

**MS&E 319/CS 369X: Topics in Network Algorithms. Winter 2005-06**

Course URL: <http://www.stanford.edu/~ashishg/network-algorithms>.

Instructor: Ashish Goel, Stanford University.

### Handout 1: Chernoff Bounds and the Lovász Local Lemma

These two probabilistic inequalities lie at the heart of packet routing theory with small buffers. This is a recurring phenomenon in packet routing – often, simple randomized techniques are easier to analyze than their deterministic counterparts.

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$  be  $m$  independent (but not necessarily identical) Bernoulli variables. Let  $p_i$  denote  $\Pr[X_i = 1]$ . Further, define

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_i X_i, \text{ and} \\ \mu &= \mathbf{E}[S]. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\mu = \sum_i \mathbf{E}[X_i] = \sum_i p_i$ . Chernoff bounds are useful for placing a limit on the probability that  $S$  is much larger than  $\mu$ . In particular, for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\Pr[S > \mu(1 + \delta)] \leq \left( \frac{e^\delta}{(1 + \delta)^{1 + \delta}} \right)^\mu. \quad (1)$$

Lovász’s local lemma is useful when the  $X_i$ ’s are not independent, but where the dependence can be bounded. Let  $p = \max_i p_i$ . Further, let us assume that for each random variable  $X_i$ , there is a set  $T_i$  containing at least  $m - b - 1$  other random variables  $X_j$  such that  $X_i$  is independent of all variables in the set  $T_i$ . Thus, there are at most  $b$  “degrees of dependence”. The local lemma states that

$$\text{If } 4pb < 1, \text{ then } \Pr[S = 0] > 0. \quad (2)$$

Thus, if we think of the  $X_i$ ’s as bad events, there is a non-zero probability that a bad event does not happen. Unlike Chernoff bounds, this is not a high probability result. Its power lies in the existential statement: “there must be one way of choosing the variables  $X_i$  such that the dependencies between them are respected and no bad event happens.”

## References

- [1] R. Motwani and P. Raghavan. *Randomized Algorithms*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.