizeof *client,GFP_KERNEL);
if (!client)
     return -ENOMEM;
tea = kmalloc (sizeof *tea, GFP_KERNEL);
     return -ENOMEM:
MOD_INC_USE_COUNT; /* bonus bug: kmalloc could sleep
```

Stripped-down kernel malloc/free checker

// match any scalar decl { scalar } sz; decl { const int } retv; // match const ints state decl { any_ptr } v; // match any pointer, can bind to a state

// Bind malloc results to "unknown" until observed start: { v = (any)malloc(sz) } ==> v.unknown | { free(v) } ==> v.freed; // can compare in states unknown, null, not_null v.unknown, v.null, v.not_null:

\{\((v = 0)\) \} ==> \true = v.null, \text{false} = v.not_null \\ \{\((v = 0)\) \} ==> \true = v.not_null, \text{false} = v.not_null \\ \\ \{\((v = 0)\) \} ==> \true = v.not_null, \text{false} = v.null; \\ \text{/ Cannot reach error path with unknown or not-null } \\ v.unknown, v.not_null: \{\((v = 0)\) \} ==> \\ \end{array} { if(mgk_int_cst(retv) < 0) err("Error path leak!"); }; // No dereferences of null, freed, or unknown ptrs.

v.null, v.freed v.unknown:

{ *(any *)v } ==> { err("Using ptr illegally!"); };

Some amusing bugs

No check (130 errors, 11 false pos). Worse case

(many uses):
/* include/linux/coda_linux.h:CODA_ALLOC */ ptr = (cast)vmalloc((unsigned long) size); if (ptr == 0) printk("kernel malloc returns 0\n"); memset(ptr, 0, size);

• use after free (14 errors, 3 false pos): 5 cut&paste /* drivers/isdn/pcbit:pcbit_init_dev */

iounmap((unsigned char*)dev->sh_mem); release_mem_region(dev->ph_mem, 4096);

wrong size (2 errors)

/* drivers/parport/daisy.c:add dev:50 */ newdev = kmalloc (GFP_KERNEL, sizeof(struct daisydev));

"In context Y, don't do X": blocking

Linux: if interrupts are disabled, or spin lock held, do not call an operation that could block.

clean

NoBloc

Block call

unlock(I)

nable()

lock(I)

MC checker:

Compute transitive closure of all potentially blocking fn's Hit disable/lock: warn of any calls 123 errors, 8 false pos

/* drivers/net/pcmcia/wavelan_cs.c */ lock_irqsave (&lp->lock, flags); /* 1889 */ switch(cmd) case SIOCGIWPRIV:

if(copy_to_user(wrq->u.data.pointer, ...)) /* 2305 */ ret = -EFAULT;

Example: statically checking assert

 Assert(x) used to check "x" at runtime. Abort if false compiler oblivious, so cannot analyze statically Use MC to build an assert-aware extension

msq.len = 0; line 211:assert failure! assert(msg.len !=0)

Result: found 5 errors in FLASH.

Common: code cutapaste from other context Manual detection questionable: 300-line path explosion between violation and check

General method to push dynamic checks to static

Result overview			
Check	Errors	False pos	LOC
Static assert 5		0	100
Stack check	10+	0	53
Allocation	184	64	60
Blocking	123	8	131
Module race	~75	2	133
Mutex	82	201	64
FLASH	34	69	553
Total(+others) ~545	~226	~1100

Conclusions

- MC goal: make programming much more powerful How: Raise compilation from level of programming language to the "meta level" of the systems implemented in that language
- MC works well in real, heavily tested systems
 We found bugs in every system we've looked at.
 Over 600 bugs in total, many capable of crashing system
 Easily written by people unfamiliar w/ checked system

Currently:

making correctors, using domain-knowledge to extract verifiable specs, deriving errors by usage deviations, performing meta-level optimization...

Conclusions

Meta-level compilation:

Make compilers aggressively system-specific

Easy: digest sentence fragment, write checker/optimizer. Result: Static, precise, immediate error diagnosis

As outsiders found errors in every system looked at Over 600 bugs, many capable of crashing system

Currently:

making correctors, using domain-knowledge to extract verifiable specs, deriving errors by usage deviations, performing meta-level optimization...

Bugs as deviant behavior

One way to find bugs:

have a deep understanding of code semantics, detect when code makes no sense. Hard.

Easier:

see what code usually does: deviations probably bugs x protected by lock(a) 1000 times, by lock(b) once, probably an error

> Find inverses by looking for common pairings More general: derive temporal orderings. Use machine learning to derive more sophisticated patterns?

What to do when static analysis too weak?

- Static analysis works in some cases, not well in others hit undecidable problems with loop termination conditions, data values, pointers,...
- Alternative:

use domain-specific slicing to extract spec from code run through verifier

 Main lever: a little domain knowledge goes a long way e.g., strip out Linux TCP finite-state-machine by keying off of variable "sk->state" Real example: checking FLASH code

Extracting specs from FLASH code

- Embedded sw for cache coherence in FLASH machine errors crash or deadlock machine: can take week to track typical protocol: 18K lines of hairy C code
- Extract specifications from source by simple slicing found 9 errors in code
- despite 5+ years of heavy testing and formal verification!

How?
 Given list of data structure fields and message operations, slice out all relevant operations

 Compose with specification (manual) boilerplate run through Murphi model checker

Levers: aliasing and globals, but in a stylized way that we can mostly ignore. 4 loops in code.

FLASH vs Murphi

```
FLASH HANDLER_GLOBALS(header.nh.len) = LEN_CACHELINE;
if (! HANDLER_GLOBALS(h.hl.Pending)) {
    if (! HANDLER_GLOBALS(h.hl.Dirty)) {
                         ASSERT(!HANDLER_GLOBALS(h.hl.IO));
PI_SEND(F_DATA, F_FREE, F_SWAP,...,...);
                  HANDLER_GLOBALS(h.hl.Local) = 1;
   /* ... deleted 14 lines */
} else {
                         ASSERT(!HANDLER_GLOBALS(h.hl.List));
                         ASSERT(!HANDLER GLOBALS(h.hl.RealPtrs));
Murphi nh.len := len_cacheline;
              if ((DH.Pending = 0)) then
  if ((DH.Dirty = 0)) then
                        assert(nh.len != len nodata);
                        mbResult := pi_send_func(src, PI_Putt);
DH.Local := 1;
                       assert((DH.List = 0));
```

Checkers into Correctors

- Problem: big system, lots of bugs
 - may not be your system or take too long to fix manually
- Can turn some classes of checkers into correctors:
 - "Do not allocate large variables on kernel stack": if you hit a violation, rewrite code to dynamically allocate var "Do not call blocking memory allocator with interrupts disabled": hoist allocation out

```
tmp = malloc(sizeof *p);
                               __ cli();
p = malloc(sizeof *p);
                                  p = tmp;
sti();
```

"On error paths, rollback side-effects": dynamically track what these are, and reverse.

Interesting: trade dynamic checks for simplicity

MC optimization

- Optimization rules similar to checking:
 - "if data is not shared with interrupt handlers, protect using spin locks rather than interrupt disable/enable "to save an instruction when setting a message opcode, xor it with the new and old (msg.opcode $\hat{}$ = (new $\hat{}$ old));
 - "replace quicksort with radix sort when sorting integers"
- ◆ Common rule: "In situation X, do Y rather than Z":
- "if a variable is not modified, protect using read locks"

and with a few lines: change opt into checker:

read_lock(q->lock); ___ "modifying q with read lock!"

MC analysis vs. traditional compiler analysis

Meaning more apparent + domain-specific knowlege

```
Bigint a, b, c;
set(a, 3);
                                    printf("81");
mul(b, a, a);
mul(c, b, b);
printf("%s", bigint_to_str(c));
```

- Easier to bound side-effects: use knowledge of abstract state to ignore many concrete actions
- Aliasing less of a problem
 - typical: opaque handles vs normal mess of pointers
- Operations more coarse grain
 - read()/write() vs load/store; matrix ops vs +/-