Lecture 13 Dynamic analysis of feedback

- Closed-loop, sensitivity, and loop transfer functions
- Stability of feedback systems

Some assumptions

we now assume:

- signals *u*, *e*, *y* are *dynamic*, *i.e.*, change with time
- open-loop and feedback systems are convolution operators, with impulse responses a and f, respectively



feedback equations are now:

$$y(t) = \int_0^t a(\tau)e(t-\tau) \, d\tau, \qquad e(t) = u(t) - \int_0^t f(\tau)y(t-\tau) \, d\tau$$

- these are *complicated* (integral equations)
- it's not so obvious what to do current input u(t) affects future output $y(\bar{t}),\,\bar{t}\geq t$

Feedback system: frequency domain

take Laplace transform of all signals:

$$Y(s) = A(s)E(s), \qquad E(s) = U(s) - F(s)Y(s)$$

eliminate E(s) (just algebra!) to get

$$Y(s) = G(s)U(s),$$
 $G(s) = \frac{A(s)}{1 + A(s)F(s)}$

G is called the *closed-loop transfer function*

. . . exactly the same formula as in static case, but now $A, \ F, \ G$ are transfer functions

we define

- loop transfer function L = AF
- sensitivity transfer function S = 1/(1 + AF)

same formulas as static case!

for example, for small δA , we have

$$\frac{\delta G}{G} \approx S \frac{\delta A}{A}$$

(but these are transfer functions here)

what's new: L, S, G

- depend on frequency \boldsymbol{s}
- are complex-valued
- can be stable or unstable

thus:

- "large" and "small" mean complex magnitude
- L (or G or S) can be large for some frequencies, small for others
- $\bullet\,$ step response of G shows time response of the closed-loop system

Example

feedback system with

$$A(s) = \frac{10^5}{1 + s/100}, \qquad F = 0.01$$

- open-loop gain is large at DC (10^5)
- open-loop bandwidth is around 100 rad/sec
- open-loop settling time is around 20msec

closed-loop transfer function is

$$G(s) = \frac{\frac{10^5}{1+s/100}}{1+0.01\frac{10^5}{1+s/100}} = \frac{99.9}{1+s/(1.001 \cdot 10^5)}$$

• G is stable

- closed-loop DC gain is very nearly 1/F
- closed-loop bandwidth around 10^5 rad/sec
- closed-loop settling time is around $20\mu{\rm sec}$

... closed-loop system has lower gain, higher bandwidth, *i.e.*, is faster

loop transfer function is $L(s) = \frac{10^3}{1 + s/100}$, so $|L(j\omega)| = \frac{10^3}{\sqrt{1 + (\omega/100)^2}}$



- loop gain larger than one for $\omega < 10^5$ or so \Rightarrow get benefits of feedback for $\omega < 10^5$
- loop gain less than one for $\omega > 10^5$ or so \Rightarrow don't get benefits of feedback for $\omega > 10^5$

sensitivity transfer function is $S(s) = \frac{1+s/100}{1001+s/100} \label{eq:sensitivity}$



- $|S(j\omega)| \ll 1$ for $\omega < 10^4$ (say)
- $|S|\approx 1$ for $\omega>10^5$ or so

Thus, e.g., for small changes in A(0), $A(j10^5)$

$$\left|\frac{\delta G(0)}{G(0)}\right| \approx 10^{-3} \left|\frac{\delta A(0)}{A(0)}\right|, \quad \left|\frac{\delta G(j10^5)}{G(j10^5)}\right| \approx \left|\frac{\delta A(j10^5)}{A(j10^5)}\right|$$

Example (with change of sign)

now consider system with $A(s)=-\frac{10^5}{1+s/100}\text{, }F=0.01$ (note minus sign!)

closed-loop transfer function is

$$G(s) = \frac{100.1}{1 - s/(0.999 \cdot 10^5)}$$

looks like G found above, but is **unstable**

- in static analysis, large loop gain \Rightarrow sign of feedback doesn't much matter
- dynamic analysis reveals the big difference a change of sign can make

Heater example: dynamic analysis

proportional controller of lecture 12,



with *dynamic model* of plate:

$$\alpha(s) = \frac{1}{(1+0.1s)(1+0.2s)(1+0.3s)}$$



(quite realistic; takes about 1 sec to heat up)

Let's assume

- $T_{\rm amb} = 70^{\circ} {\rm F}$
- $T_{\rm des} = 150^{\circ} {\rm F}$ (actually doesn't matter)
- D is a unit step, *i.e.*, for $t \ge 0$ a disturbance power of 1W is applied
- for t < 0 system is in static steady-state (with T = T_{des})

 \Rightarrow have an LTI system from D to temperature error e;

transfer function is

$$\frac{\alpha(s)}{1+k\alpha(s)}$$

step response gives temperature error resulting from unit step disturbance power





- closed-loop system can exhibit oscillatory response
- for k < 10 (approximately) this transfer function is stable; for k > 10 (approximately) it is unstable
- $\bullet\,$ when stable, step response settles to DC gain, 1/(1+k)
- *stability* requirement limits how large proportional gain (hence loop gain) can be

these are general phenomena

Design: choice of k

involves tradeoff of static sensitivity, 1/(1+k), versus dynamic response

- k < 1 (or so) \Rightarrow closed-loop system not much better than open-loop
- k > 5 (or so) \Rightarrow undesirable oscillatory response
- k > 10 (or so) \Rightarrow very undesirable instability
- . . . here, maybe k = 2 or 3 is about right

Let's do some analysis . . .

transfer function from D to e is

$$\frac{\alpha(s)}{1+k\alpha(s)} = \frac{1}{(1+0.1s)(1+0.2s)(1+0.3s)+k}$$

its poles are the roots of the polynomial

$$(1+0.1s)(1+0.2s)(1+0.3s) + k,$$

which of course depend on \boldsymbol{k}

k	poles	
0	-10.0,	-5.00, -3.33
1	-12.5,	$-2.94 \pm 4.26 j$
3	-14.6,	$-1.86 \pm 6.49 j$
10	-18.3,	$\pm 10.0j$
12	-19.1,	$+0.36\pm10.7j$
15	-20.0,	$+0.83 \pm 11.5 j$

poles are often plotted on complex plane:



called root locus plot of

```
(1+0.1s)(1+0.2s)(1+0.3s) + k
```

Checking stability

when is H(s) = b(s)/a(s) stable?

i.e., when do all roots of the polynomial a have negative real parts (such polynomials are called *Hurwitz*)

if a is already factored, as in

$$a(s) = \alpha(s - p_1)(s - p_2) \cdots (s - p_n),$$

we just check $\Re(p_i) < 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$

what if we are given the coefficients of a:

$$a(s) = a_0 + a_1 s + a_2 s^2 + \dots + a_n s^n$$

if the a_i 's are specific numbers, we can easily factor a numerically (using a computer), then check

but what if the coefficients involve parameters, as in

$$a(s) = (1+0.1s)(1+0.2s)(1+0.3s) + k$$

can we get the roots p_i in terms of the coefficients a_i ? . . . an old problem

- there are analytical formulas for the roots of a polynomial, for degrees 1, 2, 3, and 4 (they are complicated for third and fourth degree)
- there are *no analytical formulas* for the roots of a polynomial of degree ≥ 5 (a famous result of Galois)

still, it turns out that we can express the Hurwitz condition as a set of algebraic inequalities involving the coefficients, using Routh's method (1870 or so)

- very useful 50 years ago, even for polynomials with specific numeric coefficients
- only important nowadays for polynomials with parameters

we assume that $a_n = 1$ (if not, divide a(s) by a_n ; doesn't affect roots) so we have $a(s) = a_0 + a_1s + \cdots + a_{n-1}s^{n-1} + s^n$

Fact: a is Hurwitz $\Rightarrow a_0 > 0, \dots, a_{n-1} > 0$

to see this, write a in real factored form:

$$a(s) = a_0 + a_1 s + \dots + a_{n-1} s^{n-1} + s^n$$

=
$$\prod_{i=1}^q (s - p_i) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r (s^2 - 2\sigma_i s + \sigma_i^2 + \omega_i^2)$$

 p_i are the real roots, $\sigma_i \pm j \omega_i$ are the complex roots of a

Hurwitz means $p_i < 0$ and $\sigma_i < 0$, so each term is a polynomial with positive coefficents

a is a product of polynomials with all positive coefficients, hence has all positive coefficients

the converse is *not* true: *e.g.*, $a(s) = s^3 + s^2 + s + 2$ has roots -1.35, $+0.177 \pm 1.2j$, so it's not Hurwitz

Hurwitz conditions

(obtained from Routh's method or formulas for roots)

- Degree 1: $a_0 + s$ is Hurwitz $\Leftrightarrow a_0 > 0$
- Degree 2: $a_0 + a_1s + s^2$ is Hurwitz

 $\Leftrightarrow a_0 > 0, a_1 > 0$

• Degree 3:
$$a_0 + a_1s + a_2s^2 + s^3$$
 is Hurwitz

$$\Leftrightarrow \ a_0 > 0, \ a_1 > 0, \ a_2 > 0, a_2 a_1 > a_0$$

• Degree 4: $a_0 + a_1s + a_2s^2 + a_3s^3 + s^4$ is Hurwitz

$$\Leftrightarrow \ a_0 > 0, \ a_1 > 0, \ a_2 > 0, \ a_3 > 0,$$

$$a_3 a_2 > a_1,$$

 $a_1 a_2 a_3 - a_3^2 a_0 > a_1^2$

for degree $\geq 5,$ conditions get much more complex

- you can find them via Routh's method, if you need to (you probably won't)
- they consist of inequalities involving sums & products of the coefficients

Application: for what values of proportional gain k is our example, the plate heating system, stable?

I.e., for what values of k is

$$a(s) = (1+0.1s)(1+0.2s)(1+0.3s) + k$$

= 0.006(167(k+1) + 100s + 18.3s² + s³)

Hurwitz?

Hurwitz conditions are:

$$167(k+1) > 0, \ 100 > 0, \ 18.3 > 0,$$

 $100 \cdot 18.3 > 167(k+1),$

which simplify to: -1 < k < 10

(we suspected this from our numerical studies)

Summary

for LTI feedback systems,

- formulas same as static case, but now A, F, L, S are transfer functions
- hence are complex, depend on frequency s, and can be stable or unstable
- stability requirement often limits the amount of feedback that can be used