Central Limit Theorems and Large Deviations for Additive Functionals of Reflecting Diffusion Processes

Peter W. Glynn and Rob J. Wang

1 Introduction

Reflecting diffusion processes arise as approximations to stochastic models associated with a wide variety of different applications domains, including communications networks, manufacturing systems, call centers, finance, and the study of transport phenomena (see, for example, Chen and Whitt [4], Harrison [8], and Costantini [5]). If $X = (X(t): t \ge 0)$ is the reflecting diffusion, it is often of interest to study the distribution of an additive functional of the form

$$A(t) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \int_0^t f(X(s))ds + \Lambda(t),$$

where f is a real-valued function defined on the domain of X, and $\Lambda = (\Lambda(t): t \ge 0)$ is a process (related to the boundary reflection) that increases only when X is on the boundary of its domain. In many applications settings, the boundary process Λ is a key quantity, as it can correspond to the cumulative number of customers lost in a finite buffer queue, the cumulative amount of cash injected into a firm, and other key performance measures depending on the specific application.

Given such an additive functional $A = (A(t) : t \ge 0)$, a number of limit theorems can be obtained in the setting of a positive recurrent process X.

The Strong Law: Compute the constant α such that

$$\frac{A(t)}{t} \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} \alpha \tag{1}$$

P.W. Glynn (⋈) • R.J. Wang

Department of Management Science and Engineering, Stanford University,

475 Via Ortega, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

e-mail: glynn@stanford.edu; robjwang@stanford.edu

as $t \to \infty$. In the presence of (1), we can approximate A(t) via

$$A(t) \stackrel{\mathscr{D}}{\approx} \alpha t,$$
 (2)

where $\stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\approx}$ means "has approximately the same distribution as" (and no other rigorous meaning, other than that supplied by (1) itself.)

The Central Limit Theorem: Compute the constants α and η such that

$$t^{1/2} \left(\frac{A(t)}{t} - \alpha \right) \Rightarrow \eta N(0, 1) \tag{3}$$

as $t \to \infty$, where \Rightarrow denotes convergence in distribution and N(0, 1) is a normal random variable (rv) with mean 0 and unit variance. When (3) holds, we may improve the approximation (2) to

$$A(t) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\approx} \alpha t + \eta \sqrt{t} N(0, 1) \tag{4}$$

for large t, thereby providing a description of the distribution of A(t) at scales of order $t^{1/2}$ from αt .

Large Deviations: Compute the rate function $(I(x): x \in \mathbb{R})$ for which

$$\frac{1}{t}\log P(A(t) \in t\Gamma) \to -\inf_{x \in \Gamma} I(x) \tag{5}$$

as $t \to \infty$, for subsets Γ that are suitably chosen. Given the limit theorem (5), this suggests the (crude) approximation

$$P(A(t) \in \Gamma) \approx \exp\left(-t \inf_{y \in \Gamma} I(y/t)\right)$$
 (6)

for large t; the approximation (6) is particularly suitable for subsets Γ that are "rare" in the sense that they are more than order \sqrt{t} from αt .

The main contribution of this paper concerns the computation of the quantities α , η , and $I(\cdot)$, when A is an additive functional for a reflecting diffusion that incorporates the boundary contribution Λ . To give a sense of the new issues that arise in this setting, observe that when $\Lambda(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \geq 0$, then α can be easily computed from the stationary distribution π of X via

$$\alpha = \int_{S} f(x)\pi(dx),$$

where S is the domain of X. However, when Λ is non-zero, this approach to computing α does not easily extend. The key to building a suitable computational theory for reflecting diffusions is to systematically exploit the martingale ideas that

(implicitly) underly the corresponding calculations for Markov processes without boundaries; see, for example, Bhattacharyya [2] for a discussion in the central limit setting. In the one-dimensional context, a (more laborious) approach based on the theory of regenerative processes can also be used; see Williams [15] for such a calculation in the setting of Brownian motion. In the course of our development of the appropriate martingale ideas, we will recover the existing theory for non-reflecting diffusions as a special case.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we show how one can apply stochastic calculus and martingale ideas to derive partial differential equations from which the central limit and law of large numbers behavior for additive functionals involving boundary terms can be computed. Section 3 develops the corresponding large deviations theory for such additive functionals. Finally, Sects. 4 and 5 illustrate the ideas in the context of one-dimensional reflecting diffusions.

2 Laws of Large Numbers and Central Limit Theorems

Let S^o be a connected open set in \mathbb{R}^d , with S and ∂S denoting its closure and boundary, respectively. We assume that there exists a vector field $\gamma: \partial S \to \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying

$$\langle \gamma(x), n(x) \rangle > 0$$

for $x \in \partial S$, where n(x) is the unit inward normal to ∂S at x (assumed to exist). Accordingly, $\gamma(x)$ is always "pointing" into the interior of S. Given functions $\mu: S \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sigma: S \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, we assume the existence, for each $x_0 \in S$, of a pair of continuous processes $X = (X(t): t \ge 0)$ and $k = (k(t): t \ge 0)$ (with k of bounded variation) for which

$$X(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t \mu(X(s))ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X(s))dB(s) + k(t), \tag{7}$$
$$X(t) \in S,$$
$$|k|(t) = \int_0^t I(X(r) \in \partial S)d|k|(r),$$

and

$$k(t) = \int_0^t \gamma(X(s))d|k|(s),$$

where $B = (B(t): t \ge 0)$ is a standard \mathbb{R}^d -valued Brownian motion, and |k|(t) is the (scalar) total variation of k over [0, t]; sufficient conditions surrounding existence of such processes can be found in Lions and Snitzman [10]. Note that our formulation

permits the direction of reflection to be oblique. Regarding the structure of the boundary process Λ , we assume that it takes the form

$$\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t r(X(s))d|k|(s),$$

for a given function $r: S \to \mathbb{R}$.

We expect laws of large numbers and central limit theorems to hold with the conventional normalizations only when *X* is a positive recurrent Markov process. In view of this, we assume:

A1: X is a Markov process with a stationary distribution π that is recurrent in the sense of Harris.

Remark. By Harris recurrence, we mean that there exists a non-trivial σ -finite measure ϕ on S for which whenever $\phi(B) > 0$, $\int_0^\infty I(X(s) \in B) ds = \infty$ P_x a.s. for each $x \in S$, where

$$P_x(\cdot) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} P(\cdot \mid X(0) = x).$$

We note that Harris recurrence implies that any stationary distribution must be unique. For a discussion of methods for verification of recurrence in the setting of continuous-time Markov processes, see Meyn and Tweedie [11–13].

The key to developing laws of large numbers and central limit theorems for the additive functional A is to find a function $u: S \to \mathbb{R}$ and a constant α for which

$$M(t) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} u(X(t)) - (A(t) - \alpha t)$$

is a local \mathscr{F}_t -martingale, where $\mathscr{F}_t = \sigma(X(s): 0 \le s \le t)$. In order to explicitly compute u, it is convenient to identify a suitable partial differential equation satisfied by u that can be used to solve for u. Note that if $u \in C^2(S)$, Itô's formula ensures that

$$dM(t) = du(X(t)) - (f(X(t)) - \alpha)dt - r(X(t))d|k|(t)$$

$$= \nabla u(X(t))dX(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} (\sigma \sigma^{T})_{ij}(X(t)) \frac{\partial^{2} u(X(t))}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} dt$$

$$- (f(X(t)) - \alpha)dt - r(X(t))d|k|(t)$$

$$= \nabla u(X(t))(\mu(X(t))dt + \sigma(X(t))dB(t)$$

$$+ \gamma(X(t))d|k|(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} (\sigma \sigma^{T})_{ij}(X(t)) \frac{\partial^{2} u(X(t))}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} dt$$

$$- (f(X(t)) - \alpha)dt - r(X(t))d|k|(t)$$

$$= ((\mathcal{L}u)(X(t)) - (f(X(t)) - \alpha))dt + (\nabla u(X(t))\gamma(X(t)) - r(X(t)))d|k|(t) + \nabla u(X(t))\sigma(X(t))dB(t),$$

where $\nabla u(x)$ is the gradient of u evaluated at x (encoded as a row vector) and \mathcal{L} is the elliptic differential operator

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \mu_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} (\sigma \sigma^T)_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$
 (8)

The process M can be guaranteed to be a local martingale if we require that u and α satisfy

$$(\mathcal{L}u)(x) = f(x) - \alpha, \ x \in S$$

$$\nabla u(x)\gamma(x) = r(x), \ x \in \partial S,$$
(9)

since this choice implies that

$$dM(t) = \nabla u(X(t))\sigma(X(t))dB(t).$$

(We use here the fact that |k|(t) increases only when $X(t) \in \partial S$.) Accordingly, the quadratic variation of M is given by

$$[M, M](t) = \int_0^t \nabla u(X(s))\sigma(X(s))\sigma^T(X(s))\nabla u(X(s))^T ds$$

$$\stackrel{\Delta}{=} \int_0^t \nu(X(s)) ds.$$

Since ν is nonnegative and X is positive Harris recurrent, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \nu(X(s)) ds \to \int_S \nu(y) \pi(dy) \ P_x \ a.s.$$

as $t \to \infty$, for each $x \in S$. Set

$$\eta^{2} = \int_{S} v(y)\pi(dy)$$
$$= \int_{S} \nabla u(y)\sigma(y)\sigma(y)^{T}\nabla u(y)\pi(dy),$$

and assume $\eta^2 < \infty$. As a consequence of the path continuity of M, the martingale central limit theorem then implies that for each $x \in S$,

$$t^{-1/2}M(t) \Rightarrow \eta N(0, 1)$$
 (10)

as $t \to \infty$ under P_x (see, for example, Ethier and Kurtz [7]). In other words,

$$t^{-1/2}(u(X(t)) - (A(t) - \alpha t)) \Rightarrow \eta N(0, 1)$$

as $t \to \infty$ under P_x .

Let $P_{\pi}(\cdot) = \int_{S} P_{x}(\cdot)\pi(dx)$, and observe that X is stationary under P_{π} . Thus, $u(X(t)) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} u(X(0))$ for $t \geq 0$ under P_{π} (where $\stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=}$ denotes equality in distribution), so that

$$t^{-1/2}u(X(t)) \Rightarrow 0 \tag{11}$$

as $t \to \infty$ under P_{π} . It follows that

$$\frac{1}{t}A(t) \Rightarrow \alpha$$

as $t \to \infty$ under P_{π} . Let $E_{\pi}(\cdot)$ be the expectation operator associated with P_{π} . If f and r are nonnegative, the Harris recurrence implies that

$$\frac{1}{t}A(t) \to E_{\pi}A(1) \ P_x \ a.s.$$

as $t \to \infty$, for each $x \in S$. Hence, $E_{\pi}A(1) = \alpha$, so that

$$\frac{1}{t}A(t) \to \alpha \ P_x \ a.s.$$

as $t \to \infty$, for each $x \in S$. This establishes the desired strong law of large numbers for the additive functional A.

Turning now to the central limit theorem, (10) and (11) together imply that

$$t^{1/2}\left(\frac{A(t)}{t} - \alpha\right) \Rightarrow \eta N(0, 1)$$

as $t \to \infty$ under P_{π} . Recall that a Harris recurrent Markov process X automatically exhibits one-dependent regenerative structure, in the sense that there exists a non-decreasing sequence $(T_n: n \ge -1)$ of randomized stopping times, with $T_{-1} = 0$, for which the sequence of random elements $(X(T_{n-1} + s): 0 \le s < T_n - T_{n-1})$ is identically distributed for $n \ge 1$ and one-dependent for $n \ge 0$; see Sigman [14]. The one-dependence implies that the central limit theorem can be extended from the stationary setting in which X(0) has distribution π to cover arbitrary initial distributions, so that

$$t^{1/2}\left(\frac{A(t)}{t} - \alpha\right) \Rightarrow \eta N(0, 1)$$

as $t \to \infty$ under P_x , for each $x \in S$. We summarize this discussion with the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Assume A1 and that f and r are nonnegative. If there exists $u \in C^2(S)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ that satisfy

$$(\mathcal{L}u)(x) = f(x) - \alpha, \ x \in S$$

$$\nabla u(x)\gamma(x) = r(x), \ x \in \partial S,$$

with

$$\eta^2 = \int_{S} \nabla u(y)\sigma(y)\sigma(y)^T \nabla u(y)\pi(dy) < \infty,$$

then, for each $x \in S$,

$$\frac{1}{t}A(t) \to \alpha \ P_x \ a.s.$$

and

$$t^{1/2}\left(\frac{A(t)}{t} - \alpha\right) \Rightarrow \eta N(0, 1)$$

as $t \to \infty$, under P_x .

The function u satisfying (9) is said to be a solution of the *generalized Poisson* equation corresponding to the pair (f, r).

3 Large Deviations for the Additive Functional A

The key to developing a suitable large deviations theory for A is again based on construction of an appropriate martingale. Here, we propose a one-parameter family of martingales of the form

$$M(\theta, t) = \exp(\theta A(t) - \psi(\theta)t)h_{\theta}(X(t))$$

for θ lying in some open interval containing the origin, where $\psi(\theta)$ and h_{θ} are chosen appropriately. As in Sect. 2, we use stochastic calculus to derive a corresponding PDE from which one can potentially compute $\psi(\theta)$ and h_{θ} analytically. In particular, if $h_{\theta} \in C^2(S)$, Itô's formula yields

$$dM(\theta, t) = d(\exp(\theta A(t) - \psi(\theta)t))h_{\theta}(X(t))$$
$$+ \exp(\theta A(t) - \psi(\theta)t)dh_{\theta}(X(t))$$

$$= \exp(\theta A(t) - \psi(\theta)t)(\theta f(X(t))dt + \theta r(X(t))d|k|(t)$$

$$- \psi(\theta)dt)h_{\theta}(X(t)) + \exp(\theta A(t) - \psi(\theta)t) \left[\nabla h_{\theta}(X(t))\mu(X(t))dt + \nabla h_{\theta}(X(t))\sigma(X(t))dB(t) + \nabla h_{\theta}(X(t))\gamma(X(t))d|k|(t) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} (\sigma \sigma^{T})_{ij}(X(t)) \frac{\partial^{2} h_{\theta}(X(t))}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}} dt \right]$$

$$= \exp(\theta A(t) - \psi(\theta)t) \left[((\mathcal{L}h_{\theta})(X(t)) + (\theta f(X(t))) - \psi(\theta))h_{\theta}(X(t)))dt + (\nabla h_{\theta}(X(t))\gamma(X(t)) + (\partial h_{\theta}(X(t)))d(h_{\theta}(X(t)))d|k|(t) + \nabla h_{\theta}(X(t))\sigma(X(t))dB(t) \right],$$

where \mathscr{L} is the differential operator defined in Sect. 2. If we require that h_{θ} and $\psi(\theta)$ satisfy

$$(\mathcal{L}h_{\theta})(x) + (\theta f(x) - \psi(\theta))h_{\theta}(x) = 0, \ x \in S$$

$$\nabla h_{\theta}(x)\gamma(x) + \theta r(x)h_{\theta}(x) = 0, \ x \in \partial S,$$
(12)

then

$$dM(\theta, t) = \nabla h_{\theta}(X(t))\sigma(X(t))dB(t),$$

and $M(\theta, t): t \ge 0$) is consequently a local \mathscr{F}_t -martingale. (Again, we use here the fact that |k| increases only when X is on the boundary of S.) Note that (12) takes the form of an eigenvalue problem involving the operator $\mathscr{L} + \theta f \mathscr{I}$, where \mathscr{I} is the identity operator for which $\mathscr{I}u = u$. In this eigenvalue formulation, $\psi(\theta)$ is the eigenvalue and h_{θ} the corresponding eigenfunction. Since $\mathscr{L} + \theta f \mathscr{I}$ is expected to have multiple eigenvalues, (12) cannot be expected to uniquely determine $\psi(\theta)$ and h_{θ} . In order to ensure uniqueness, we now add the requirement that h_{θ} be positive.

Let $(T_n: n \ge 0)$ be the localizing sequence of stopping times associated with the local martingale $(M(\theta, t): t \ge 0)$, so that

$$E_x \exp(\theta A(t \wedge T_n) - \psi(\theta)(t \wedge T_n)) h_{\theta}(X(t \wedge T_n)) = h_{\theta}(x)$$
(13)

for $x \in S$, where $E_x(\cdot)$ is the expectation operator associated with $P_x(\cdot)$ and $a \wedge b \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \min(a, b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose that *S* is compact, so that h_{θ} is then bounded above and below by positive constants (on account of the positivity of h_{θ} and the fact that $h_{\theta} \in C^2(S)$). If f and r are nonnegative (as in Sect. 2), it follows that for $\theta \leq 0$,

$$\exp(\theta A(t \wedge T_n) - \psi(\theta)(t \wedge T_n))h_{\theta}(X(t \wedge T_n))$$

is a bounded sequence of rv's, and thus the Bounded Convergence Theorem implies that

$$E_x \exp(\theta A(t) - \psi(\theta)t)h_{\theta}(X(t)) = h_{\theta}(x)$$
(14)

for $\theta < 0$, and $x \in S$.

On the other hand, if $\theta > 0$, the positivity of h_{θ} and Fatou's lemma imply that

$$E_x \exp(\theta A(t) - \psi(\theta)t)h_{\theta}(X(t)) \le h_{\theta}(x)$$

for $x \in S$, from which we may obtain the upper bound

$$E_x \exp(\theta A(t)) \le e^{\psi(\theta)t} \frac{h_{\theta}(x)}{\inf_{y \in S} h_{\theta}(y)},$$

and hence $\exp(\theta A(t))$ is P_x -integrable. Since f and r are nonnegative and $\theta > 0$, $\theta A(t \wedge T_n) \leq \theta A(t)$, so

$$\exp(\theta A(t \wedge T_n) - \psi(\theta)(t \wedge T_n))h_{\theta}(X(t \wedge T_n)) \leq \exp(\theta A(t) + |\psi(\theta)|(t)) \sup_{y \in S} h_{\theta}(y).$$

The Dominated Convergence Theorem, as applied to (13), then yields the conclusion that

$$E_x \exp(\theta A(t) - \psi(\theta)t)h_{\theta}(X(t)) = h_{\theta}(x)$$
(15)

for $x \in S$. Since

$$e^{\psi(\theta)t} \frac{h_{\theta}(x)}{\sup_{y \in S} h_{\theta}(y)} \le E_x \exp(\theta A(t)) \le e^{\psi(\theta)t} \frac{h_{\theta}(x)}{\inf_{y \in S} h_{\theta}(y)},$$

it follows that

$$\frac{1}{t}\log E_x \exp(\theta A(t)) \to \psi(\theta)$$

as $t \to \infty$, proving the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Assume that S is compact and that f and r are nonnegative. If there exists a positive function $h_{\theta} \in C^2(S)$ and $\psi(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ that satisfy

$$(\mathcal{L}h_{\theta})(x) + (\theta f(x) - \psi(\theta))h_{\theta}(x) = 0, \ x \in S$$
$$\nabla h_{\theta}(x)\gamma(x) + \theta r(x)h_{\theta}(x) = 0, \ x \in \partial S,$$

then

$$\frac{1}{t}\log E_x \exp(\theta A(t)) \to \psi(\theta)$$

as $t \to \infty$.

The Gärtner-Ellis Theorem (see, for example, p.45 of Dembo and Zeitouni [6]) then provides technical conditions under which

$$\frac{1}{t}\log P_x(A(t)\in t\Gamma)\to -\inf_{y\in\Gamma}I(y)$$

as $t \to \infty$, where

$$I(y) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} [\theta y - \psi(\theta)].$$

In particular, if $\Gamma = (z, \infty)$, then

$$\frac{1}{t}\log P_x(A(t) \ge tz) \to -(\theta_z z - \psi(\theta_z)),$$

provided that $\psi(\cdot)$ is differentiable and strictly convex in a neighborhood of a point θ_z satisfying $\psi'(\theta_z) = z$. See p.15–16 of Bucklew [3] for a related argument.

4 CLT's for One-dimensional Reflecting Diffusions

We now illustrate these ideas in the setting of one-dimensional diffusions. In this context, we can compute the solution of the generalized Poisson equation corresponding to (f, r) fairly explicitly.

We start with the case where there are two reflecting barriers, at 0 and b, so that S = [0, b]. Then, $X = (X(t) : t \ge 0)$ satisfies the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dX(t) = \mu(X(t))dt + \sigma(X(t))dB(t) + dL(t) - dU(t)$$

= $\mu(X(t))dt + \sigma(X(t))dB(t) + dk(t)$,

with $\gamma(0) = 1$ and $\gamma(b) = -1$; the processes L and U increase only when X visits the lower and upper boundaries at 0 and b, respectively. We consider here the additive functional

$$A(t) = \int_0^t f(X(s))ds + r_0L(t) + r_bU(t),$$

where $f:[0,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be bounded. In this setting, Theorem 1 leads to consideration of the ordinary differential equation (ODE)

$$\mu(x)u'(x) + \frac{\sigma^2(x)}{2}u''(x) = f(x) - \alpha,$$
(16)

$$u'(0) = r_0, (17)$$

$$u'(b) = -r_b. (18)$$

Hence, if $\mu(\cdot)$ and $\sigma^2(\cdot)$ are continuous and $\sigma^2(\cdot)$ positive, (16) can be re-written via the method of integrating factors (see, for example, Karlin and Taylor [9]) as

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\exp\left(\int_0^x \frac{2\mu(y)}{\sigma^2(y)}dy\right)u'(x)\right) = \frac{2(f(x) - \alpha)}{\sigma^2(x)}\exp\left(\int_0^x \frac{2\mu(y)}{\sigma^2(y)}dy\right),$$

from which we conclude that

$$u'(x) = \left(u'(0) + \int_0^x \frac{2(f(y) - \alpha)}{\sigma^2(y)} \exp\left(\int_0^y \frac{2\mu(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz\right) dy\right)$$
(19)

$$\cdot \exp\left(-\int_0^x \frac{2\mu(y)}{\sigma^2(y)} dy\right). \tag{20}$$

But $u'(0) = r_0$ and $u'(b) = -r_b$, and thus

$$-r_b = \left(r_0 + \int_0^b \frac{2(f(y) - \alpha)}{\sigma^2(y)} \exp\left(\int_0^y \frac{2\mu(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz\right) dy\right)$$
$$\cdot \exp\left(-\int_0^b \frac{2\mu(y)}{\sigma^2(y)} dy\right).$$

Hence,

$$\alpha = \frac{r_0 + r_b e^{\left(\int_0^b \frac{2\mu(y)}{\sigma^2(y)} dy\right)} + \int_0^b \frac{2f(y)}{\sigma^2(y)} e^{\left(\int_0^y \frac{2\mu(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz\right)} dy}{2\int_0^b \frac{1}{\sigma^2(y)} e^{\left(\int_0^y \frac{2\mu(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz\right)} dy}$$
(21)

By setting $r_0 = r_b = 0$, we conclude that the stationary distribution π of X must satisfy

$$\int_{0}^{b} \pi(dx)f(x) = \int_{0}^{b} f(x)p(x)dx,$$
(22)

where

$$p(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma^2(x)} \exp\left(\int_0^x \frac{2\mu(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz\right)}{\int_0^b \frac{1}{\sigma^2(y)} \exp\left(\int_0^y \frac{2\mu(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz\right) dy}.$$

Since (22) holds for all bounded functions f, it follows that $\pi(dx) = p(x)dx$, so that π has now been computed. Furthermore, (19) establishes that

$$u'(x) = \left(r_0 + \int_0^x \frac{2(f(y) - \alpha)}{\sigma^2(y)} \exp\left(\int_0^y \frac{2\mu(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz\right) dy\right)$$
$$\cdot \exp\left(-\int_0^x \frac{2\mu(y)}{\sigma^2(y)} dy\right),$$

where α is given by (21). Consequently, we have explicit formulae for both π and u', from which the variance constant

$$\eta^2 = \int_0^b u'(x)^2 \sigma^2(x) p(x) dx$$

of Theorem 1 can now be calculated. We now illustrate these calculations in the context of some special cases, focusing our interest on the boundary processes (by setting f = 0).

Example 1 (Two-sided Reflecting Brownian Motion). Here $\mu(x) = \mu$ and $\sigma^2(x) = \sigma^2 > 0$. If $\mu \neq 0$, then, upon setting $\xi = 2\mu/\sigma^2$,

$$\alpha = \frac{\mu(r_0 + r_b e^{\xi b})}{e^{\xi b} - 1}$$

and

$$p(x) = \frac{\xi e^{\xi x}}{e^{\xi b} - 1}.$$

Also,

$$u'(x) = \left(r_0 + \int_0^x -\frac{2\alpha}{\sigma^2} e^{\frac{2\mu}{\sigma^2} y} dy\right) e^{-\frac{2\mu}{\sigma^2} x}$$
$$= \left(\frac{r_0 + r_b}{1 - e^{-\xi b}}\right) e^{-\xi x} - \frac{r_0 e^{-\xi b} + r_b}{1 - e^{-\xi b}}$$

and consequently

$$u'(x)^{2} = \left(\frac{r_{0} + r_{b}}{1 - e^{-\xi b}}\right)^{2} e^{-2\xi x} - \frac{2(r_{0}e^{-\xi b} + r_{b})(r_{0} + r_{b})}{(1 - e^{-\xi b})^{2}} e^{-\xi x} + \left(\frac{r_{0}e^{-\xi b} + r_{b}}{1 - e^{-\xi b}}\right)^{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\eta^2 = \sigma^2 \left[\left(\frac{r_0 + r_b}{1 - e^{-\xi b}} \right)^2 e^{-\xi b} - \frac{(r_0 e^{-\xi b} + r_b)(r_0 + r_b)}{(1 - e^{-\xi b})^2} \frac{2\xi b}{e^{\xi b} - 1} + \left(\frac{r_0 e^{-\xi b} + r_b}{1 - e^{-\xi b}} \right)^2 \right].$$

If $\mu = 0$, then $\alpha = \frac{\sigma^2(r_0 + r_b)}{2b}$ and $p(x) = \frac{1}{b}$. Also,

$$u'(x) = r_0 - \frac{(r_0 + r_b)}{h}x$$

and therefore

$$\eta^{2} = \sigma^{2} \int_{0}^{b} \frac{\left(\frac{(r_{0} + r_{b})}{b}x - r_{0}\right)^{2}}{b} dx$$
$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}(r_{0}^{3} + r_{b}^{3})}{3(r_{0} + r_{b})}.$$

Example 2. Two-sided Reflecting Ornstein-Uhlenbeck: For this process, $\mu(x) = -a(x-c)$ and $\sigma^2(x) = \sigma^2 > 0$. We thus have

$$\alpha = \frac{r_0 + r_b e^{-\frac{a(b-c)^2 - ac^2}{\sigma^2}}}{\frac{2}{\sigma^2} \int_0^b e^{-\frac{a(y-c)^2 - ac^2}{\sigma^2}} dy}.$$

Also,

$$u'(x) = \left(r_0 - \frac{2\alpha}{\sigma^2} \int_0^x e^{-\int_0^x \frac{2a(z-c)}{\sigma^2} dz} dy\right) e^{\int_0^x \frac{2a(y-c)}{\sigma^2} dy}$$
$$= r_0 e^{\frac{a(x-c)^2 - ac^2}{\sigma^2}} - \frac{2\alpha}{\sigma^2} \int_0^x e^{-\frac{a(y-c)^2 - a(x-c)^2}{\sigma^2}} dy$$

and

$$p(x) = \frac{e^{-\int_0^x \frac{2a(z-c)}{\sigma^2} dz}}{\int_0^b e^{-\int_0^y \frac{2a(z-c)}{\sigma^2} dz} dy}$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{2a}{\sigma^2}} \frac{\phi\left((x-c)\sqrt{\frac{2a}{\sigma^2}}\right)}{\Phi\left((b-c)\sqrt{\frac{2a}{\sigma^2}}\right) - \Phi\left((-c)\sqrt{\frac{2a}{\sigma^2}}\right)},$$

where ϕ and Φ are, respectively, the density and cumulative density function (CDF) of a standard normal random variable. From these, one may readily compute

$$\eta^{2} = \sigma^{2} \int_{0}^{b} \left(r_{0} e^{\frac{a(x-c)^{2} - ac^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}} - \frac{2\alpha}{\sigma^{2}} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-\frac{a(y-c)^{2} - a(x-c)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}} dy \right)^{2} p(x) dx$$

numerically when the problem data are explicit.

The diffusions in our examples arise as approximations to queues in heavy traffic, in which L(t) then approximates the cumulative lost service capacity of the server over [0, t], while U(t) describes the cumulative number of customers lost due to blocking (because of arrival to a full buffer); see Zhang and Glynn [16] for details.

Turning now to the setting in which only a single reflecting barrier is present (say, at the origin), S then takes the form $S = [0, \infty)$, and the differential equation for u takes the form

$$\mu(x)u'(x) + \frac{\sigma^2(x)}{2}u''(x) = f(x) - \alpha,$$

$$u'(0) = r_0.$$

Then u'(x) is again given by (19), and

$$\alpha = \frac{r_0 + \int_0^\infty \frac{2f(y)}{\sigma^2(y)} e^{\left(\int_0^y \frac{2\mu(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz\right)} dy}{2\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma^2(y)} e^{\left(\int_0^y \frac{2\mu(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz\right)} dy},$$
(23)

provided that the problem data are such that the integrals in (23) converge and are finite. In particular, X fails to have a stationary distribution if

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma^2(y)} e^{\left(\int_0^y \frac{2\mu(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz\right)} dy = \infty.$$

5 Large Deviations: One-dimensional Reflecting Diffusions

In this setting, we discuss the large deviations theory of Sect. 3, specialized to the setting of one-dimensional diffusions with reflecting barriers at 0 and b. Theorem 2 asserts that the key ODE in this setting requires finding $\psi(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h_{\theta} \in C^2[0, b]$ for which

$$\mu(x)h'_{\theta}(x) + \frac{\sigma^{2}(x)}{2}h''_{\theta}(x) + (\theta f(x) - \psi(\theta))h_{\theta}(x) = 0, \ 0 \le x \le b$$

$$h'_{\theta}(0) + \theta r_{0}h_{\theta}(0) = 0,$$

$$-h'_{\theta}(b) + \theta r_{b}h_{\theta}(b) = 0.$$
(24)

The above differential equation (24) can be put in the form

$$-\frac{d}{dx}(a(x)h'_{\theta}(x)) + b(x)h_{\theta}(x) = \lambda c(x)h_{\theta}(x)$$
(25)

for $0 \le x \le b$, where $\lambda = -\psi(\theta)$ and

$$a(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^x \frac{2\mu(y)}{\sigma^2(y)} dy\right),$$

$$b(x) = -\frac{2\theta f(x)}{\sigma^2(x)} \exp\left(\int_0^x \frac{2\mu(y)}{\sigma^2(y)} dy\right),$$

$$c(x) = \frac{2}{\sigma^2(x)} \exp\left(\int_0^x \frac{2\mu(y)}{\sigma^2(y)} dy\right).$$

Suppose that f, μ , and σ^2 are continuous on [0, b], with $\sigma^2(x) > 0$ for $x \in [0, b]$. Because $a(\cdot)$ and $c(\cdot)$ are then positive on [0, b], (25) takes the form of a so-called Sturm-Liouville problem. Consequently, there exist real eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots$ with $\lambda_n \to \infty$ satisfying (25), with corresponding eigenfunction solutions v_1, v_2, \ldots Furthermore, the eigenfunction v_i has the property that it has exactly i-1 roots in [0, b]; see, for example, Al-Gwaiz [1] for details on Sturm-Liouville theory. As a consequence, the eigenfunction v_1 is the only eigenfunction that can be taken to be positive over [0, b]. Thus, it follows that we should set $\psi(\theta) = -\lambda_1$ and $h_{\theta} = v_1$.

We now illustrate these ideas in the setting of reflecting Brownian motion in one dimension, again focusing on the boundary process by setting f = 0.

Example 3 (Two-sided Reflecting Brownian Motion). Here $\mu(x) = \mu$ and $\sigma^2(x) = \sigma^2 > 0$. The case in which $r_0 = 0$ and $r_b = 1$ was studied in detail in Zhang and Glynn [16]. In particular, consider the parameter spaces given by

$$\mathcal{R}_{1} = \{(\theta, \mu, b) : \theta > 0\}
\mathcal{R}_{2} = \{(\theta, \mu, b) : \theta < 0, \mu(\mu + \theta\sigma^{2}) \leq 0\}
\mathcal{R}_{3} = \{(\theta, \mu, b) : \theta < 0, \mu(\mu + \theta\sigma^{2}) > 0, b\mu(\mu + \theta\sigma^{2}) > -\theta\sigma^{4}\}
\mathcal{R}_{4} = \{(\theta, \mu, b) : \theta < 0, \mu(\mu + \theta\sigma^{2}) > 0, b\mu(\mu + \theta\sigma^{2}) < -\theta\sigma^{4}\}
\mathcal{R}_{1} = \{(\theta, \mu, b) : \theta = 0\}
\mathcal{R}_{2} = \{(\theta, \mu, b) : \theta < 0, \mu(\mu + \theta\sigma^{2}) > 0, b\mu(\mu + \theta\sigma^{2}) = -\theta\sigma^{4}\}.$$

The authors showed that, for $(\theta, \mu, b) \in \mathcal{R}_i$ (i = 1, 3), the solutions $\psi = \psi(\theta)$ and $h_{\theta}(\cdot)$ to

$$(\mathcal{L}h_{\theta})(x) = \psi(\theta)h_{\theta}(x)$$
$$h_{\theta}'(0) = 0$$

$$h'_{\theta}(b) = \theta h_{\theta}(b)$$
$$h_{\theta}(0) = 1$$

for $0 \le x \le b$ are given by $\psi(\theta) = \frac{\beta(\theta)^2 - \mu^2}{2\sigma^2}$ and

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{2\beta(\theta)} e^{-\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}x} \left[(\beta(\theta) - \mu) e^{-\frac{\beta(\theta)}{\sigma^2}x} + (\beta(\theta) + \mu) e^{\frac{\beta(\theta)}{\sigma^2}x} \right],$$

where $\beta(\theta)$ is the unique root in \mathcal{F}_i of the equation

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \log \left(\frac{(\beta - \mu)(\beta + \mu + \theta \sigma^2)}{(\beta + \mu)(\beta - \mu - \theta \sigma^2)} \right) = \frac{2b}{\sigma^2},$$

with $\mathscr{F}_1 = (|\mu| \vee |\mu + \theta \sigma^2|, \infty)$ and $\mathscr{F}_3 = (0, |\mu| \wedge |\mu + \theta \sigma^2|)$. For $(\theta, \mu, b) \in \mathscr{R}_i$ (i = 2, 4), the solutions are given by $\psi(\theta) = -\frac{\xi(\theta)^2 + \mu^2}{2\sigma^2}$ and

$$h_{\theta}(x) = e^{-\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}x} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\xi(\theta)x}{\sigma^2}\right) + \frac{\mu}{\xi(\theta)} \sin\left(\frac{\xi(\theta)x}{\sigma^2}\right) \right],$$

where $\xi(\theta)$ is the unique root in $\left(0, \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{b}\right)$ of the equation

$$\frac{b\xi}{\sigma^2} = \arccos\left(\frac{\xi^2 + \mu(\mu + \theta\sigma^2)}{\sqrt{(\xi^2 + \mu(\mu + \theta\sigma^2))^2 + \xi^2\theta^2\sigma^4}}\right).$$

For $(\theta, \mu, b) \in \mathcal{B}_1$, $\psi(\theta) = 0$ and $h_{\theta}(x) \equiv 1$. Finally, for $(\theta, \mu, b) \in \mathcal{B}_2$, the solutions are given by $\psi(\theta) = -\frac{\mu^2}{2\pi^2}$ and

$$h_{\theta}(x) = e^{-\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}x} \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}x + 1\right).$$

The case of arbitrary r_0 and r_b is conceptually similar, but requires even more complicated regions into which to separate the parameter space. For instance, it will be necessary to consider the signs of $\theta(r_0 + r_b)$, $(\mu - \theta r_0 \sigma^2)(\mu + \theta r_b \sigma^2)$, and $b(\mu - \theta r_0 \sigma^2)(\mu + \theta r_b \sigma^2) + \theta(r_0 + r_b)\sigma^4$, amongst other quantities. It is therefore clear that an explicit description of the solution to (24) will, in general, be very complex.

Acknowledgements The first author gratefully acknowledges the mentorship and friendship of Professor Miklós Csörgő, over the years, and Professor Csörgő's influence on both his research direction and academic career in the years that have passed since his graduation as a student at Carleton University.

References

- 1. Al-Gwaiz, M.: Sturm-Liouville Theory and Its Applications. Springer, New York (2007)
- 2. Bhattacharyya, R.N.: On the functional central limit theorem and the law of iterated logarithm for Markov processes. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete. **60**, 185–201 (1982)
- Bucklew, J.: Large Deviation Techniques in Decision, Simulation, and Estimation. Wiley, New York (1990)
- 4. Chen, H., Whitt, W.: Diffusion approximations for open queueing networks with service interruptions. Queueing Syst.: Theory Appl. 13(4), 335–359 (1993)
- Costantini, C.: Diffusion approximation for a class of transport processes with physical reflection boundary conditions. Ann. Probab. 19(3), 1071–1101 (1991)
- Dembo, A., Zeitouni, O.: Large Deviation Techniques and Applications, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (1998)
- Ethier, S.N., Kurtz, T.G.: Markov Processes: Characterization and Convergence, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York (2005)
- 8. Harrison, J.M.: Brownian Motion and Stochastic Flow Systems. Wiley, New York (1985)
- Karlin, S., Taylor, H.M.: A Second Course in Stochastic Processes. Academic, New York (1981)
- Lions, P.L., Snitzman, A.S.: Stochastic differential equations with reflecting boundary conditions. Commun. Pure Appl. 37, 511–537 (1984)
- Meyn, S.P., Tweedie, R.L.: Stability of Markovian processes II: continuous-time processes and sampled chains. Adv. Appl. Probab. 25, 487–517 (1993a)
- Meyn, S.P., Tweedie, R.L.: Stability of Markovian processes III: Foster-Lyapunov criteria for continuous-time processes. Adv. Appl. Probab. 25, 518–548 (1993b)
- 13. Meyn, S.P., Tweedie, R.L.: Exponential and uniform ergodicity of Markov processes. Ann. Probab. **23**(4), 1671–1691 (1995)
- Sigman, K.: One-dependent regenerative processes and queues in continuous time. Math. Oper. Res. 15, 175–189 (1990)
- 15. Williams, R.J.: Asymptotic variance parameters for the boundary local times of reflected Brownian motion on a compact interval. J. Appl. Probab. **29**(4), 996–1002 (1992)
- 16. Zhang, X., Glynn, P.W.: On the dynamics of a finite buffer queue conditioned on the amount of loss. Queueing Syst.: Theory Appl. **67**(2), 91–110 (2011)