

The Development and Use of the Social Cost of Carbon

Stephanie Waldhoff
Joint Global Change Research Institute

CCI/IA Workshop
22 July 2014

Snowmass, Colorado

Long term research support provided by

- ▶ Understanding the SCC
- ▶ Estimating the SCC
- ▶ History of the SCC in US regulatory analysis
- ▶ Communication of the SCC
- ▶ Research to improve the SCC

Understanding the Social Cost of Carbon

▶ What *is* the SCC?

- SCC is an estimate of the damages of a marginal increase in CO₂ emissions (avoided damages = benefits)
 - It is the net present value of the monetized damages due to a *one-tonne increase* in CO₂ emissions in a specific year
 - SCC reflects consumption damages

▶ What is the SCC *not*?

- The SCC is *not* the price of carbon required to achieve any specific greenhouse gas mitigation policy
- The SCC does not necessarily represent the value at which the costs of a climate change mitigation policy equal the benefits
 - It is a *marginal* value off a business as usual emissions pathway
 - Benefits due to large changes in emissions may not scale linearly
- The SCC does not represent the change in utility due to changes in CO₂ emissions

Understanding the Social Cost of Carbon

- ▶ Ideally a comprehensive measure of climate change damages
 - Specification of damage functions vary across models, but typically include:
 - Changes in net agricultural productivity
 - Net energy demand
 - Mortality due to heat, cold, disease
 - Property damages sea level rise and tropical storms
 - Value of ecosystems
 - Risk of catastrophic impacts
 - Some types of adaptation
 - Net damages generally do not include:
 - Indirect effects (e.g. impacts of ozone due to CH₄ emissions on agriculture and human health)
 - Drought and flooding
 - Ocean acidification
 - Lost labor productivity
 - Utility losses

- ▶ Challenges to estimation of damage functions
 - Extrapolation of damage estimates to high levels of warming
 - Inter-sectoral and inter-regional interactions
 - Potential climate tipping points
 - Cost and effectiveness of adaptation

- ▶ Basic methodology
 - Calculate the temperature effects and consumption under a business as usual socio-economic and emissions pathway over a given time horizon
 - Repeat this calculation under a carbon dioxide “shock” scenario—baseline emissions plus additional unit of carbon dioxide in year t
 - Compute the marginal damages in each year as the difference between the per capita consumption in the baseline and emissions “shock” scenarios
 - Calculate the net present value (discounted to the year of emission) of future damages from the marginal shock of carbon dioxide

- ▶ Estimates of the SCC are very sensitive to a number of parameters
 - Future socio-economic and emissions scenario
 - Climate response
 - Specification of damage functions
 - Spatial and temporal scope
 - Discount rate

History of the SCC in US regulatory analyses

- ▶ EPA and other federal agencies use SCC to estimate benefits of CO₂ reductions from rulemakings
 - Executive Order 12866 directs agencies “to assess both the costs and benefits of the intended regulation....”
 - Ninth Circuit Court (2007): Omission of a valuation on changes in CO₂ emissions from the cost-benefit analysis implicitly treats the value as zero
 - Created a need for a metric to incorporate the value of changes in CO₂ emissions
 - The SCC is an estimate of the benefits of reducing emissions of CO₂, enabling the benefits to be considered in benefit-cost analyses

- ▶ An interagency working group convened in 2009 to promote consistency in the SCC values used by federal agencies
 - Prior to 2008: Changes in CO₂ emissions were not valued in RIAs
 - 2008-2009: SCC estimates varied across agencies
 - 2009: Interim government-wide SCC values, based on existing literature, developed
 - 2009-2010: An interagency working group developed new estimates of the SCC (used in 17+ rules to date)
 - 2013: Updated SCC values

History of the SCC in US regulatory analyses

- ▶ 2009-2010 Interagency working group SCC methodology
 - Global value
 - DICE, FUND, and PAGE
 - Each model given equal weight
 - Monte Carlo analysis with 10,000 runs for each scenario to capture uncertainties
 - Common assumptions across all models
 - Five socio-economic and emissions scenarios given equal weight (IMAGE, MERGE, MESSAGE, and MiniCAM EMF 22 reference scenarios plus the average of the four models' 550 CO₂e)
 - Distribution over climate sensitivity based on Roe and Baker (2007)
 - Discount rates of 2.5%, 3.0%, and 5.0%

Current US SCC estimates:

Social Cost of CO₂, 2015-2050 ^a (in 2011 Dollars)

| Year | Discount Rate and Statistic | | | |
|------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| | 5% Average | 3% Average | 2.5% Average | 3% 95 th percentile |
| 2015 | \$12 | \$39 | \$61 | \$116 |
| 2020 | \$13 | \$46 | \$68 | \$137 |
| 2025 | \$15 | \$50 | \$74 | \$153 |
| 2030 | \$17 | \$55 | \$80 | \$170 |
| 2035 | \$20 | \$60 | \$85 | \$187 |
| 2040 | \$22 | \$65 | \$92 | \$204 |
| 2045 | \$26 | \$70 | \$98 | \$220 |
| 2050 | \$28 | \$76 | \$104 | \$235 |

^a The SCC values are dollar-year and emissions-year specific.
<http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/EPAactivities/economics/scc.1>

Communication of the SCC

- ▶ Communicating what the SCC is designed to be, how it is developed, and the challenges of estimation can be very challenging
- ▶ Purpose of the SCC
 - Intended for regulatory analysis – marginal nature of the estimate
 - Not designed to be used to estimate the benefits of
- ▶ Large range in estimates resulting from many types of uncertainty: scenarios, climate, damages, discounting
- ▶ Reflecting these uncertainties in the USG SCC estimate
 - Models, damages, and scenarios
 - Without objective evidence to prefer one SCC model, or one reference socioeconomic and emissions scenario, over another
 - Treated as equally likely
 - Climate sensitivity
 - Peer reviewed estimates provide PDFs for climate sensitivity
 - Appropriate to weight different levels of CS
 - Discounting
 - A social discount rate reflects societal preferences about damages to future generations
 - Multiple rates (2.5%, 3.0%, and 5.0%) reflect different preferences and are presented separately
 - Shape of the distribution (long tails)
 - SCC estimates have long-tailed distributions
 - Limited presentation of this (only the 95th percentile estimate at a 3% discount rate)
 - Risk neutral treatment

- ▶ Appropriate scale for SCC estimation
 - SCC models have a need for simplicity
 - Regional disaggregation ~ 8-14 regions
 - Global average temperature change
 - Damage estimates could be improved through the use of regional temperature and the inclusion of precipitation changes
 - Design and scale of SCC models is unlikely to be useful for adaptation decision-making

- ▶ Sharing information across research communities
 - Need for increased communication between communities to understand the needs of each and how best to share information
 - Joint workshops can improve communication between research communities
 - Relatively few workshops of this nature (EPA & DOE; NBER)
 - Incorporation of the impacts of catastrophic/extreme events into SCC models requires estimates of these from the IAV literature
 - Some models currently include some representation of catastrophic damages
 - Joint workshops can improve communication between research communities