

Summary points

- Reservoir correlation timescale, coupling timescale (Brownian analogy)
- Assumptions leading to reservoir "force" with zero mean and fast fluctuations
 - σ_R a fixed stationary state that commutes with H_R
 - Linear coupling assumption
 - Dense reservoir spectrum
- Secular approximation

Discussion points

- Lindblad form of the Master Equation
- Non-selective versus selective evolution; quantum trajectories

We have the Interaction Picture Schrödinger Equation, written for the density matrix,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\rho}(t) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\tilde{V}(t), \tilde{\rho}(t)], \\ d\tilde{\rho}(t) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\tilde{V}(t), \tilde{\rho}(t)] dt, \\ \tilde{\rho}(t + \Delta t) - \tilde{\rho}(t) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' [\tilde{V}(t'), \tilde{\rho}(t')].\end{aligned}$$

We can 'iterate' this equation by writing

$$\tilde{\rho}(t') = \tilde{\rho}(t) + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_t^{t'} dt'' [\tilde{V}(t''), \tilde{\rho}(t'')],$$

and inserting this back into the expression for $\Delta\tilde{\rho}(t) \equiv \tilde{\rho}(t + \Delta t) - \tilde{\rho}(t)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\tilde{\rho}(t) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \left[\tilde{V}(t'), \tilde{\rho}(t) + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_t^{t'} dt'' [\tilde{V}(t''), \tilde{\rho}(t'')] \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' [\tilde{V}(t'), \tilde{\rho}(t)] + \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar} \right)^2 \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' [\tilde{V}(t'), [\tilde{V}(t''), \tilde{\rho}(t'')]].\end{aligned}$$

At this point the expression is still exact. We next want to trace over the reservoir degrees of freedom, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\tilde{\sigma}(t) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \text{Tr}_R [\tilde{V}(t') \tilde{\rho}(t) - \tilde{\rho}(t) \tilde{V}(t')] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar} \right)^2 \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \text{Tr}_R [\tilde{V}(t') \tilde{V}(t'') \tilde{\rho}(t'') - \tilde{V}(t'') \tilde{\rho}(t'') \tilde{V}(t')] \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar} \right)^2 \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \text{Tr}_R [\tilde{V}(t'') \tilde{\rho}(t'') \tilde{V}(t') - \tilde{\rho}(t'') \tilde{V}(t'') \tilde{V}(t')].\end{aligned}$$

Under the 'thermodynamic' approximation that the coarse-grained evolution maintains $\tilde{\rho}(t)$ in a factorizable form $\tilde{\rho}(t) = \tilde{\sigma}(t) \otimes \sigma_R$, with σ_R a constant incoherent combination of reservoir energy eigenstates, and recalling Eqs. (B.16-B.18),

$$\tilde{V}(t) = -e^{iH_A t/\hbar} A e^{-iH_A t/\hbar} e^{iH_R t/\hbar} R e^{-iH_R t/\hbar},$$

we have (using cyclic property of the trace)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}_R [\tilde{V}(t') \tilde{\rho}(t)] &= -\text{Tr}_R [e^{iH_A t'/\hbar} A e^{-iH_A t'/\hbar} e^{iH_R t'/\hbar} R e^{-iH_R t'/\hbar} \tilde{\sigma}(t) \otimes \sigma_R] \\ &= -\text{Tr}_R [e^{iH_A t'/\hbar} A e^{-iH_A t'/\hbar} R e^{-iH_R t'/\hbar} \tilde{\sigma}(t) \otimes \sigma_R e^{iH_R t'/\hbar}] \\ &= -\text{Tr}_R [e^{iH_A t'/\hbar} A e^{-iH_A t'/\hbar} \tilde{\sigma}(t) \otimes \sigma_R R] \\ &= -e^{iH_A t'/\hbar} A e^{-iH_A t'/\hbar} \tilde{\sigma}(t) \otimes \text{Tr}[\sigma_R R] \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

by the zero-mean assumption Eq. (B.19) for σ_R . Hence (again with the cyclic property) the first integral vanishes. Looking at the second integral we similarly have ($\tau \equiv t' - t''$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_R[\tilde{V}(t')\tilde{V}(t'')\tilde{\rho}(t'')] &= \text{Tr}_R[\tilde{A}(t')e^{iH_R t'/\hbar} R e^{-iH_R t'/\hbar} \tilde{A}(t'')e^{iH_R t''/\hbar} R e^{-iH_R t''/\hbar} \tilde{\sigma}(t'') \otimes \sigma_R] \\
&= \tilde{A}(t')\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{\sigma}(t'')\text{Tr}[e^{iH_R t'/\hbar} R e^{-iH_R(t'-t'')/\hbar} R e^{-iH_R t''/\hbar} \sigma_R] \\
&= \tilde{A}(t')\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{\sigma}(t'')\text{Tr}[e^{iH_R(t'-t'')/\hbar} R e^{-iH_R(t'-t'')/\hbar} R \sigma_R] \\
&= \tilde{A}(t')\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{\sigma}(t'')\text{Tr}[\tilde{R}(t')\tilde{R}(0)\sigma_R] \\
&= g(\tau)\tilde{A}(t')\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{\sigma}(t''),
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_R[\tilde{V}(t')\tilde{V}(t'')\tilde{\rho}(t'') - \tilde{V}(t')\tilde{\rho}(t'')\tilde{V}(t'')] &= \text{Tr}_R[\tilde{V}(t')\tilde{V}(t'')\tilde{\rho}(t'')] - \text{Tr}_R[\tilde{V}(t')\tilde{\rho}(t'')\tilde{V}(t'')] \\
&= g(\tau)\tilde{A}(t')\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{\sigma}(t'') - g(-\tau)\tilde{A}(t')\tilde{\sigma}(t'')\tilde{A}(t'').
\end{aligned}$$

Looking at the third integral,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_R[\tilde{V}(t'')\tilde{\rho}(t'')\tilde{V}(t')] &= g(\tau)\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{\sigma}(t'')\tilde{A}(t'), \\
\text{Tr}_R[\tilde{\rho}(t'')\tilde{V}(t'')\tilde{V}(t')] &= g(-\tau)\tilde{\sigma}(t'')\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{A}(t'),
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\text{Tr}_R[\tilde{V}(t'')\tilde{\rho}(t'')\tilde{V}(t') - \tilde{\rho}(t'')\tilde{V}(t'')\tilde{V}(t')] = g(\tau)\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{\sigma}(t'')\tilde{A}(t') - g(-\tau)\tilde{\sigma}(t'')\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{A}(t').$$

Putting everything together we are left with

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\tilde{\sigma}(t) &= \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar}\right)^2 \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \{ g(\tau)\tilde{A}(t')\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{\sigma}(t'') - g(-\tau)\tilde{A}(t')\tilde{\sigma}(t'')\tilde{A}(t'') - g(\tau)\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{\sigma}(t'')\tilde{A}(t') + g(-\tau)\tilde{\sigma}(t'')\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{A}(t') \} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar}\right)^2 \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \{ g(\tau)[\tilde{A}(t')\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{\sigma}(t'') - \tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{\sigma}(t'')\tilde{A}(t')] - g(-\tau)[\tilde{A}(t')\tilde{\sigma}(t'')\tilde{A}(t'') - \tilde{\sigma}(t'')\tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{A}(t')] \} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar}\right)^2 \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \{ g(\tau)[\tilde{A}(t'), \tilde{A}(t'')\tilde{\sigma}(t'')] - g(-\tau)[\tilde{A}(t'), \tilde{\sigma}(t'')\tilde{A}(t'')] \},
\end{aligned}$$

and it becomes clear that the only reservoir property that survives into the Master Equation, even non-perturbatively, is the correlation function $g(\tau)$. Note that there is almost a nice double-commutator structure in the integrand, which would work out if $g(\tau)$ were actually symmetric about zero.

With the assumptions made about the reservoir state we can simplify the expression for $g(\tau)$ somewhat:

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\tau) &= \text{Tr}[\tilde{R}(\tau)\tilde{R}(0)\sigma_R] \\
&= \sum_{\mu} \text{Tr}[\tilde{R}(\tau)\tilde{R}(0)p_{\mu}|\mu\rangle\langle\mu|] \\
&= \sum_{\mu} p_{\mu} \text{Tr}[\tilde{R}(\tau)\tilde{R}(0)|\mu\rangle\langle\mu||\mu\rangle\langle\mu|] \\
&= \sum_{\mu} p_{\mu} \text{Tr}[|\mu\rangle\langle\mu|\tilde{R}(\tau)\tilde{R}(0)|\mu\rangle\langle\mu|] \\
&= \sum_{\mu} p_{\mu} \langle\mu|\tilde{R}(\tau)\tilde{R}(0)|\mu\rangle \text{Tr}[|\mu\rangle\langle\mu|] \\
&= \sum_{\mu} p_{\mu} \langle\mu|\tilde{R}(\tau)\tilde{R}(0)|\mu\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\mu, \nu} p_{\mu} \langle\mu|\tilde{R}(\tau)|\nu\rangle\langle\nu|\tilde{R}(0)|\mu\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\mu, \nu} p_{\mu} \langle\mu|e^{iH_R \tau/\hbar} R e^{-iH_R \tau/\hbar}|\nu\rangle\langle\nu|R|\mu\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\mu, \nu} p_{\mu} e^{i\omega_{\mu\nu}\tau} |\langle\mu|R|\nu\rangle|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} = \frac{E_{\mu} - E_{\nu}}{\hbar}.$$

This being the case we find

$$g(-\tau) = g^*(\tau),$$

as advertised. Under the assumption that the states $|\nu\rangle$ are dense we can argue that $g(\tau)$ decays quickly to zero...

To proceed further we use a perturbative expansion of the integral equation for $\Delta\tilde{\rho}(t)$, applying Picard iteration

to the exact

$$\tilde{\rho}(t + \Delta t) = \tilde{\rho}(t) + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' [\tilde{V}(t'), \tilde{\rho}(t')].$$

We have (with the reasonable assumption that the integrand is C^1 on the relevant interval)

$$\tilde{\rho}(s) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\rho}_k(s),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_0(s) &= \tilde{\rho}(t), \\ \tilde{\rho}_1(s) &= \tilde{\rho}(t) + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_t^s dt' [\tilde{V}(t'), \tilde{\rho}_0(t')] \\ &= \tilde{\rho}(t) + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_t^s dt' [\tilde{V}(t'), \tilde{\rho}(t)], \\ \tilde{\rho}_2(s) &= \tilde{\rho}(t) + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_t^s dt' [\tilde{V}(t'), \tilde{\rho}_1(t')] \\ &= \tilde{\rho}(t) + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_t^s dt' [\tilde{V}(t'), \tilde{\rho}(t)] + \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar} \right)^2 \int_t^s dt' \left[\tilde{V}(t'), \int_t^{t'} dt'' [\tilde{V}(t''), \tilde{\rho}(t)] \right] \\ &= \tilde{\rho}(t) + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_t^s dt' [\tilde{V}(t'), \tilde{\rho}(t)] + \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar} \right)^2 \int_t^s dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' [\tilde{V}(t'), [\tilde{V}(t''), \tilde{\rho}(t)]]. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that the first integral vanishes because of the zero mean assumption, and taking $s = t + \Delta t$, we have the second-order approximation

$$\Delta \tilde{\rho}(t) \approx \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar} \right)^2 \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' [\tilde{V}(t'), [\tilde{V}(t''), \tilde{\rho}(t)]].$$

Tracing over the reservoir and dividing both sides by Δt leads to Eq. (B.30):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta \tilde{\sigma}(t)}{\Delta t} &= \frac{1}{\Delta t} \text{Tr}_R [\Delta \tilde{\sigma}(t) \otimes \sigma_R] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \text{Tr}_R [\tilde{V}(t'), [\tilde{V}(t''), \tilde{\rho}(t)]] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \text{Tr}_R [\tilde{V}(t'), [\tilde{V}(t'') \tilde{\rho}(t) - \tilde{\rho}(t) \tilde{V}(t'')]] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \text{Tr}_R [\tilde{V}(t') \tilde{V}(t'') \tilde{\rho}(t) - \tilde{V}(t') \tilde{\rho}(t) \tilde{V}(t'') - \tilde{V}(t'') \tilde{\rho}(t) \tilde{V}(t') + \tilde{\rho}(t) \tilde{V}(t'') \tilde{V}(t')] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \{ \tilde{A}(t') \tilde{A}(t'') \tilde{\sigma}(t) g(\tau) - \tilde{A}(t') \tilde{\sigma}(t) \tilde{A}(t'') g^*(\tau) - \tilde{A}(t'') \tilde{\sigma}(t) \tilde{A}(t') g(\tau) + \tilde{\sigma}(t) \tilde{A}(t'') \tilde{A}(t') g^*(\tau) \} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \{ g(\tau) [\tilde{A}(t') \tilde{A}(t'') \tilde{\sigma}(t) - \tilde{A}(t'') \tilde{\sigma}(t) \tilde{A}(t')] + g^*(\tau) [\tilde{\sigma}(t) \tilde{A}(t'') \tilde{A}(t') - \tilde{A}(t') \tilde{\sigma}(t) \tilde{A}(t'')] \} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \{ g(\tau) [\tilde{A}(t'), \tilde{A}(t'') \tilde{\sigma}(t)] - g^*(\tau) [\tilde{A}(t'), \tilde{\sigma}(t) \tilde{A}(t'')] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tau \equiv t' - t''$, it seems tempting to try to change variables in the integration so that we are integrating over $d\tau$. As shown in Fig. 1 of Chapter IV, the domain of integration begins as the triangle OAB , where OB is the line on which $t'' = t'$. Hence $\tau = 0$ on the line OB , and we see that we could integrate over the same triangle by setting

$$\int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \rightarrow \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \int_0^{t'} d\tau.$$

We next note that since $g(\tau)$ decays quickly to zero, we should not make much of an error by extending the integral over τ from 0 to ∞ . Hence we can write

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{\sigma}(t)}{\Delta t} \approx -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \{ g(\tau) [\tilde{A}(t'), \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) \tilde{\sigma}(t)] - g^*(\tau) [\tilde{A}(t'), \tilde{\sigma}(t) \tilde{A}(t' - \tau)] \},$$

where we swap the order of integrations, which we can now do, in order to match Eq. (B.33).

We next project the Master Equation onto the energy-state basis of H_A :

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_{ab}(t)}{\Delta t} &= \langle a | \frac{\Delta \tilde{\sigma}(t)}{\Delta t} | b \rangle \\
&= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \{ g(\tau) \langle a | [\tilde{A}(t'), \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) \tilde{\sigma}(t)] | b \rangle - g^*(\tau) \langle a | [\tilde{A}(t'), \tilde{\sigma}(t) \tilde{A}(t' - \tau)] | b \rangle \} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \sum_{c,d} \{ g(\tau) \langle a | [\tilde{A}(t'), \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) | c \rangle \langle c | \tilde{\sigma}(t) | d \rangle \langle d | | b \rangle - g^*(\tau) \langle a | [\tilde{A}(t'), | c \rangle \langle c | \tilde{\sigma}(t) | d \rangle \langle d | \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) | | b \rangle \} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \sum_{c,d} \{ g(\tau) \langle a | [\tilde{A}(t'), \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) | c \rangle \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) \langle d | | b \rangle - g^*(\tau) \langle a | [\tilde{A}(t'), | c \rangle \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) \langle d | \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) | | b \rangle \} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \sum_{c,d} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &g(\tau) \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) \langle a | [\tilde{A}(t') \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) | c \rangle \langle d | - \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) | c \rangle \langle d | \tilde{A}(t') | | b \rangle \\ &- g^*(\tau) \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) \langle a | [\tilde{A}(t') | c \rangle \langle d | \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) - | c \rangle \langle d | \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) \tilde{A}(t') | | b \rangle \end{aligned} \right\} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \sum_{c,d} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &g(\tau) \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) [\langle a | \tilde{A}(t') \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) | c \rangle \delta_{bd} - \langle a | \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) | c \rangle \langle d | \tilde{A}(t') | | b \rangle] \\ &- g^*(\tau) \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) [\langle a | \tilde{A}(t') | c \rangle \langle d | \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) | b \rangle - \delta_{ac} \langle d | \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) \tilde{A}(t') | | b \rangle] \end{aligned} \right\} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \sum_{c,d} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &g(\tau) \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) [\delta_{bd} \sum_n \langle a | \tilde{A}(t') | n \rangle \langle n | \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) | c \rangle - e^{i\omega_{ac}(t' - \tau)} e^{i\omega_{db}(t')} A_{ac} A_{db}] \\ &- g^*(\tau) \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) [e^{i\omega_{ac}t'} e^{i\omega_{db}(t' - \tau)} A_{ac} A_{db} - \delta_{ac} \sum_n \langle d | \tilde{A}(t' - \tau) | n \rangle \langle n | \tilde{A}(t') | b \rangle] \end{aligned} \right\} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \sum_{c,d} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &g(\tau) \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) [\delta_{bd} \sum_n e^{i\omega_{an}t'} e^{i\omega_{nc}(t' - \tau)} A_{an} A_{nc} - e^{i\omega_{ac}(t' - \tau)} e^{i\omega_{db}(t')} A_{ac} A_{db}] \\ &+ g^*(\tau) \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) [\delta_{ac} \sum_n e^{i\omega_{dn}(t' - \tau)} e^{i\omega_{nb}t'} A_{dn} A_{nb} - e^{i\omega_{ac}t'} e^{i\omega_{db}(t' - \tau)} A_{ac} A_{db}] \end{aligned} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We next note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp(i\omega_{an}t') \exp(i\omega_{nc}t') &= \exp(i\omega_{ac}t'), \\
\exp(i\omega_{ac}t') \exp(i\omega_{db}t') &= \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})t'), \\
\delta_{bd} \exp(i\omega_{ac}t') &= \delta_{bd} \exp(i\omega_{ac}t') \exp(i\omega_{db}t') = \delta_{bd} \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})t'), \\
\exp(i\omega_{dn}t') \exp(i\omega_{nb}t') &= \exp(i\omega_{db}t'), \\
\delta_{ac} \exp(i\omega_{db}t') &= \delta_{ac} \exp(i\omega_{ac}t') \exp(i\omega_{db}t') = \delta_{ac} \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})t'),
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_{ab}(t)}{\Delta t} = -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})t') \sum_{c,d} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &g(\tau) \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) [\delta_{bd} \sum_n e^{-i\omega_{nc}\tau} A_{an} A_{nc} - e^{-i\omega_{ac}\tau} A_{ac} A_{db}] \\ &+ g^*(\tau) \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) [\delta_{ac} \sum_n e^{-i\omega_{dn}\tau} A_{dn} A_{nb} - e^{-i\omega_{db}\tau} A_{ac} A_{db}] \end{aligned} \right\},$$

and we follow the book by next applying the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})t') &= \frac{1}{\Delta t} \frac{1}{i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})} \{ \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})(t + \Delta t)) - \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})t) \} \\
&= \frac{\exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})t)}{i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})\Delta t} \{ \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})\Delta t) - 1 \} \\
&= \frac{\exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})t)}{i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})\Delta t} \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})\Delta t/2) \{ \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})\Delta t/2) - \exp(-i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})\Delta t/2) \} \\
&= \frac{\exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})t)}{i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})\Delta t} \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})\Delta t/2) 2i \sin((\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})\Delta t/2) \\
&= \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})t) \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})\Delta t/2) \frac{\sin((\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})\Delta t/2)}{(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})\Delta t/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The sinc function factor indicates that the integral will be very small if $|(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})\Delta t|$ is large, meaning that we can safely ignore any terms in which $|\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd}| \gg 1/\Delta t$. If $|\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd}| \ll 1/\Delta t$ then the sinc function and the exponential in Δt are approximately equal to one; by a somewhat roundabout argument (see text, last paragraph of IV.B.4) one can therefore motivate the secular approximation in which we neglect all terms in the sum except those for which $|\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd}| \ll 1/\Delta t$ and approximate the integral over t' accordingly:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_{ab}(t)}{\Delta t} &= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})t) \int_0^\infty d\tau \sum_{c,d}^{(\text{sec})} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &g(\tau) \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) [\delta_{bd} \sum_n e^{-i\omega_{nc}\tau} A_{an} A_{nc} - e^{-i\omega_{ac}\tau} A_{ac} A_{db}] \\ &+ g^*(\tau) \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) [\delta_{ac} \sum_n e^{-i\omega_{dn}\tau} A_{dn} A_{nb} - e^{-i\omega_{db}\tau} A_{ac} A_{db}] \end{aligned} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{c,d}^{(\text{sec})} \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})t) R_{abcd} \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_{abcd} \equiv -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \left\{ g(\tau) \left[\delta_{bd} \sum_n^{(\text{sec})} e^{-i\omega_{nc}\tau} A_{an} A_{nc} - e^{-i\omega_{ac}\tau} A_{ac} A_{db} \right] + g^*(\tau) \left[\delta_{ac} \sum_n^{(\text{sec})} e^{-i\omega_{dn}\tau} A_{dn} A_{nb} - e^{-i\omega_{db}\tau} A_{ac} A_{db} \right] \right\}.$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_{ab}(t) &= \langle a | \exp(iH_A t) \sigma \exp(-iH_A t) | b \rangle = \exp(i\omega_{ab} t) \sigma_{ab}, \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{cd}(t) &= \langle c | \exp(iH_A t) \sigma \exp(-iH_A t) | d \rangle = \exp(i\omega_{cd} t) \sigma_{cd}, \end{aligned}$$

we can fully switch back to the Schrödinger Picture, where we should be careful to note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \sigma_{ab}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \{ \exp(-i\omega_{ab} t) \tilde{\sigma}_{ab}(t) \} \\ &= -i\omega_{ab} \exp(-i\omega_{ab} t) \tilde{\sigma}_{ab}(t) + \exp(-i\omega_{ab} t) \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\sigma}_{ab}(t) \\ &= -i\omega_{ab} \sigma_{ab}(t) + \exp(-i\omega_{ab} t) \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\sigma}_{ab}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by treating the coarse-grained timestep we have been using as a differential, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \sigma_{ab}(t) &= -i\omega_{ab} \sigma_{ab}(t) + \exp(-i\omega_{ab} t) \sum_{c,d}^{(\text{sec})} \exp(i(\omega_{ab} - \omega_{cd})t) R_{abcd} \exp(i\omega_{cd} t) \sigma_{cd} \\ &= -i\omega_{ab} \sigma_{ab}(t) + \sum_{c,d}^{(\text{sec})} R_{abcd} \sigma_{cd}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that the R_{abcd} coefficients have all time variables integrated out, we arrive at the fundamental fact that the Master Equation takes the form of a linear differential equation with time-independent coefficients.

Generally speaking, any Master Equation can be written in the so-called Lindblad form,

$$\dot{\sigma} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H_A, \sigma] + \sum_j \gamma_j \{ 2L_j \sigma L_j^\dagger - L_j^\dagger L_j \sigma - \sigma L_j^\dagger L_j \}.$$

This form points out that for σ viewed as a numerical matrix, the linear differential equation requires both left- and right-multiplication by coefficient matrices L_j (the Lindblad operators). It is straightforward to show that whatever the form of the $\{L_j\}$, one can transform the Master Equation into a vector form

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{v},$$

where \mathbf{v} is a vector containing all the matrix elements of σ (for example, simply stacking the columns of σ on top of one another) and \mathbf{M} is a constant matrix (with dimension equal to the square of the dimension of the \mathbf{A} Hilbert space). In this form it is possible to apply various efficient methods for integrating the Master Equation, or finding a steady-state solution \mathbf{v}_0 such that $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{v}_0 = 0$. In principle, we always have the formal solution

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = \exp(\mathbf{M}t)\mathbf{v}(0),$$

although in practice \mathbf{M} can be too complex to work with analytically and of too large a dimension to permit brute force numerical computation of the exponential. In such cases one can simply resort to numerical integration methods.

In the simple case of a two-level atom coupled to a vacuum field, the textbook arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \sigma_{bb} &= -\Gamma \sigma_{bb}, & \frac{d}{dt} \sigma_{aa} &= \Gamma \sigma_{bb}, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \sigma_{ba} &= -i(\omega_{ba} + \Delta_{ba}) \sigma_{ba} - \frac{\Gamma}{2} \sigma_{ba}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we can write

$$-i(\omega_{ba} + \Delta_{ba}) \sigma_{ba} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H'_A, \sigma], \quad H'_A = \hbar(\omega_{ba} + \Delta_{ba}) |b\rangle\langle b|,$$

and hence

$$\dot{\sigma} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H'_A, \sigma] + \frac{\Gamma}{2} \{ 2L\sigma L^\dagger - L^\dagger L\sigma - \sigma L^\dagger L \},$$

if we set

$$L = |a\rangle\langle b|, \quad L^\dagger L = |b\rangle\langle b|,$$

where L is the atomic lowering operator. To verify,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \sigma_{aa} |a\rangle\langle a| + \sigma_{ab} |a\rangle\langle b| + \sigma_{ba} |b\rangle\langle a| + \sigma_{bb} |b\rangle\langle b|, \\ -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H'_A, \sigma] &= -i(\omega_{ba} + \Delta_{ba}) \{ |b\rangle\langle b| \sigma - \sigma |b\rangle\langle b| \} \\ &= -i(\omega_{ba} + \Delta_{ba}) \{ \sigma_{ba} |b\rangle\langle a| + \sigma_{bb} |b\rangle\langle b| - \sigma_{ab} |a\rangle\langle b| - \sigma_{bb} |b\rangle\langle b| \} \\ &= -i(\omega_{ba} + \Delta_{ba}) \{ \sigma_{ba} |b\rangle\langle a| - \sigma_{ab} |a\rangle\langle b| \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L\sigma L^\dagger &= |a\rangle\langle b| \{ \sigma_{aa}|a\rangle\langle a| + \sigma_{ab}|a\rangle\langle b| + \sigma_{ba}|b\rangle\langle a| + \sigma_{bb}|b\rangle\langle b| \} |b\rangle\langle a| \\
&= \sigma_{bb}|a\rangle\langle a|, \\
L^\dagger L\sigma &= |b\rangle\langle b| \{ \sigma_{aa}|a\rangle\langle a| + \sigma_{ab}|a\rangle\langle b| + \sigma_{ba}|b\rangle\langle a| + \sigma_{bb}|b\rangle\langle b| \} \\
&= \sigma_{ba}|b\rangle\langle a| + \sigma_{bb}|b\rangle\langle b|, \\
\sigma L^\dagger L &= \{ \sigma_{aa}|a\rangle\langle a| + \sigma_{ab}|a\rangle\langle b| + \sigma_{ba}|b\rangle\langle a| + \sigma_{bb}|b\rangle\langle b| \} |b\rangle\langle b| \\
&= \sigma_{ab}|a\rangle\langle b| + \sigma_{bb}|b\rangle\langle b|,
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{i}{\hbar}[H'_A, \sigma] + \frac{\Gamma}{2} \{ L\sigma L^\dagger - L^\dagger L\sigma - \sigma L^\dagger L \} &= -i(\omega_{ba} + \Delta_{ba}) \{ \sigma_{ba}|b\rangle\langle a| - \sigma_{ab}|a\rangle\langle b| \} \\
&\quad + \Gamma \sigma_{bb}|a\rangle\langle a| - \frac{\Gamma}{2} \sigma_{ba}|b\rangle\langle a| - \frac{\Gamma}{2} \sigma_{ab}|a\rangle\langle b| - \Gamma \sigma_{bb}|b\rangle\langle b|,
\end{aligned}$$

and we can read off

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\sigma}_{aa} &= \Gamma \sigma_{bb}, \quad \dot{\sigma}_{bb} = -\Gamma \sigma_{bb}, \\
\dot{\sigma}_{ab} &= i(\omega_{ba} + \Delta_{ba}) \sigma_{ab} - \frac{\Gamma}{2} \sigma_{ab}, \\
\dot{\sigma}_{ba} &= -i(\omega_{ba} + \Delta_{ba}) \sigma_{ba} - \frac{\Gamma}{2} \sigma_{ba},
\end{aligned}$$

in agreement with expectations. Note that the radiative level shift Δ_{ba} simply merges with the ‘bare’ energy difference ω_{ba} in an effective Hamiltonian for A .