SYSTEMS OPTIMIZATION LABORATORY DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305

USER'S GUIDE FOR LSSOL (VERSION 1.0)[†]: A FORTRAN PACKAGE FOR CONSTRAINED LINEAR LEAST-SQUARES AND CONVEX QUADRATIC PROGRAMMING

bу

Philip E. Gill, Sven J. Hammarling‡, Walter Murray, Michael A. Saunders and Margaret H. Wright

TECHNICAL REPORT SOL 86-1

January 1986

Research and reproduction of this report were partially supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AAO3-76SF00326, PA# DE-ASO3-76ER72018; National Science Foundation Grants DCR-8413211 and ECS-8312142; the Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-85-K-9343 and the U.S. Army Research Office Contract DAAG29-84-K-0156.

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do NOT necessarily reflect the views of the above sponsors.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purposes of the United States Government. This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

[†]LSSOL is available from the Stanford Office of Technology Licensing, 350 Cambridge Avenue, Suite 250, Palo Alto, California 94306, USA.

^{*}Numerical Algorithms Group, Ltd., 256 Banbury Road, Oxford, United Kingdom.

User's Guide for LSSOL (Version 1.0)†: a Fortran Package for Constrained Linear Least-Squares and Convex Quadratic programming

Philip E. Gill, Sven J. Hammarlingt, Walter Murray, Michael A. Saunders and Margaret H. Wright Systems Optimization Laboratory Department of Operations Research Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

January 1986

ABSTRACT

This report forms the user's guide for Version 1.0 of LSSOL, a set of Fortran 77 subroutines for linearly constrained linear least squares and convex quadratic programming. The method of LSSOL is of the two-phase, active-set type, and is related to the method used in the package SOL/QPSOL (Gill et al., 1984b). Two main features of LSSOL are its exploitation of convexity and treatment of singularity.

LSSOL may also be used for linear programming, and to find a feasible point with respect to a set of linear inequality constraints. LSSOL treats all matrices as dense, and hence is not intended for large sparse problems.

- † LSSOL is available from the Stanford Office of Technology Licensing, 350 Cambridge Avenue, Suite 250, Palo Alto, California 94306, USA.
- ‡ Numerical Algorithms Group, Ltd., 256 Banbury Road, Oxford, United Kingdom.

The material contained in this report is based upon research supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AA03-76SF00326, PA No. DE-AS03-76ER72018; National Science Foundation Grants DCR-8413211 and ECS-8312142; the Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-85-K-0343; and the U.S. Army Research Office Contract DAAG29-84-K-0156.

CONTENTS

1.	PURPOSE	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	. 1
2.	DESCRIPTION OF THE ALGORITHM														. 2
	SPECIFICATION OF SUBROUTINE LSSOL														
	Formal parameters														
	OPTIONAL INPUT PARAMETERS														
	Specification of the optional parameters														
$\frac{4.2}{4.3}$	Description of the optional parameters Optional parameter checklist and default values													•	14 16
	DESCRIPTION OF THE PRINTED OUTPUT														
6.	ERROR RECOVERY														19
	IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION Format of the distribution tape														
7.2	Installation procedure			,											20
	Source files														
	Machine-dependent subroutines														
8.	EXAMPLE PROBLEM														24
9.	REFERENCES														26
ΑP	PENDIX. SAMPLE PROGRAM AND OUTPU	T													27
INI	DEX									_					35

1. PURPOSE

1. PURPOSE

LSSOL is a collection of Fortran 77 subroutines designed to solve a class of quadratic programming problems that are assumed to be stated in the following general form:

LCLS	minimize zeR ⁿ	F(x)
	subject to	$\ell \leq \left\{ egin{array}{c} x \\ Cx \end{array} ight\} \leq u,$

where C is $m_L \times n$ (m_L may be zero) and F(x) is one of the following objective functions:

FP:	None	(find a feasible point for the constraints)
LP:	$c^T x$	
QP1:	$\frac{1}{2}x^T\!Ax$	A symmetric and positive semi-definite,
QP2:	$c^Tx + \frac{1}{2}x^TAx$	A symmetric and positive semi-definite,
QP3:	$rac{1}{2} oldsymbol{x}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{A}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{x}$	$A m \times n$ upper-trapezoidal,
QP4:	$c^Tx + \frac{1}{2}x^TA^TAx$	$A m \times n$ upper-trapezoidal,
LS1:	$\frac{1}{2} b-Ax: ^2$	$A m \times n$,
LS2;	$c^Tx+\tfrac{1}{2}\ b-Ax\ ^2$	$A m \times n$,
LS3:	$\frac{1}{2} b-Ax ^2$	$A m \times n$ upper-trapezoidal,
LS4:	$c^Tx+\tfrac{1}{2} b-Ax ^2$	$A m \times n$ upper-trapezoidal,

with c an n-vector and b an m-vector. The specific objective function to be minimized is selected using the optional parameter Problem Type (see Section 4.2). In all that follows, problems of type "LP", "QP" and "LS" will be referred to as linear programming, quadratic programming and constrained least-squares problems respectively.

The constraints involving C will be called the general constraints. Note that upper and lower bounds are specified for all the variables and for all the general constraints. An equality constraint is specified by setting $\ell_i = u_i$. If certain bounds are not present, the associated elements of ℓ or u can be set to special values that will be treated as $-\infty$ or $+\infty$. (See the description of the optional parameter Infinite Bound in Section 4.2.)

The constant second-derivative matrix of F(x) is defined as H, the Hessian matrix. In the LP case, H=0. In QP cases 1 and 2, H=A; and in QP cases 3 and 4, $H=A^TA$. In all LS cases, $H=A^TA$. Problems of type QP3 or QP4 with A not in trapezoidal form should be solved as type LS1 or LS2 with b=0. When considering problems of type LS, we shall refer to A as the least-squares matrix and to b as the vector of observations.

The user must supply an initial estimate of the solution. If the Hessian matrix is non-singular, LSSOL will obtain the unique (global) minimum. If H is singular, the solution may still be a global minimum if all active constraints have nonzero Lagrange multipliers. Otherwise, the solution obtained will either be a weak minimum (i.e., with a unique optimal objective value, but an infinite set of optimal x), or else the objective function is unbounded below in the feasible region. The last case can occur only when F(x) contains an explicit linear term (as in problems of type LP, QP2, QP4, LS2 and LS4).

The LSSOL package contains approximately 6000 lines of ANSI Fortran 77, of which about 50% are comments.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE ALGORITHM

Here we briefly summarize the main features of the method of LSSOL. Where possible, explicit reference is made to the names of variables that are parameters of subroutine LSSOL or appear in the printed output.

The method of LSSOL is a two-phase (primal) quadratic programming method (see Gill et al., 1984b) with features to exploit the convexity of the objective function. (In the full-rank case, the method is related to that of Stoer, 1971.) The two phases of the method are: finding an initial feasible point by minimizing the sum of infeasibilities (the feasibility phase), and minimizing the quadratic objective function within the feasible region (the optimality phase). The computations in both phases are performed by the same subroutines. The two-phase nature of the algorithm is reflected by changing the function being minimized from the sum of infeasibilities to the quadratic objective function. The feasibility phase does not perform the standard simplex method (i.e., it does not necessarily find a vertex), except in the LP case when $m_L \leq n$. Once any iterate is feasible, all subsequent iterates remain feasible.

In general, an iterative process is required to solve a quadratic program. (For simplicity, we shall always consider a typical iteration and avoid reference to the index of the iteration.) Each new iterate \tilde{x} is defined by

$$\bar{x} = x + \alpha p, \tag{1}$$

where the step length α is a non-negative scalar, and p is called the search direction.

At each point x, a working set of constraints is defined to be a linearly independent subset of the constraints that are satisfied "exactly" (to within the tolerance defined by the optional parameter "Feasibility Tolerance"; see Section 4.2). The working set is the current prediction of the constraints that hold with equality at a solution of LCLS. The search direction is constructed so that the constraints in the working set remain unaltered for any value of the step length. For a bound constraint in the working set, this property is achieved by setting the corresponding component of the search direction to zero. Thus, the associated variable is fixed, and specification of the working set induces a partition of x into fixed and free variables. During a given iteration, the fixed variables are effectively removed from the problem: since the relevant components of the search direction are zero, the columns of C corresponding to fixed variables may be ignored.

Let m_W denote the number of general constraints in the working set and let $n_{\rm FX}$ denote the number of variables fixed at one of their bounds (m_W and $n_{\rm FX}$ are the quantities "Lin" and "Bnd" in the printed output from LSSOL). Similarly, let $n_{\rm FR}$ ($n_{\rm FR}=n-n_{\rm FX}$) denote the number of free variables. At every iteration, the variables are re-ordered so that the last $n_{\rm FX}$ variables are fixed, with all other relevant vectors and matrices ordered accordingly. The order of the variables is indicated by the list of indices XX, a parameter of LSSOL.

Let C_{FR} denote the $m_W \times n_{FR}$ submatrix of general constraints in the working set corresponding to the free variables, and let p_{FR} denote the search direction with respect to the free variables only. The general constraints in the working set will be unaltered by any move along p if

$$C_{\mathbf{FR}}p_{\mathbf{FR}}=0. (2)$$

In order to compute p_{FR} , the TQ factorization of C_{FR} is used:

$$C_{\rm FR}Q_{\rm FR}=(0 T), \tag{3}$$

where T is a nonsingular $m_w \times m_w$ reverse-triangular matrix (i.e., $t_{ij} = 0$ if $i + j < m_w$), and the non-singular $n_{FR} \times n_{FR}$ matrix Q_{FR} is the product of orthogonal transformations (see Gill et al., 1984a). If the columns of Q_{FR} are partitioned so that

$$Q_{FR} = (Z Y), \tag{4}$$

where Y is $n_{\rm FR} \times m_W$, then the n_Z ($n_Z = n_{\rm FR} - m_W$) columns of Z form a basis for the null space of $C_{\rm FR}$. Thus, $p_{\rm FR}$ will satisfy (2) only if

$$p_{\rm FR} = Z p_{\rm z} \tag{5}$$

for some vector p_z .

Let Q denote the $n \times n$ matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{\rm FR} & \\ & I_{\rm FX} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where I_{FX} is the identity matrix of order n_{FX} . Let R denote an $n \times n$ upper-triangular matrix (the Cholesky factor) such that

$$Q^T H Q = R^T R, (7)$$

and let the matrix of first n_z rows and columns of R be denoted by R_z . (Recall that H in (7) will in general have been re-ordered.)

The definition of p_x in (5) depends on whether or not the matrix R_x is singular at x. In the non-singular case, p_z satisfies the equations

$$R_z^T R_z p_z = -g_z, \tag{8}$$

where g_z denotes the vector Z^Tg_{yz} and g denotes the objective gradient. (The norms of g_{FR} is the printed quantity Norm Gf.) When p_Z is defined by (8). $x_{-1}p$ is the minimizer of the objective function subject to the constraints (bounds and general) in the working set treated as equalities. In general, a vector f_z is available such that $R_z^Tf_z = -g_z$, which allows p_Z to be computed from a single back-substitution $R_Zp_Z = f_Z$. For example, when solving problem LS1, f_Z comprises the first π_Z elements of the transformed residual vector

$$f = P(b - Ax), \tag{9}$$

which is recurred from one iteration to the next, where P is an orthogonal matrix.

In the singular case, p_z is defined such that

$$R_z p_z = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g_z^T p_z < 0. \tag{10}$$

This vector has the property that the objective function is linear along p and may be reduced by any step of the form $x - \alpha p$, $\alpha > 0$.

The vector Z^Tg_{kR} is known as the projected gradient at x. If the projected gradient is zero, x is a constrained stationary point in the subspace defined by Z. During the feasibility phase, the projected gradient will usually be zero only at a vertex (although it may be zero at non-vertices in the presence of constraint dependencies). During the optimality phase, a zero projected gradient implies that x minimizes the quadratic objective when the constraints in the working set are treated as equalities. At a constrained stationary point, Lagrange multipliers λ_C and λ_B for the general and bound constraints are defined from the equations

$$C_{\text{FR}}^T \lambda_C = g_{\text{FR}}$$
 and $\lambda_B = g_{\text{FX}} - C_{\text{FX}}^T \lambda_C$. (11)

Given a positive constant δ of the order of the machine precision, the Lagrange multiplier λ_j corresponding to an inequality constraint in the working set is said to be optimal if $\lambda_j \leq \delta$ when

the associated constraint is at its upper bound, or if $\lambda_j \geq -\delta$ when the associated constraint is at its lower bound. If a multiplier is non-optimal, the objective function (either the true objective or the sum of infeasibilities) can be reduced by deleting the corresponding constraint (with index Jdel: see Section 5) from the working set.

If optimal multipliers occur during the feasibility phase and the sum of infeasibilities is nonzero, there is no feasible point, and LSSOL will continue until the minimum value of the sum of infeasibilities has been found. At this point, the Lagrange multiplier λ_j corresponding to an inequality constraint in the working set will be such that $-(1+\delta) \leq \lambda_j \leq \delta$ when the associated constraint is at its upper bound, and $-\delta \leq \lambda_j \leq 1+\delta$ when the associated constraint is at its lower bound. Lagrange multipliers for equality constraints will satisfy $|\lambda_j| \leq 1+\delta$.

The choice of step length is based on remaining feasible with respect to the satisfied constraints. If R_z is nonsingular and x + p is feasible, α will be taken as unity. In this case, the projected gradient at \bar{x} will be zero, and Lagrange multipliers are computed. Otherwise, α is set to α_M , the step to the "nearest" constraint (with index Jadd; see Section 5), which is added to the working set at the next iteration.

If A is not input as a triangular matrix, it is overwritten by a triangular matrix R satisfying (7) obtained using the Cholesky factorization in the QP case, or the QR factorization in the LS case. Column interchanges are used in both cases, and an estimate is made of the rank of the triangular factor. Thereafter, the dependent rows of R are eliminated from the problem.

Each change in the working set leads to a simple change to C_{rR} : if the status of a general constraint changes, a row of C_{FR} is altered; if a bound constraint enters or leaves the working set, a column of C_{FR} changes. Explicit representations are recurred of the matrices T, Q_{FR} and R; and of vectors Q^Tg , Q^Tc and f, which are related by the formulae

$$f=Pb-\left(rac{R}{0}
ight)Q^{T}\!x \qquad (b\equiv 0 \quad ext{for the QP case}),$$

and

$$Q^T g = Q^T c - R^T f.$$

Note that the triangular factor R associated with the Hessian of the original problem is updated during both the optimality and the feasibility phases.

The treatment of the singular case depends critically on the following feature of the matrix updating schemes used in LSSOL: if a given factor R_z is non-singular, it can become singular during subsequent iterations only when a constraint leaves the working set, in which case only its last diagonal element can become zero. This property implies that a vector satisfying (10) may be found using the single back-substitution $\tilde{R}_z p_z = e_z$, where \tilde{R}_z is the matrix R_z with a unit last diagonal, and e_z is a vector of all zeros except in the last position. If H is singular, the matrix R (and hence R_z) may be singular at the start of the optimality phase. However, R_z will be non-singular if enough constraints are included in the initial working set. (The null matrix is positive definite by definition, corresponding to the case when $C_{\rm FR}$ contains $n_{\rm FR}$ constraints.) The idea is to include as many general constraints as necessary to ensure a non-singular R_z .

At the beginning of each phase, an upper-triangular matrix R_1 is determined that is the largest non-singular leading submatrix of R_Z . The use of interchanges during the factorization of A tends to maximize the dimension of R_1 . (The rank of R_1 is estimated using the optional parameter Rank Tolerance; see Section 4.2.) Let Z_1 denote the columns of Z corresponding to R_1 , and let Z be partitioned as $Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & Z_2 \end{pmatrix}$. A working set for which Z_1 defines the null space can be obtained

by including the rows of Z_2^T as "artificial constraints". Minimization of the objective function then proceeds within the subspace defined by Z_1 .

The artificially augmented working set is given by

$$\bar{C}_{FR} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{FR} \\ Z_2^T \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

so that $p_{\rm FR}$ will satisfy $C_{\rm FR}p_{\rm FR}=0$ and $Z_2^Tp_{\rm FR}=0$. By definition of the TQ factorization, $\bar{C}_{\rm FR}$ automatically satisfies the following:

$$\hat{C}_{\mathrm{FR}}Q_{\mathrm{FR}} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{\mathrm{FR}} \\ Z_{2}^{T} \end{pmatrix}Q_{\mathrm{FR}} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{\mathrm{FR}} \\ Z_{2}^{T} \end{pmatrix} (Z_{1} \quad Z_{3} \quad Y) = (0 \quad \bar{T}),$$

where

$$\bar{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence the TQ factorization of (12) requires no additional work.

The matrix Z_2 need not be kept fixed, since its role is purely to define an appropriate null space; the TQ factorization can therefore be updated in the normal fashion as the iterations proceed. No work is required to "delete" the artificial constraints associated with Z_2 when $Z_1^T g_{FR} = 0$, since this simply involves repartitioning Q_{FR} . When deciding which constraint to delete, the "artificial" multiplier vector associated with the rows of Z_2^T is equal to $Z_2^T g_{FR}$, and the multipliers corresponding to the rows of the "true" working set are the multipliers that would be obtained if the temporary constraints were not present.

The number of columns of Z and Z_1 , the Euclidean norm of $Z_1^T g_{FR}$, and the condition estimator of R_1 appear in the printed output as Nz, Nz1, Norm Gz1 and Cond Rz1 (see Section 5).

Although the algorithm of LSSOL does not perform simplex steps in general, there is one exception: a linear program with fewer general constraints than variables (i.e., $m_L \leq n$). (Use of the simplex method in this situation leads to savings in storage.) At the starting point, the "natural" working set (the set of constraints exactly or nearly satisfied at the starting point) is augmented with a suitable number of "temporary" bounds, each of which has the effect of temporarily fixing a variable at its current value. In subsequent iterations, a temporary bound is treated as a standard constraint until it is deleted from the working set, in which case it is never added again.

One of the most important features of LSSOL is its control of the conditioning of the working set, whose nearness to linear dependence is estimated by the ratio of the largest to smallest diagonals of the TQ factor T (the printed value Cond T; see Section 5). In constructing the initial working set, constraints are excluded that would result in a large value of Cond T. Thereafter, LSSOL allows constraints to be violated by as much as a user-specified Feasibility Tolerance (see Section 4.2) in order to provide, whenever possible, a choice of constraints to be added to the working set at a given iteration. Let α_M denote the maximum step at which $x + \alpha_M p$ does not violate any constraint by more than its feasibility tolerance. All constraints at distance α ($\alpha \le \alpha_M$) along p from the current point are then viewed as acceptable candidates for inclusion in the working set. The constraint whose normal makes the largest angle with the search direction is added to the working set. In order to ensure that the new iterate satisfies the constraints in the working set as accurately as possible, the step taken is the exact distance to the newly added constraint. As a

consequence, negative steps are occasionally permitted, since the current iterate may violate the constraint to be added by as much as the feasibility tolerance.

LSSOL has been designed to be efficient when used to solve a sequence of related problems - for example, within a sequential quadratic programming method for nonlinearly constrained optimization (e.g., the NPSOL package of Gill et al., 1986). In particular, the user may specify an initial working set (the indices of the constraints believed to be satisfied exactly at the solution); see the discussion of the optional parameter warm Start in Section 4.2.

3. SPECIFICATION OF SUBROUTINE LSSOL

The formal specification of LSSOL is the following:

SUBROUTINE LSSOL (M, N,

NCLIN, NROWC, NROWA, C, BL, BU, CVEC, ISTATE, KX, X, A, B, INFORM, ITER, OBJ, CLAMDA,

IW, LENIW, W, LENW)

INTEGER

M, N, NCLIN,

NROWG, NROWA, INFORM, ITER, LENIW, LENW

INTEGER

ISTATE(N+NCLIN), KX(N), IW(LENIW)

REAL

REAL

C(NROWC,*), BL(N+NCLIN), BU(N+NCLIN),

CVEC(*), X(N), A(NROWA,*), B(*), CLAMDA(N+NCLIN), W(LENW)

Note: Here and elsewhere, the specification of a parameter as REAL should be interpreted as working precision, which may be DOUBLE in some installations.

3.1. Formal parameters

М (Input) The number of rows in the array A. If the problem is specified as type FP or LP (see Section 4), M is not referenced and is assumed to be zero.

> If the problem is of type QP, M will usually be N, the number of variables. However, a value of M less than N is appropriate for QP3 or QP4 if A is an upper-trapezoidal matrix with M rows. Similarly, M may be used to define the dimension of a leading block of non-zeros in the Hessian matrices of QP1 or QP2, in which case the last N - M rows and columns of A are assumed to be zero. In the QP case, M should not be greater than N: if it is, the last M-N rows of A are ignored.

> If the problem is specified as type LS1, LS2, LS3 or LS4, M is also the dimension of the array B. Note that all possibilities (M < N, M = N and M > N) are allowed.

N (Input) The number of variables, i.e., the dimension of X. (N must be positive.)

NCLIN (Input) The number of general linear constraints in the problem. (NCLIN may be

NROWC (Input) The declared row dimension of C. (NROWC must be at least 1 and at least NCLIN.)

NROWA (Input) The declared row dimension of the array A. (NROWA must be at least 1 and at least M.)

C (Input) A real array of declared dimension (NROWC,*), where the second dimension must be at least N. The i-th row of C contains the coefficients of the i-th general constraint, i = 1 to NCLIN. If NCLIN is zero, C is not accessed; the actual parameter may then be any convenient array or an array with dimension (1,1).

BL (Input) A real array of dimension at least N + NCLIN that contains the lower bounds for all the constraints, in the following order (which is also observed for BU, ISTATE, and CLAMDA): the first N elements of BL contain the lower bounds on the variables; if NCLIN > 0, the next NCLIN elements of BL contain the lower bounds for the general linear constraints. In order for the problem specification to be meaningful, it is required that BL(j) \leq BU(j) for all j. To specify a non-existent lower bound (i.e., $\ell_j = -\infty$), the value used must satisfy BL(j) \leq -BIGBND, where BIGBND is the value of the optional parameter Infinite Bound, whose default value is 10^{10} (see Section 4.2). To specify the j-th constraint as an equality, the user must set BL(j) = BU(j) = β , say, where $|\beta| <$ BIGBND.

BU

(Input) A real array of dimension at least N+NCLIN that contains the upper bounds for all the constraints, in the same order described above under BL. To specify a non-existent upper bound (i.e., $u_j = \infty$), the value used must satisfy BU(j) \geq BIGBND.

CVEC

(Input) A real array of dimension at least N containing the coefficients of the explicit linear term of the objective function. If the problem is of type FP, QP1, QP3, LS1 or LS3, CVEC is not accessed; CVEC may then be declared to be of dimension (1), or the actual parameter may be any convenient array.

ISTATE

(Input) An integer array of dimension at least N + NCLIN. ISTATE need not be initialized if Cold Start (the default) is specified. For a Warm Start, ISTATE specifies the desired status of the constraints at the start of the feasibility phase. The ordering of ISTATE is the same as that described above for BL, i.e., the first N components of ISTATE refer to the upper and lower bounds on the variables, and components N + 1 through N + NCLIN refer to the upper and lower bounds on Cx. Possible values for ISTATE are:

ISTATE(j)

Meaning

- O The corresponding constraint should not be in the initial working set.
- The constraint should be in the initial working set at its lower bound.
- The constraint should be in the initial working set at its upper bound.
- The constraint should be in the initial working set as an equality. This value must not be specified unless BL(j) = BU(j). The values 1, 2 or 3 all have the same effect when BL(j) = BU(j).

Other values of ISTATE are also acceptable. In particular, if LSSOL has been called previously with the same values of N and NCLIN, ISTATE already contains satisfactory information.

(Output) If LSSOL exits with INFORM = 0, 1 or 3, the values in the array ISTATE indicate the status of the constraints in the active set at the solution. Otherwise, ISTATE indicates the composition of the working set at the final iterate. The significance of each possible value of ISTATE(j) is as follows:

ISTATE(j)

Meaning

- -2 The constraint violates its lower bound by more than the feasibility tol-
- -1 The constraint violates its upper bound by more than the feasibility tolerance.
 - O The constraint is satisfied to within the feasibility tolerance, but is not in the working set.

- 1 This inequality constraint is included in the working set at its lower bound.
- This inequality constraint is included in the working set at its upper bound.
- The constraint is included in the working set as an equality. This value of ISTATE can occur only when BL(j) = BU(j).
- (Input) An integer array of dimension at least N. XX must be defined on input for problems QP3, QP4, LS3 or LS4, i.e., problems in which A is specified as an upper-trapezoidal matrix. KX must define the order of the columns of the matrix A with respect to the ordering of X. Thus, if KX(1) = 5, column 1 of A is the column associated with variable X(5). For problems of type FP, LP, QP1, QP2, LS1 or LS2, KX need not be initialized.

(Output) KX gives the order of the columns of A with respect to the ordering of X, as described above.

X (Input) A real array of dimension at least N. X contains the initial estimate of the solution.

(Output) X is the last iterate of LSSOL. If INFORM = 0, 1 or 3, X will be an estimate of the solution.

(Input) A real array of dimension (NROWA,*), where the second dimension must be at least N. A defines the data matrix A in LCLS.

If the problem is of type FP or LP, A is not accessed and may be dimensioned (1,1).

If the problem is of type QP1 or QP2, the first M rows and columns of A must contain the leading M by M rows and columns of the symmetric Hessian matrix. Only the diagonal and upper-triangular elements of the leading M rows and columns of A are referenced. The remaining elements are assumed to be zero and need not be assigned.

For problems QP3, QP4, LS3 or LS4, the first M rows of A must contain an M by N upper-trapezoidal factor of either the Hessian matrix or the least-squares matrix, ordered according to the KX array (see above). The factor need not be of full rank, i.e., some of the diagonals may be zero. However, as a general rule, the larger the dimension of the leading non-singular submatrix of A, the fewer iterations will be required. Elements outside the upper-triangular part of the first M rows of A are assumed to be zero and need not be assigned.

If a constrained least-squares problem contains a very large number of observations, storage limitations may prevent storage of the entire least-squares matrix. In such cases, the user should transform the original A into a triangular matrix before the call to LSSOL and solve the problem as type LS3 or LS4.

(Output) If the problem is of type LS or QP, A contains the upper triangular matrix R of (7), with columns ordered as indicated by KX (see above). This matrix may be used to obtain the variance-covariance matrix or to recover the upper-triangular factor of the original least-squares matrix.

B (Input) A real array of dimension at least M. If the problem is of type FP, LP or QP, B is not accessed and may be dimensioned (1). If the problem is of type LS, B must contain the vector of observations b in problem LCLS.

(Output) On exit from a problem of type LS, B contains the transformed residual vector (9).

INFORM

(Output) An integer that indicates the result of LSSOL. (If Print Level > 0, a short description of INFORM is printed.) The possible values of INFORM are:

INFORM	Meaning
0	X is a strong local minimum. (The projected gradient is negligible, the Lagrange multipliers are optimal, and R_x is non-singular.)
1	X is a weak local minimum. (The projected gradient is negligible, the Lagrange multipliers are optimal, but R_Z is singular or there is a small multiplier.) This means that the final X is not unique.
2	The solution appears to be unbounded. This value of INFORM implies that a step as large as Infinite Bound would have to be taken in order to continue the algorithm. This situation can occur only when A is singular, there is an explicit linear term, and at least one variable has no upper or lower bound.
3	No feasible point was found, i.e., it was not possible to satisfy all the constraints to within the feasibility tolerance. In this case, the constraint violations at the final X will reveal a value of the tolerance for which a feasible point will exist for example, if the feasibility tolerance for each violated constraint exceeds its Residual at the final point. The modified problem (with an altered feasibility tolerance) may then be solved using a Warm Start (see Section 4).
4	The limiting number of iterations (determined by the parameters Feasibility Phase Iterations and Optimality Phase Iterations) was reached before normal termination occurred.
5	The algorithm could be cycling, since a total of 50 changes were made to the working set without altering X.
6	An input parameter is invalid.

ITER

(Output) An integer that gives the total number of iterations performed in the feasibility phase and the optimality phase.

OBJ

(Output) The value of the objective function at X if X is feasible, or the sum of infeasibilities at X otherwise. If the problem is of type FP and X is feasible, OBJ is zero.

CLAMDA

(Output) A real array of dimension at least N + NCLIN that contains the Lagrange multiplier for every constraint with respect to the current working set. The ordering of CLAMDA follows the convention given above under BL, i.e., the first N components contain the multipliers for the bound constraints on the variables, and the remaining components contain the multipliers for the general linear constraints. If ISTATE(j) = 0 (i.e., constraint j is not in the working set), CLAMDA(j) is zero. If X is optimal, CLAMDA(j) should be non-negative if ISTATE(j) = 1 and non-positive if ISTATE(j) = 2.

3.2. Workspace parameters

IW (Input) An integer array of dimension LENIW that provides integer workspace for LSSOL.

LENIW

(Input) The dimension of IW. LENIW must be at least N.

W

(Input) A real array of dimension LENW that provides real workspace for LSSOL.

LENW

(Input) The dimension of W. If the problem is of type FP and N \leq NCLIN, LENW must be at least $2 N^2 + 6 N + 6 NCLIN$. If the problem is of type FP and 0 < NCLIN < N, LENW must be at least $2 (NCLIN + 1)^2 + 6 N + 6 NCLIN$. If NCLIN = 0, LENW must be at least 6 N.

If the problem is of type LP and N \leq NCLIN, LENW must be at least $2N^2 + 7N + 6$ NCLIN. If the problem is of type LP and N > NCLIN > 0, LENW must be at least 2 (NCLIN $+ 1)^2 + 7N + 6$ NCLIN. If the problem is of type LP and NCLIN = 0, LENW must be at least 7N.

For problems QP1, QP3, LS1 and LS3, LENW must be at least $2N^2 + 9N + 6NCLIN$ if NCLIN > 0, and at least 9N if NCLIN = 0. For problems QP2, QP4, LS2 and LS4, LENW must be at least $2N^2 + 10N + 6NCLIN$ if NCLIN > 0, and at least 10N if NCLIN = 0.

If Print Level > 0, the amounts of workspace provided and required are printed. As an alternative to computing LENIW and LENW from the formulas given above, the user may prefer to obtain appropriate values from the output of a preliminary run with a positive value of Print Level and LENIW and LENW set to 1. (LSSOL will then terminate with INFORM = 6.)

4. OPTIONAL INPUT PARAMETERS

Several optional parameters in LSSOL define choices in the problem specification or the algorithm logic. In order to reduce the number of formal parameters of LSSOL, these optional parameters have associated default values (see Section 4.2) that are appropriate for most problems. Therefore, the user need specify only those parameters whose values are to be different from their default values. The remainder of this section can be skipped by users who wish to use the default values for all optional parameters.

Each optional parameter is defined by a single character string of up to 72 characters, containing one or more items. The items associated with a given option must be separated by spaces or equal signs (=). Alphabetic characters may be upper or lower case. An example of an optional parameter is the string

Print level = 5

For each option, the string contains the following items.

- 1. The keyword (required for all options).
- 2. A phrase (one or two words) that qualifies the keyword (only for some options).
- 3. A number that specifies either an INTEGER or a REAL value (only for some options). Such numbers may be up to 16 contiguous characters in Fortran 77's I. F. E or D formats, terminated by a space.

Blank strings and comments are ignored and may be used to improve readability. A comment begins with an asterisk (*) and all subsequent characters are ignored. If the string is not a comment and is not recognized, a warning message is printed on the specified output device (see Section 7.5). Synonyms are recognized for some of the keywords, and abbreviations may be used.

The following are examples of valid option strings for LSSOL:

```
NOLIST

warm start

COLD START

Problem type = Least Squares

Problem type = LP

Problem Type QP4

Feasibility tolerance 1.CE-8 * for IBM in double precision

CRASH TOLERANCE = .002

* This string will be completely ignored.

Feasibility phase iteration limit 100

Optimality phase iteration limit = 10 *
```

4.1. Specification of the optional parameters

Optional parameters may be specified in two ways, as follows.

• Using subroutine LSFILE and an external file

The subroutine LSFILE provided with the LSSOL package will read options from an external options file, and should be called before a call to LSSOL. Each line of the options file defines a single optional parameter. The file must begin with Begin and end with End. (An options file consisting only of these two lines corresponds to supplying no options.)

The specification of LSFILE is

```
SUBROUTINE LSFILE ( IOPTNS, INFORM )
INTEGER IOPTNS, INFORM
```

IOPTNS must be the unit number of the options file, in the range [0,99], and is unchanged on exit from LSFILE. INFORM need not be set on entry. On return, INFORM will be 0 if the file is a valid options file and IOPTNS is in the correct range. INFORM will be set to 1 if IOPTNS is out of range, and will be set to 2 if the file does not begin with Begin or end with End.

An example of a valid options file is

Begin
Print level = 5
Problem type LP
End

If the options file is on unit number 5, it can be read by the call

CALL LSFILE(5, INFORM)

• Using subroutine LSOPTN

The second method of setting the optional parameters is through a series of calls to the subroutine LSOPTN provided with the LSSOL package. The specification of LSOPTN is

```
SUBROUTINE LSOPTN( STRING )
CHARACTER*(*) STRING
```

STRING must be a single valid option string (see above), and will be unchanged on exit. LSOPTN must be called once for every optional parameter to be set. An example of a call to LSOPTN is

```
CALL LSOPTN( 'Print level = 5')
```

• Use of the Nolist and Defaults option

In general, each user-specified optional parameter is printed as it is read or defined. By using the special parameter Nolist, the user may suppress this printing for a given call of LSSOL. To take effect, Nolist must be the first parameter specified in the options file; for example,

```
Begin
Nolist
Problem type LP
End
```

Alternatively, the first call to LSOPTN, before or after a call to LSSOL, must be

```
CALL LSOPTN( 'Nolist' ).
```

All parameters not specified by the user are automatically set to their default values. Any optional parameters that are set by the user are not altered by LSSOL, and hence changes to the options are cumulative. For example, calling LSOPTN('Print level = 5') sets the print level to 5 for all subsequent calls to LSSOL until it is reset by the user. The only exception to this

rule is permitted by the special optional parameter Defaults, whose effect is to reset all optional parameters to their default values. For example, in the following situation

```
CALL LSSOL ( ... )

C CALL LSOPTN( 'Print level 5' )

CALL LSOPTN( 'Iteration limit = 100' )

CALL LSSOL ( ... )

C CALL LSOPTN( 'Defaults' )

CALL LSSOL ( ... )
```

the first and last runs of LSSOL will occur with the default parameter settings, but in the second run, the print level and iteration limit are altered.

4.2. Description of the optional parameters

The following list (in alphabetical order) gives the valid options. For each option, we give the keyword, any essential optional qualifiers, the default value, and the definition. The minimum abbreviation of each keyword is underlined. If no characters of an optional qualifier are underlined, the qualifier may be omitted. The letter a denotes a phrase (character string) that qualifies an option. The letters a and a denote INTEGER and REAL values required with certain options. The number a is a generic notation for machine precision.

Cold Start Warm Start

Default = Cold Start

This option specifies how the initial working set is chosen. With a cold start, LSSOL chooses the initial working set based on the values of the variables and constraints at the initial point. Broadly speaking, the initial working set will include equality constraints and bounds or inequality constraints that violate or "nearly" satisfy their bounds (to within Crash Tolerance; see below).

With a warm start, the user must provide a valid definition of every element of the array ISTATE (see Section 3 for the definition of this array). LSSOL will override the user's specification of ISTATE if necessary, so that a poor choice of the working set will not cause a fatal error. A warm start will be advantageous if a good estimate of the initial working set is available—for example, when LSSOL is called repeatedly to solve related problems.

<u>Crash Tolerance</u> r Default = .01

This value is used in conjunction with the optional parameter Cold Start (the default value) when LSSOL selects an initial working set. If $0 \le r \le 1$, the initial working set will include bounds or general inequality constraints that lie within r of their bounds. In particular, a constraint of the form $c_j^T x \ge l$ will be included in the initial working set if $|c_j^T x - l| \le r(1 + |l|)$. If r < 0 or r > 1, the default value is used.

```
Feasibility Phase Iteration Limit i_1 Default = \max(50, 5(n + m_L)) Optimality Phase Iteration Limit i_2 Default = \max(50, 5(n + m_L))
```

The scalars i_1 and i_2 specify the maximum number of iterations allowed in the feasibility and optimality phases. Optimality Phase Iteration Limit is equivalent to Iteration Limit. Setting $i_1 = 0$ and Print Level > 0 means that the workspace needed will be computed and printed, but no iterations will be performed.

Feasibility Tolerance

r

Default $= \sqrt{\epsilon}$

If r > 0, r defines the maximum acceptable absolute violation in each constraint at a "feasible" point; i.e., a constraint is considered satisfied if its violation does not exceed r. For example, if the variables and the coefficients in the general constraints are of order unity, and the latter are correct to about 6 decimal digits, it would be appropriate to specify r as 10^{-6} . If $r \le 0$, the default value is used.

Infinite Bound Size

T

Default $= 10^{10}$

If r > 0, τ defines the "infinite" bound BIGBND in the definition of the problem constraints. Any upper bound greater than or equal to BIGBND will be regarded as plus infinity (and similarly for a lower bound less than or equal to -BIGBND). If $\tau \le 0$, the default value is used.

Infinite Step Size

T

 $Default = max(BIGBND, 10^{10})$

If r > 0, r specifies the magnitude of the change in variables that will be considered a step to an unbounded solution. (Note that an unbounded solution can occur only when the Hessian is singular and the objective contains an explicit linear term.) If the change in x during an iteration would exceed the value of Infinite Step, the objective function is considered to be unbounded below in the feasible region. If $r \le 0$, the default value is used.

Iteration Limit

i

 $Default = \max(50, 5(n + m_L))$

<u>It</u>ers

Itns

See Feasibility Phase Iteration Limit above.

Optimality Phase Iteration Limit

i

Default = $\max(50, 5(n + m_I))$

See Feasibility Phase Iteration Limit above.

Print Lovel

1

Default = 10

The value of i controls the amount of printout produced by LSSOL, as indicated below.

i Output

- 0 No output.
- 1 The final solution only.
- 5 One line of output for each iteration (no printout of the final solution).
- ≥ 10 The final solution and one line of output for each iteration.
- ≥ 20 At each iteration, the Lagrange multipliers, the variables x, the constraint values Cx and the constraint status.
- > 30 At each iteration, the diagonal elements of the matrix T associated with the TQ factorization (3) of the working set, and the diagonal elements of the triangular matrix R.

Problem Type

a

Default = LS1

This option specifies the type of objective function to be minimized during the optimality phase. The following are the ten optional keywords and the dimensions of the arrays that must be specified to define the objective function:

FP	A, B and CVEC not accessed;
LP	A and B not accessed, CVEC(N);
QP1	A(NROWA, N) symmetric, B and CVEC not referenced;
QP2	A(NROWA, N) symmetric, B not referenced, CVEC(N);
QP3	A(NROWA, N) upper-trapezoidal, KX(N), B and CVEC not referenced;
QP4	A(NROWA, N) upper-trapezoidal, KX(N), B not referenced, CVEC(N);
LS1	A(NROWA, N), B(M), CVEC not referenced;
LS2	A(NROWA,N), B(M), CVEC(N);
LS3	A(NROWA, N) upper-trapezoidal, KX(N), B(M), CVEC not referenced;
LS4	A(NROWA, N) upper-trapezoidal, KX(N), B(M), CVEC(N).

The options Loast Squares and LSQ are equivalent to the default option LS1. The options Linear program and Quadratic program are equivalent to LP and QP2 respectively. If A=0, i.e., the objective function is purely linear, the efficiency of LSSOL may be increased by specifying a as LP (or Linear Program).

Rank Tolerance r Default $=\sqrt{\epsilon}$

If $0 \le r < 1$, r enables the user to control the estimation of the rank of A and the triangular factor R_1 (see Section 2). If ρ_i denotes the function $\rho_i = \max\{|R_{11}|, |R_{22}|, \dots, |R_{ii}|\}$, the rank of R is defined to be smallest index i such that $|R_{i+1,i+1}| \le r|\rho_{i-1}|$. If r < 0 or $r \ge 1$, the default value is used.

4.3. Optional parameter checklist and default values

For easy reference, the following sample LSOPTN list shows all valid keywords and their default values. The default options Feasibility Tolerance and Rank Tolerance depend upon ϵ , the relative precision of the machine being used. The values given here correspond to double precision arithmetic on IBM 360 and 370 systems and their successors ($\epsilon \approx 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$). Similar values would apply to any machine having about 16 decimal digits of precision.

* List of optional parameters. Cold Start Crash Tolerance .01 Feasibility Tolerance * $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ 1.1E-8 Infinite Bound 1.0E+10 * Plus infinity Infinite Step 1.0E+10 Feasibility Phase Iteration Limit * or $5(n+m_L)$ Optimality Phase Iteration Limit * or $5(n+m_L)$ Print Level Problem Type * or LS1 Least squares Rank Tolerance 1.1E-8 $*\sqrt{\epsilon}$

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE PRINTED OUTPUT

This section describes the intermediate printout produced by LSSOL. To aid interpretation of the printed results, we repeat the convention for numbering the constraints; indices 1 through N refer to the bounds on the variables, and indices N + 1 through N + NCLIN refer to the general constraints. When the status of a constraint changes, the index of the constraint is printed, along with the designation "L" (lower bound), "U" (upper bound), "E" (equality). "T" (temporary bound) or "Z" (artificial constraint).

When Print Level > 5, the following line of output is produced at every iteration. In all cases, the values of the quantities printed are those in effect on completion of the given iteration.

Itn is the iteration count.

Jdel is the index of the constraint deleted from the working set. If Jdel is zero, no

constraint was deleted.

Jadd is the index of the constraint added to the working set. If Jadd is zero, no

constraint was added.

is the step taken along the computed search direction. If a constraint is added Step

> during the current iteration (i.e., Jadd is positive). Step will be the step to the nearest constraint. During the optimality phase, the step can be greater than

one only if the factor R_z is singular.

is the number of violated constraints (infeasibilities). This number will be zero Ninf

during the optimality phase.

Sinf/Objective is the value of the current objective function. If X is not feasible, Sinf gives a weighted sum of the magnitudes of constraint violations. If X is feasible, Objective is the value of the objective function of LCLS. The output line for

the final iteration of the feasibility phase (i.e., the first iteration for which NINF is zero) will give the value of the true objective at the first feasible point.

During the optimality phase, the value of the objective function will be nonincreasing. During the feasibility phase, the number of constraint infeasibilities will not increase until either a feasible point is found, or the optimality of the multipliers implies that no feasible point exists. Once optimal multipliers are obtained, the number of infeasibilities can increase, but the sum of infeasibilities will either remain constant or be reduced until the minimum sum of

infeasibilities is found.

is the number of simple bound constraints in the current working set. Bnd

Lin is the number of general linear constraints in the current working set.

is the number of columns of Z (see Section 2). The value of Nz is the number

of variables minus the number of constraints in the working set; i.e., Nz -N-(Bnd+Lin). A zero value of Nz implies that x lies at a vertex of the feasible

region.

Nz

is the number of columns of Z_1 (see Section 2). Nz1 is the dimension of the Nz1

subspace in which the objective function is currently being minimized. If Nz1 is less than Nz, the current R_z is singular.

Norm Gf is the Euclidean norm of the gradient of the objective function with respect to

the free variables, i.e., variables not currently held at a bound.

Norm Gz1 is $||Z_1^T g_{FR}||$, the Euclidean norm of the projected gradient with respect to Z_1 .

During the optimality phase, this norm will be approximately zero after a unit

step.

Cond T

is a lower bound on the condition number of the working set.

Cond Rz1

is a lower bound on the condition number of the triangular factor R_1 (the first Nz1 rows and columns of the factor R_z . If the problem is specified to be of type LP, or the estimated rank of the data matrix A is zero, Cond Rz1 is not printed.

When Print Level = 1 or Print Level > 10, the summary printout at the end of execution of LSSOL includes a listing of the status of every variable and constraint. Note that default names are assigned to all variables and constraints.

The following describes the printout for each variable.

Variable

gives the name (VARBL) and index j (j = 1 to N) of the variable.

State

gives the state of the variable (FR if neither bound is in the working set, EQ if a fixed variable, LL if on its lower bound, UL if on its upper bound). If Value lies outside the upper or lower bounds by more than the feasibility tolerance,

State will be "++" or "--" respectively.

Value

is the value of the variable at the final iteration.

Lower bound

is the lower bound specified for the variable. ("None" indicates that $BL(j) \leq$

-BIGBND.)

Upper bound

is the upper bound specified for the variable. ("None" indicates that $BU(j) \ge 1$

BIGBND.)

Lagr multiplier is the value of the Lagrange multiplier for the associated bound constraint. This will be zero if State is FR. If X is optimal, the multiplier should be non-negative

if State is LL, and non-positive if State is UL.

Residual

is the difference between the variable "Value" and the nearer of its bounds

BL(j) and BU(j).

The meaning of the printout for general constraints is the same as that given above for variables, with "variable" replaced by "constraint", with the following change in the heading:

is the name (LNCON) and index i (i = 1 to NCLIN) of the constraint. Linear constr

6. ERROR RECOVERY

Termination

Recommended Action

Underflow

A single underflow will always occur if machine constants are computed automatically (as in the distributed version of LSSOL; see Section 7). Other floating-point underflows may occur occasionally, but can usually be ignored.

Overflow

If the printed output before the overflow error contains a warning about serious ill-conditioning in the working set when adding the j-th constraint, it may be possible to avoid the difficulty by increasing the magnitude of the optional parameter Feasibility Tolerance and rerunning the program. If the message recurs even after this change, the offending linearly dependent constraint (with index "j") must be removed from the problem. If a warning message did not precede the fatal overflow, contact the authors at Stanford University.

INFORM = 3

LSSOL has terminated without finding a feasible point, which means that no feasible point exists for the given feasibility tolerance. The user should check that there are no constraint redundancies. If the data for the constraints are accurate only to the absolute precision σ , the user should ensure that the value of the optional parameter Feasibility Tolerance is greater than σ . For example, if all elements of C are of order unity and are accurate only to three decimal places, the optional parameter Feasibility Tolerance should be at least 10^{-3} .

INFORM = 4

The value of the optional parameter Iteration Limit may be too small. If the method appears to be making progress (e.g., the objective function is being satisfactorily reduced), increase the iterations limit and rerun LSSOL (possibly using the warm start facility to specify the initial working set). If the iteration limit is already large, but some of the constraints could be nearly linearly dependent, check the output for a repeated pattern of constraints entering and leaving the working set. (Near-dependencies are often indicated by wide variations in size in the diagonal elements of the T matrix, which will be printed if Print Level ≥ 30 .) In this case, the algorithm could be cycling (see the comments for INFORM = 5).

INFORM = 5

This value will occur if 50 iterations are performed without changing X. The user should check the printed output for a repeated pattern of constraint deletions and additions. If a sequence of constraint changes is being repeated, the iterates are probably cycling. (LSSOL does not contain a method that is guaranteed to avoid cycling: such a method would be combinatorial in nature.) Cycling may occur in two circumstances: at a constrained stationary point where there are some small or zero Lagrange multipliers; or at a point (usually a vertex) where the constraints that are satisfied exactly are nearly linearly dependent. In the latter case, the user has the option of identifying the offending dependent constraints and removing them from the problem, or restarting the run with a larger value of the optional parameter Feasibility Tolerance. If LSSOL terminates with INFORM = 5, but no suspicious pattern of constraint changes can be observed, it may be worthwhile to restart with the final X (with or without the warm start option).

7. IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION

7.1. Format of the distribution tape

The source code and example program for LSSOL are distributed on a magnetic tape containing 7 files. The tape characteristics are described in a document accompanying the tape; normally they are 9 track, 1600 bpi, unlabeled, ASCH, 80-character records (card images), 4800-character blocks.

The following is a list of the files and a summary of their contents. For reference purposes we give a name to each file. However, the names will not be recorded on unlabeled tapes. The MACH and LSCODE files are composed of several smaller source files described in Section 7.3.

File	Name	Type	Cards	Description
1.	DPMACH	FORTRAN	450	Double-precision source file 1: MCSUBS
2.	DPLSCODE	FORTRAN	8250	Double precision source files 2-5: BLAS,, OPSUBS
3.	DPLSMAIN	FORTRAN	260	Double-precision source file LSMAIN
4.	LSMAIN	DATA	6	Options file for LSMAIN
5.	SPMACH	FORTRAN	450	Single-precision source file 1
6.	SPLSCODE	FORTRAN	825 0	Single-precision source files 2-5
7.	SPLSMAIN	FORTRAN	26 0	Single-precision version of file 3

[†] Approximate figure.

One MACH and one LSCODE file should be selected for any given installation. DPMACH and DPLSCODE are intended for machines that generally require double precision computation. Examples include IBM Systems 360, 370, 3033, 3081, etc.; Amdahl 470, Facom, Fujitsu. Hitachi, and other systems analogous to IBM; DEC VAX systems; Data General MV/8000; ICL 2900 series; recent PRIME systems; DEC Systems 10 and 20; Honeywell systems; and the Univac 1100 series.

SPMACH and SPLSCODE are intended for machines for which single precision is suitably accurate for numerical computation. Examples include the Burroughs 6700 and 7700 series; the CDC 6000 and 7000 series and their Cyber counterparts; and the Cray-1.

7.2. Installation procedure

- 1. Obtain the appropriate MACH and LSCODE files from the tape.
- 2. If necessary, edit the subroutine MCHPAR according to Section 7.5.
- 3. Decide whether or not to split the LSCODE file into files BLAS through OPSUBS as suggested in Section 7.3.
- 4. Compile all the routines that were originally in the LSCODE files together with those from MACH. Run them in conjunction with the main program LSMAIN from either File 3 or File 7 and the options given in file LSMAIN DATA. Check the output against that shown in Section 8.

7.3. Source files

LSSOL has been written in ANSI (1977) Fortran and tested on an IBM 3081K computer using the IBM Fortran 77 compiler VS Fortran. Certain unavoidable machine dependencies are confined to the routine MCHPAR

The source code is divided into 5 logical parts. For ease of handling, these are combined into the MACH and LSCODE files on the distribution tape, but for subsequent maintenance we recommend that 5 separate files be kept. In the description below we suggest a name for each file and summarize

its purpose. We then list the names of the Fortran subroutines and functions involved. The naming convention used should minimize the risk of a clash with user-written routines.

File 1. MCSUBS Computes machine-dependent constants.

MCHPAR MCEPS MCENV1 MCENV2 MCSTOR

File 2. BLAS Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms (a subset).

DASUM DAKPY DCOPY DDOT DNRM2 DSWAP DSCAL IDAMAX
These routines are functionally similar to members of the BLAS package (Lawson et al., 1979). If possible they should be replaced by authentic BLAS routines. Versions may exist that have been tuned to your particular machine.

DGEMV DGER1

These routines are functionally similar to members of the Level 2 BLAS packages (Dongarra et al., 1985).

DCOND DDIV DDSCL DLOAD DNORM DSSQ DSWAP ICOPY IDRANK ILOAD

These are additional utility routines that could be tuned to your machine. DLOAD is used the most frequently, to load a vector with a constant value.

DROT3 DROT3G DGEAPQ DGEQR DGEQRP DGRFG

These linear algebra routines are used to compute and update various matrix factorizations in LSSOL.

File 3. CMSUBS General utility routines.

CMALF CMALF1 CMCHK CMFEAS CMPRT CMQMUL CMRSOL CMRSWP CMR1MD CMTSOL

File 4. LSSUBS Least-squares routines.

LSADD LSADDS LSBNDS LSCHOL LSCORE LSCRSH LSDEL LSDFLT LSFEAS LSFILE LSKEY LSLOC LSMULS **LSGETP LSGSET** LSMOVE LSOPTN LSPRT LSSETX LSSOL

File 5. OPSUBS Option string handling routines.

OPFILE OPLOOK OPNUM OPSCAN OPTOKN DPUPPR

7.4. Common blocks

Certain Fortran COMMON blocks are used in the LSSOL source code to communicate between subroutines. Their names are listed below.

CMDEBG LSDEBG LSPAR1 LSPAR2 SOL1CM SOL3CM SOL4CM SOL5CM SOL6CM SOLMCH SOL1LS SOL3LS

7.5. Machine-dependent subroutines

The routine MCHPAR in the MACH file may require modification to suit a particular machine or a non-standard application.

At the beginning of LSSOL, MCHPAR is called to assign the machine-dependent constants and the standard input and output unit numbers. These parameters are stored in the array WMACH(15) in the labeled COMMON block SOLMCH, and are defined as follows.

WMACH(1)	is NBASE, the base of floating-point arithmetic.
WMACH(2)	is NDIGIT, the number of NBASE digits of precision.
WMACH(3)	is EPS, the floating-point precision.
WMACH(4)	is RTEPS, the square root of EPSMCH.
WMACH(5)	is RMIN, the smallest positive floating-point number.
WMACH(6)	is RTMIN, the square root of RMIN.
WMACH(7)	is RMAX, the largest positive floating-point number.
WMACH(8)	is RTMAX, the square root of RMAX.
WMACH(10)	is NIN, the file number for the input stream.
WMACH(11)	is NOUT, the file number for the output stream.

Within routine MCHPAR, the machine constants are set one of two ways, depending upon the value of the logical variable HDWIRE, which is set in-line.

If HDWIRE is .FALSE. (the value set for the distributed copy of MCHPAR), the machine constants are computed automatically for the machine being used. If HDWIRE is .TRUE., machine constants appropriate for the IBM 360 Series are assigned directly to the elements of WMACH.

Before selecting the method of assigning the machine constants, you should note the following. The computation of the machine constants will always generate a single arithmetic underflow, and hence some appropriate remedial action may need to be taken if your machine traps underflow.

If you wish to implement the in-line assignment of machine constants for a machine other than one from the IBM 360/370 Series, MCHPAR must be modified as follows.

- 1. Change the in-line assignment of HDWIRE from .FALSE. to .TRUE ..
- 2. Set the values of WMACH appropriate for the machine and precision being used. The values of NBASE, NDIGIT. EPSMCH, RMIN and RMAX for several machines are given in the following table, for both single and double precision; RTEPS, RTMIN and RTMAX may be computed using Fortran statements. The values NIN and NOUT depend on the machine installation.

For each precision, we give two values for EPSMCH, RMIN and RMAX. The first value is a Fortran decimal approximation of the exact quantity; use of this value in MCHPAR should cause no difficulty except in extreme circumstances. The second value is the exact mathematical representation.

Table of machine-dependent parameters

	IBM 360/370	CDC 6000/7000	DEC 10/20	Univac 1100	DEC Vax
	Single	Single	Single	Single	Single
NBASE	16	2	2	2	2
NDIGIT	6	48	27	27	24
EPS	9.54E-7	7.11E-15	7.46E-9	1.50E-8	1.20E-7
	16 ⁻⁵	2-47	2 ⁻²⁷	2 ⁻²⁶	2 ⁻²³
RMIN	1.0E-78	1.0E-293	1.0E-38	1.0E-38	1.0E-38
	16 ⁻⁶⁵	2 ⁻⁹⁷⁵	2 ⁻¹²⁹	2 ⁻¹²⁹	2 ⁻¹²⁸
RMAX	1.0E+75	1.0E+322	1.0E+38	1.0E+38	1.9E+38
	16 ⁶³ (1-16 ⁻⁶)	2 ¹⁰⁷⁰ (1 2 ⁻⁴⁸)	2 ¹²⁷ (1 2 ⁻²⁷)	2 ¹²⁷ (1-2 ⁻²⁷)	2 ¹²⁷ (1-2 ⁻²⁴)

	IBM 360/370	CDC 6000/7000	DEC 10/20	Univac 1100	DEC Vax
	Double	Double	Double	Double	Double
NBASE	18	2	2	2	2
NDIGIT	14	96	62	61	56
EPS	2.22D-16	2.53D-29	2.17D-19	8.68D-19	2.78D-17
	16 ⁻¹³	2 ⁻⁹⁵	2 ⁻⁶²	2 ⁶⁰	2 ⁵⁵
RMIN	1.0D-78	1.0D-293	1.0D-38	1.0D-308	1.0D-38
	16 ⁻⁶⁵	2 ⁻⁹⁷⁵	2 ⁻¹²⁹	2 ⁻¹⁰²⁵	2-128
RMAX	1.0D+75	1.0D+322	1.0D+38	1.0D+307	1.0D+38
	16 ⁵³ (1-16 ⁻¹⁴)	2 ¹⁰⁷⁰ (1-2 ⁻⁹⁶)	2 ¹²⁷ (1·2 ⁻⁶²)	2 ¹⁰²³ (1-2 ⁻⁶¹)	2 ¹²⁷ (1-2 ⁻⁵⁶)

8. EXAMPLE PROBLEMS

This section describes a linear least-squares problem and a quadratic program; the sample main program LSMAIN that calls LSSOL and the output are given in the Appendix.

The first problem is a constrained least-squares problem of type LS1 with nine variables and three general linear constraints. The least-squares matrix and vector of observations are given by

The least-squares matrix has rank 6. Let ℓ in LCLS be partitioned into two sections: the first n components (denoted by ℓ_B), corresponding to the bound constraints; and the last m_L components (denoted by ℓ_L), corresponding to the linear constraints. The vector u is partitioned in a similar fashion. Using this notation, the upper and lower bounds on the variables are given by

$$egin{aligned} m{\ell}_{B} &= (-2, -2, -\infty, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2)^{T} \ &u_{B} &= (-2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2)^{T}. \end{aligned}$$

and the general constraints are given by

$$\ell_L = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -\infty \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad u_L = \begin{pmatrix} \infty \\ -2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The starting point x_0 is

$$x_0 = (.1, .5, .3333, .25, .2, .1667, .1428, .125, .1111)^T$$

and $F(x_0) = 9.4746$ (to five figures). The optimal solution (to five figures) is

$$x^* = (2.0000, 1.5719, -1.4454, -.037003, .546685, .17512, -1.6567, -.39477, .31002)^T$$

and $F(x^*) = 1.390587$. All three general linear constraints are satisfied exactly at x^* . The Lagrange multiplier associated with the third general constraint is of the order of the machine precision, and therefore the point x^* is a weak minimum, i.e., the optimal objective function is unique, but is achieved for infinitely many values of x.

The second problem is a quadratic programming problem of type QP2 with a semi-definite Hessian matrix and linear term given by

(Note that by setting M = 5, we need not assign the last four rows and columns of A to zero.)

The upper and lower bounds on the variables are given by

$$l_B = (-2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2)^T$$

 $u_B = (2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)^T$

and the general constraints are given by

$$\ell_L = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad u_L = \begin{pmatrix} 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The starting point x_0 is the zero vector, at which $F(x_0) = 0$. The optimal solution (to five figures) is

$$\vec{x} = (2.0, -.23333, -.26667, -.3, -.1, 2.0, 2.0, -1.7777, -.45555)^T$$

and $F(x^*) = -8.067778$. The first two linear constraints are satisfied exactly at the solution, as are the upper bounds on variables x_1 , x_6 and x_7 . Note that, although the Hessian matrix is positive semi-definite, the point x^* is unique.

9. REFERENCES

- Dongarra, J. J., Du Croz, J. J., Hammarling, S. J. and Hanson, R. J. (1985). A proposal for an extended set of Fortran basic linear algebra subprograms. SIGNUM Newsletter 20, 1, 2–18.
- Gill. P. E., Murray, W., Saunders, M. A., and Wright, M. H. (1984a). Procedures for optimization problems with a mixture of bounds and general linear constraints, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 10.
- Gill, P. E., Murray, W., Saunders, M. A. and Wright, M. H. (1984b). User's guide for QPSOL (Version 3.2): a Fortran package for quadratic programming, Report SOL 84-6, Department of Operations Research, Stanford University, California.
- Gill. P. E., Murray, W., Saunders, M. A. and Wright, M. H. (1986). User's guide for NPSOL (Version 4.0): a Fortran package for nonlinear programming, Report SOL 86-2, Department of Operations Research, Stanford University, California.
- Gill, P. E., Murray, W. and Wright, M. H. (1981). Practical Optimization, Academic Press, London and New York.
- Lawson, C. L., Hanson, R. J., Kincaid, D. R., and Krogh, F. T. (1979). Basic linear algebra subprograms for Fortran usage, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 5, pp. 308-325.
- Stoer, J. (1971). On the numerical solution of constrained least-squares problems, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 8, pp. 382-411.

APPENDIX, SAMPLE PROGRAM AND OUTPUT

```
2 *
       FILE LSMAIN FORTRAN
3 ×
        Sample program for Version 1.0 January 1986.
6
        IMPLICIT
                          DOUBLE PRECISION(A-H,0-Z)
8
        Set the declared array dimensions.
        NROWC = the declared row dimension of C.
NROWA = the declared row dimension of A.
10 *
11 *
12 *
        MAXN = maximum no. of variables allowed for.
13 *
        MAXM
              = maximum no. of observations allowed for.
        MAXBNO = maximum no. of variables + linear constraints.
        LIMORK = the length of the integer work array.
15 *
16 ×
        LWORK = the length of the double precision work array.
17
18
                         (NRONC = 3, NRONA = 10,
MAXN = 9, MAXM = 10,
        PARAMETER
19
                          LIWORK = 60, LWORK = 900,
       5
20
21
       $
                          MAXBIND = MAXN + NROWC )
22
23
        INTEGER
                          KX(MAXN), ISTATE(MAXBND)
24
        INTEGER
                          INORK(LINORK)
25
        DOUBLE PRECISION C(NRONC, MAXN), B(MAXM)
26
        DOUBLE PRECISION
                          BL(MAXBND), BU(MAXBND), CLAMDA(MAXBND)
27
        DOUBLE PRECISION
                          CVEC(MAXN)
23
        DOUBLE PRECISION
                          A(NROHA, MAXN), X(MAXN)
29
        DOUBLE PRECISION
                          NORK( LWORK )
30
31
        DOUBLE PRECISION
                          BIGBND
32
        CHARACTERXIO
                          CBGSND
33
34
        INTRINSIC
                          FLOAT
35
36
        PARAMETER
                       ( POINT1=0.10+0, POINT3=0.30+0, ONEPT5=1.50+0 )
                       ( ZERO =0.00+0, ONE =1.00+0, TWO =2.00+0)
( THREE =3.00+0, FCUR =4.00+0, FIVE =5.00+0)
37
        PARAMETER
33
        PARAMETER
39
        FARAMETER
                        ( SIX =6.0D+0
40
41
        BIGBND = 1.00+15
        CBSBND = '1.00+15'
42
43
44 *
        Example 1. A linear least-squares problem.
45 ¥
46 *
        47 ×
        Set the actual problem dimensions.
48 ★
             = the number of observations (rows of A) (may be 0).
              = the number of variables.
49 ×
        NCLIN = the number of general linear constraints (may be 0).
50 ×
51
52
              = 10
               = 9
53
        И
        MCLIN = 3
54
55
        MBMD = N + NCLIN
```

```
56
57 ¥
58 *
          Assign file numbers and problem data.
          NOUT = the unit number for printing.
IOPTNS = the unit number for reading the options file.
59 ¥
60 *
                = the least-squares matrix.
61 *
62 *
                 = the vector of observations.
          В
                 = the general constraint matrix.
63 ×
          С
                 = the lower bounds on x and C*x.
= the upper bounds on x and C*x.
65 *
                 = the initial estimate of the solution.
66 *
          Х
67 *
          IOPTNS = 5
68
69
          HOUT
                = 6
70
71
          DO 120 J = 1, N
72
             DO 110 I = 1, M
73
74
                 A(I,J) = ONE
                 B(I) = CHE
75
      110
             CONTINUE
      120 CONTINUE
77
70
          = (S( S)A
                       TWO
79
          = 15.013A
                       ZERO
80
81
          A(3,3) =
                        THREE
82
          A(6.3) =
                        TWO
          A(9,3) =
83
                        ZERO
84
85
          A(4,4) =
                        FOUR
          A(5,4) =
                        THREE
87
          A(8,4) =
                        ZERO
88
69
          A(7,5) =
                        ZERO
90
          A(6,6) =
                        ZERO
 92
          A(2 ,7) =
 93
                        TWO
 94
          A(3 ,7) = - ONE
 95
          A(6 ,7) =
                        ZERO
 96
           A(9 ,7) =
                        TUO
 97
          A(10,7) =
                        ZERO
 98
99
          = (8, 2)A
                        ZERO
100
          A(3 ,8) = - ONE
101
          A(6,8) =
                        ZERO
102
          A(9,8) =
                        THO
          A(10,8) =
103
                        THO
104
105
           A(2 ,9) =
                        ZERO
106
           A(3,9) = - THREE
          A(6,9) = - ONE
A(9,9) = THREE
A(10,9) = TNO
107
108
109
110
```

```
DO 140 J = 1, N
DO 130 I = 1, NCLIN
111
112
113
                C(I,J) = ONE
            CONTINUE
114
     130
      140 CONTINUE
115
116
117
          C(1,9) = FOUR
113
119
          C(2,2) =
                     THO
          C(2.3) = THPFF

C(2.4) = FOUR
120
121
          C(2,5) = - TRO
122
123
         C(3,2) = - DNE

C(3,4) = - DNE
124
125
126
          00 150 J = 1, N
127
             BL(J) = - TWO
128
129
     150 CONTINUE
130
131
          BL( 3) = - BIGBNO
132
133 *
          Set the ranges for the general constraints.
134
          BL(N+1) = TWO
EU(N+1) = BIGBNO
135
135
          BLIN+21 = - BIGBAD
137
          BU(N+2) = - THO
BL(N+3) = - FOUR
138
139
140
          BU(N+3) = -TWO
141
         DO 170 J = 1, N
X(J) = ONE / FLOAT(J)
142
143
144
     170 CONTINUE
145
146
147 *
148 *
          Read the options file.
          Add a single option using a call to LSOPIN.
149 ×
150 ×
151
          CALL ESFILE( IOPTNS, INFORM )
152
153
          IF (INFORM .NE. 0) THEN
154
             WRITE (NOUT, 3000) INFORM
155
             STOP
          END IF
156
157
          CALL LSCPTN( 'Infinite Bound size ='//CBGBND )
158
159
160 *
161 ×
          Solve the problem.
162 *
          163
         CALL ESSOL ( M, N, SCHIC, NROMA,
164
165
```

```
166
                       C, BL, BU, CVEC,
                       ISTATE, KX, X, A, B, INFORM, ITER, OBJ, CLAMBA,
167
         $
168
169
                       IMORK, LINORK, WORK, LHORK )
170
171 *
          Test for an error condition.
172
          IF (INFORM .GT. 1) GO TO 999
173
174
175 *
          176 *
          Example 2. A QP with Hessian bordered by zeros.
177 *
          178 *
          Set the new problem dimensions.
               = the number of rows (and columns) of A (may be 0). = the number of variables.
179 ¥
183 *
161 *
          MCLIN = the number of scheral linear constraints (may be 8).
182 *
          CVEC = the linear part of the objective function.
183
104
185
             H
                   = 9
             HCLIN = 3
185
             NBNO = N + NCLIN
187
183
189
             DO 220 J = 1, M
190
               DO 210 I = 1, J-1
191
                   A(I,J) = DNE
                CONTINUE
192
      210
193
      220
             COMPLINUE
194
195
             DO 230 I = 1, M
               CMT = (I,I)A
193
             CONTINUE
197
     230
198
             00 260 J = 1, N
199
                BL(J) = - TWO
BU(J) = TWO
200
201
202
     260
             CONTINUE
203
             BL(N+1) = - TMO
BU(N+1) = ONEPT5
BL(N+2) = - TMO
BU(N+2) = ONEPT5
204
205
206
207
             BL(N+3) = - THO
BU(N+3) = FOUR
208
209
210
             DO 270 J = 1, N
211
                CVEC(J) = - ONE
212
213
     270
             CONTINUE
214
             CVEC(1) = - FOUR
             CVEC(8) = - POINT1
CVEC(9) = - POINT3
215
216
217
218
             DO 280 J = 1, N
               X(J) = ZERO
219
220 280
             CONTINUE
```

```
221
222
223 *
224 *
          Assign some new options.
225 *
226
          CALL LSOPIN( 'Defaults ')
CALL LSOPIN( 'Problem type QP2')
CALL LSOPIN( 'Rank tolerance = 1.0E-10')
227
228
229
239
          CALL LSOPTN( 'Feasibility tolerance = 1.0E-10' )
231
232 *
           233 *
          Solve the QP problem.
234 *
235
236
          CALL ESSOL ( M, N,
237
         Ś
                       NCLIN, HRONG, NROHA,
238
         $
                        C, BL, BU, CYEC,
                        ISTATE, KX, X, A, B,
INFORM, ITER, OBJ, CLAMDA,
239
240
         $
241
                        IMORK, LIMORK, WORK, LWORK )
242
243 ¥
          Test for an error condition.
244
245
          IF (INFORM .GT. 1) GO TO 999
246
          STOP
247
248 ¥
          Error condition.
249
250
     999 MRITE (NOUT, 3010) INFORM
251
          STOP
252
253 3000 FORMAT(/ ' LSFILE terminated with INFORM =', I3)
254 3010 FORMAT(/ ' LSSOL terminated with INFORM =', I3)
255
256 *
          End of the example program for LSSOL.
257
259
          CME
```

OPTIONS file

BEGIN Options for LSSOL 1.0 Sample problem.

Iterations Limit Problem Type

25 Leasi squares

End

Calls to LSOPTN -------

Infinite Bound size =1.00+15

SOL/LSSOL --- Version 1.0 Feb 1986

Paramoters

Problem type Linear constraints Variables Objective matrix rows	3	Feasibility tolerance Infinite bound size Infinite step size	1.D0E+15	COLD start	
EPS (machine precision)	2.22E-16	Feasibility phase itms. Optimality phase itms.	60 25	Print level	10

60), H(900). 9), H(261). Korkspace provided is INC To solve problem He need INT

Rank of the objective function data matrix =

Itn	Jdel	Jadd	Step N	iinf	Sinf/Objective	Bnd	Lin	Nz	Næ 1	Norm Gf	Norw Gz1	Cond T	Cond Rzi
0	0	0	0.0£+00	2	9.4746D3E+00	0	0	9	0	6.86E+00	0.00E+00	1.05+00	0.0E+00
1	12	10L	1.26+00	2	5.987698E+00	Ð	1	ð	Ū	6.86E+00	0.00E+00	1.0E+00	0.0E+00
2	1Z	110	4.1E-01	1	4.990079E+00	0	2	7	0	3.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.1E+00	0.0E+80
3	12	120	3.76+00	Q	4.959041E+01	0	3	6	6	5.60E+01	4.13E+01	2.3E+00	2.2E+01
4	0	10	3.05-01	0	2.429930E+01	1	3	5	5	3.89E+01	2,85E+01	2.4E+00	4.8E+00
5	0	0	1.0E+00	0	1.390587E-01	1	3	5	5	6.55E~01	1.59E-15	2.4E+00	4.8E+00

Exit from LS problem after 5 iterations. INFORM = 1

Variab	1.	State	Value	Lower bound	Upper bound	Lage multiplier	Residual
VARBL	1	UL	2.000000	-2.000000	2.000000	-0.1191932	0.0000E+00
AYBBL	2	F₽	1.571959	-2.000000	2.000000	0.0000000000000	0.4280
VARBL	3	FR	-1.445403	Nome	2.000000	0.^^^30000E+00	3.445

VARBL	4	FR	-0.3700275E-01	-2.000000	2.000000	D.0000000E+00	1.963
VARBL	5	FR	0.5466858	-2.000000	2.000000	0.000000000+00	1.453
ATEBE	6	FR	0.1751236	-2.000000	2.000000	0.000000000000	1.825
VAPBL	7	FR	-1.656704	-2.000000	2.000000	0.000000000000	0.3433
VARBL	8	FR	-0.3947742	-2.000000	2.000000	0.00000000000	1.605
AYBBE	۶	FR	0.3100290	-2,000000	2.000000	0.00000CDE+0D	1.690

Linear comstr	State	Value	Lower bound	Upper bound	Lagr multiplier	Residual.
LINCON 1	LL	2.000000	2.000000	None	0.3973107E-01	-D.3553E-14
LHCOH 2	UL	-2.000000	None	-2.000000	-0.1191932	-0.4219E-14
LNCON 3	UL	-2.000000	-4.000030	-2.000000	0.2006660E-15	-0.4441E-15

Exit LSSOL - Neak LS solution.

Final LS objective value = 0.1390587

Calls to LSOPTN

Defaults
Problem type QP2
Rank tolerance = 1.0E-10
Feasibility tolerance = 1.0E-10

50L/LS50L --- Version 1.0 Feb 1986

Parameters

Problem type Linear constraints Variables Objective matrix rows	992 9 5	Feasibility tolerance Infinite bound size Infinite siep size	1.00E+10	COLD start	1.00E-02
EPS (machine precision)	<i>61-3</i> 55.5	Feasibility phase itms. Optimality phase itms.	60	Print level	10

Horkspace provided is INI 601, N(900). To solve problem we need INI 9), N(270).

Rank of the objective function data matrix = 5

Itn	Jdel	Jadd	5 tep	Ninf	51nf/Objective	Bnd	Lin	NZ	Nz1	Norm 61	Norm 521	Cond T	Cond Rz1
0	0	0	0.0E+06	0	0.0000008+00	0	0	9	5	4.70E+00	4.47E+00	2.4E+00	1.3E+CO
1	0	10	7.5E-01	0	-4.3750006+00	1	Q	8	4	1.53E+00	5.00E-01	2.4E+00	1.3E+CO
2	0	٥	1.0E+00	0	-4.400000E+D0	1	0	8	4	1.45E+00	3.67E-17	2.4E+D0	1.3E+00
3	5Z	100	3.0E-01	0	-4.700000E+00	1	1	7	4	1.45E+00	8.94E-01	1.06+00	1.0E+00
4	0	0	1.0E+00	0	-5.100600F+D0	1	1	7	Δ	2 47F+00	1 205-17	1.05+00	1.06400
5	7Z	1 2 U	5.4E-01	0	-6.055714E+00	1	2	6	4	2,475+00	1.73E+00	2.0E+00	1.3E+00
6	0	60	1.1E-02	0	-6.113326E+00	5	2	5	3	2.2'-100	1.64E+00	2.05+00	1.7E+00

```
7 0 11U 1.1E-01 0 -6.215049E+00 2 3 4 2 2.03E+00 1.18E+00 2.1E+00 1.5E+00 6 0 0 1.0E+00 0 -6.536008E+00 2 3 4 2 1.10E+00 2.22E-16 2.1E+00 1.5E+00 9 3Z 0 1.0E+00 0 -6.567373E+00 2 3 4 3 1.07E+00 2.23E-16 2.1E+00 2.7E+00 10 4Z 7U 1.7E+00 0 -8.055612E+00 3 3 3 3.83E-01 2.82E-01 2.1E+00 3.7E+00 11 0 0 1.0E+00 0 -8.067718E+00 3 3 3 3 4.38E-01 1.05E-16 2.1E+00 3.7E+00 12 12U 0 1.0E+00 0 -8.067778E+00 3 2 4 4.31E-01 1.05E-16 1.2E+00 5.8E+00
```

Exit from QP problem after 12 iterations. INFORM = 0

Variable		State	Value	Lower bound	Upper bound	Lagr multiplier	Residual
VARBL	1	UL	2.000000	-2.000000	2.000000	-0.8000000	0.0000E+00
VARBL	2	FR	-0.2333333	-2.000000	2.000000	0.00000000000	1.767
JESAV	3	FR	-0.2666667	-2.000000	2.000000	0.00000000000	1.733
JERAV	4	FR	-0.3000000	-2.000000	2.000000	0.0000C00E+00	1.700
VARBL	5	FR	-0.1000000E+00	-2.000000	2.000000	0.00000008+00	1,900
VARBL	6	UL	2.000000	-2.000000	2.000000	-0.9000000	0.0000E+00
VARBL	7	UL	2.000000	-2.000000	2.000000	-0.9000000	0.0000E+00
VARBL	8	FR	-1.777778	-2.000000	2.000000	0.0000000E+00	0.2222
VARBL	9	FR	-0.45555 5 6	-2.000000	2.000000	0.0000000E+00	1.544
Linear	constr	State	Value	Lower bound	Upper bound	Lagr multiplier	Residual
RICON	ι,	UL	1.500000	-2.000000	1.500000	-0.6666667E-01	-0.3553E-14
LNCON	2	UL	1.500000	-2.000000	1.500000	-0.333333E-01	D.2220E-15
LNCON	3	FR	3.933333	-2.000000	4.000000	0.0000000E+00	D.6667E-01

Exit LSSOL - Optimal QP solution.

Final QP objective value = -8.067778

DEC VAX, 20.

of, 16.

Default values of optional parameters, checklist

INDEX	Diagonals
	of R , printout, 15.
A (objective data matrix), 1.	of T , printout, 15.
estimated rank of, 4, 16, 18.	Distribution tape, format of, 20.
identically zero, 16 (also see Linear program).	DOUBLE, 7.
A, 0 (definition).	Double precision
Algorithm of LSSCL, description, 2-6.	table of machine constants, 23.
α (step length). 2, 4	version of code, 20.
printed value, 17.	, -
a _M (step to nearest constraint), 5.	E (printed constraint designation), 17.
Amdahi 470, 20.	End (in options file), 12-13.
ANSI (1977) Fortran, 1, 20.	EPS, 22 (also see ϵ).
Artificial constraint, 4.5 (definition), 17.	ε (machine precision), 14, 22.
Artificial multiplier, 5.	EQ (printed constraint status), 18, 22.
ASCII, 20.	Equality constraint, 1, 8, 17, 18.
110022, 201	Error correction procedures, 19.
b (vector of observations), 1.	Estimated rank
B, 9-10 (definition).	of A , 4, 16, 18 (also see Rank Tolerance).
Begin (in options file), 12-13.	of R_1 , 16 (also see Rank Tolerance).
BIGBND, 15 (also see Infinite Bound Size).	Example 1 (a least-squares problem), 24.
BL, 7 8 (definition).	Example 2 (a quadratic program), 25.
BLAS, 21.	Example problems, 24-25.
Level 2, 21.	Explicit linear term in objective function, 1, 10.
Bnd, 2, 17.	External file, use for option specification, 12-13.
BU, 8 (definition).	23 verification, due for option specification, 12.15.
Durroughs 6600 and 7600, 20.	f (transformed residual), 3, 4.
2. 20. 20. 20. 20.	F (objective function). 1.
C (general constraint matrix), 1.	Facom, 20.
in examples, 24 25.	Feasibility phase, 2, 4, 8, 17, 19.
C _{FR} , 2, 8.	Feasibility Phase Iteration Limit, 10, 14 (defi-
C_{FX} , 3.	nition).
C, 7 (definition).	Feasibility Tolerance, 2, 5, 8, 15 (definition),
CDC 6000 and 7000, 20.	19.
Checklist of optional parameters, 16.	adjustment to avoid overflow, 19.
Cholesky factor, 3, 4, 9, 15.	Feasible point, 15 (definition).
printout of diagonals, 15.	Feasible-point problem, 1 (also see FP).
CLAMDA, 10 (definition).	Final solution, printout, 15.
Cold Start, 8, 14 (definition).	Fixed variables, 2 (also see Eq).
Column interchanges. 4 (also see Rank).	Formal parameters of LSSOL, 7-10.
Comment (in optional parameter specification),	Formal specification of LSSOL, 7.
12.	Format of distribution tape, 20.
Common blocks, list of, 21.	Fortran
Cond Rz1, 5, 18.	ANSI (1977), 20.
Cond T, 5, 18.	subroutines, naming convention, 21.
Condition estimator	FP (problem type), 1, 7, 8, 16.
for R ₁ , 5, 18.	FR (printed constraint status), 18.
for T, 5, 18.	Free variables, 2.
Condition of working set, control of, 5-6.	Fujitsu, 20.
Constrained stationary point, 3.	2 432404, 401
Constraint status indicator (see ISTATE).	Gabor, Zsa Zsa, 19.
Constraint violations, weighted sum of, 17.	General constraints, 1, 18.
Convexity, 2.	Global minimum, 1.
Crash Tolerance, 14 (definition).	Global Hamman, 1.
Cray-1, 20.	H (Hessian matrix), 1.
CYEC, 8 (definition).	HDWIRE, 22.
Cyber, 20.	Hessian matrix, 1, 4.
Cycling. 10, 19.	semi-definite example, 25.
oj omigi zo, zo.	
Data General MV/8000, 20.	upper-triangular factor, 3, 4, 9. Hitachi, 20.
Data matrix (see A and A).	
DEC Systems 10 and 20, 20.	Honey well, 20.

lвм

360/370 and 3033/3081, 16, 20, 22. VS Fortran, 20.

```
ICL 2900, 20.
                                                                   LSOPTN, 13.
Implementation information, 20-23.
                                                                     list, sample, 16.
Infeasible problem, 3-4, 10, 17, 19.
                                                                   LSU (see LSI).
Infeasibilities, weighted sum, 17.
                                                                   LSSOL
Infinite lower or upper bound, 1, 8.
                                                                     algorithm of, 2-6.
Infinite Bound Size, 8, 10, 15 (definition).
                                                                     lines of code in, 1, 20.
Infinite Step Size, 15 (definition).
                                                                     parameters of, 7 11.
INFORM, 10 (definition).
                                                                     specification of, 7.
Initial working set, 5, 6, 8 (also see Cold Start
     and Warm Start).
Input parameter, invalid, 10.
                                                                   m'<sub>L</sub> (number of general constraints), 1, 2, 5, 24.
Installation procedure, 20.
                                                                   mw (number of general constraints in working
Invalid input parameter, 10.
                                                                       set), 2.
10PTNS (options file number), 12-13.
                                                                   M, 7 (definition).
 ISTATE, 8-9 (definition), 14, 18.
                                                                   Machine constants
   printout, 15.
                                                                     computation of, 21.
 ITER, 10 (definition).
                                                                     tables of, 22.
 Iteration Limit, 15 (definition), 19.
                                                                   Machine dependencies in code, 21-23.
Iters (see Iteration Limit).
                                                                   Machine precision (see \epsilon).
Itn (printed value), 17.
                                                                   Matrix factorizations, routines for updating, 21.
 Itns (see Iteration Limit).
                                                                   MCHPAR, 22 (also see Machine constants).
IV. 10 (definition).
                                                                   Method of LSSOL, description, 2-8.
                                                                   Minimal sum of infeasibilities, 4, 17, 19.
Jadd (printed value), 4, 17.
                                                                   Minimum abbreviation (of optional parameter),
Jdel (printed value), 4, 17.
                                                                       14.
Keyword in option specification, 12.
                                                                   n (number of variables), 1.
KX, 2, 9 (definition), 16.
                                                                   nFR (number of free variables), 2.
                                                                   n_{\rm FX} (number of fixed variables), 2.
l (lower bound vector), 1, 8 (also see BL).
                                                                  n_Z, 3, 5, 17.
\ell_B, 24.
                                                                  N, 7 (definition).
\ell_L, 24.
                                                                  Naming convention for Fortran subroutines, 21.
L (printed constraint designation), 17 (also see
                                                                  NBASE, 22.
     BL).
                                                                  NCLIN, 7 (definition) (also see m_L).
Lagr multiplier (printed value), 18.
                                                                  NDIGIT, 22.
Lagrange multiplier. 3, 10, 15, 18, 19, 24.
                                                                  Negative steps, 6 (also see \alpha).
   optimal, 3-4, 10, 18.
                                                                  NIN, 22.
   zero or small, 19.
                                                                  Ninf (number of infcasibilities), 17.
LCLS (problem statement), 1.
                                                                   No feasible point, 4, 10, 17, 19.
Least Squares (see LS1).
                                                                  Nolist option, 13.
Least-squares matrix, 1, 9 (also see A and 1).
                                                                  Non-existent lower or upper bound, 8.
Least-squares problem, 1.
                                                                   None (in printout), 18.
  example, 24.
                                                                  Nonlinearly constrained optimization, 6.
LENIW, 11 (definition).
                                                                  NOUT, 22.
LENW, 11 (definition).
                                                                  Norm Gf, 3, 17 (also see Projected gradient).
Level 2 BLAS, 21.
                                                                  Norm Gz1, 5, 17 (also see Projected gradient).
Lin (printed value), 2, 17.
                                                                  NPSOL, B.
Linear constr, 18.
                                                                  NROWA, 7 (definition).
Linear least-squares problem, 1.
                                                                  NROWC, 7 (definition).
Linear objective function, 16.
                                                                  Null space, 3.
Linear program, 1, 16.
                                                                     dimension of (see n_z).
Linear Program (see LP).
                                                                  Number of infeasibilities, 17.
Linear term in objective function, 1, 10.
                                                                  Nz, 3, 5, 17.
Lines of code in LSSOL, 1, 20.
                                                                  Nz1, 5, 17.
LL (printed constraint status), 18.
LNCON, 18.
                                                                  OBJ. 10 (definition).
Local minimum, 1 (also see Weak minimum).
                                                                  Objective, 17.
Lower Bound, 17, 18 (also see BL).
                                                                  Objective function (F), 1.
LP (problem type), 1, 7, 8, 16.
                                                                     data matrix (see A and A).
LS1 (problem type), 1, 7, 8, 16, 24.
                                                                     linear, 16.
LS2 (problem type), 1, 7, 8, 16.
                                                                  Objective matrix (see A and 1).
LS3 (problem type), 1, 7, 8, 16.
                                                                  Observation vector (b), 1.
L54 (problem type), 1, 7, 8, 16.
                                                                  Optimal Lagrange multiplier, 3-4 (definition),
LSFILE, 12-13.
                                                                       10, 18.
```

Optimal solution, 10.	RMIN, 22.
Optimality phase, 2 (also see Method of LSSOL).	RTEPS, 22.
Optimality Phase Iteration Limit, 10, 14 (defi-	RTMAX, 22.
nition).	RTMIN, 22.
Optimality test, 10.	
Option-handling routines, 21.	Search direction (p) , 2.
Optional parameters, 12-16.	Second-derivative matrix, 1 (also see Hessian ma
checklist and default values, 16.	trix).
cumulative changes, 13.	Semi-definite Hessian matrix, example, 25.
description, 14-16.	Sequential quadratic programming method, 8.
Options file, 12-13.	Simplex method, 2, 5.
Ordering of variables, 2 (also see KX).	Simplex steps, 2, 5.
Orthogonal transformation, 2.	Sinf (weighted sum of infeasibilities), 17.
Overflow, 19.	Single precision table of machine constants, 23.
p (search direction), 2, 3.	version of code, 20.
pr. 2, 3.	Singular R_{Z} , 3, 4, 10, 17.
Parameter vector (see x).	Small Lagrange multiplier, 19.
Parameters of LSSOL, 7-11.	Source files, list, 20.
Phase t (see Feasibility phase).	Specification of LSSOL, 7.
Phase 2 (see Optimality phase).	Standard simplex method, 2.
Phrase (to modify optional parameter), 12.	State, 18 (also see ISTATE).
Precision, machine (see ϵ).	Stationary point, 3.
Primal method, 2.	Status indicator for constraints (see ISTATE).
Prime Systems, 20.	Step (printed value), 17 (also see Step length).
Print Level, 10, 11, 15 (definition).	Step length (a). 2, 4, 17.
Printed output, description, 17-48.	choice of, 4, 5.
Printout, control of, 15.	Strong local minimum, 1, 10.
Problem type (see Problem Type).	Sum of infeasibilities, 3 4.
Problem Type, 1, 15 18 (definition).	minimum, 17.
Projected gradient, 3, 10.	weighted, 17.
norm, 17.	Synonyms (for optional parameters), 12.
Q, 3	T, 2, 5.
Q_{FR} , 2.	condition estimate, 18 (also see Cond T).
QP (problem type), 7, 8.	printout of diagonals, 15.
QP1 (problem type), 1, 7, 8, 16.	T (printed constraint designation), 17 (also see
QP2 (problem type), 1, 7, 8, 16, 25.	Temporary bound).
QP3 (problem type), 1, 7, 8, 16.	Таре
QP4 (problem type), 1, 7, 8, 16.	characteristics, 20.
QR factorization, 4.	format 20.
Quadratic program, 1, 16.	Temporary bound, 5, 17,
example, 25.	TQ factorization, 2, 5, 15.
Quadratic Program (problem type) (see QP2).	Transformed residual vector (f) , 3, 4, 10.
Qualifying phrase (in optional parameter), 12.	Trapezoidal matrix, 1, 9 (also see Triangular fac-
Dod (Out / Louis D.)	tor).
$R, 3, 4, 9, 15$ (also see R_1).	Triangular factor, 3, 4, 9, 15.
ordering of columns (see XX).	of Hessian as data matrix, 9.
printcut of diagonals, 15.	Two-phase primal method, 2.
R ₁ , 4, 16.	or for more bearing and about 1 17 fells 1981
condition estimate of, 18 (also see Cond Rz1). R_z , 3, 4, 10, 17.	u (upper bound vector), 1, 17 (also see BU).
singular, 3, 4, 10, 17.	u _B , 24.
Rank, 4, 16.	u_L , 24. U (printed constraint designation), 17.
determination, 16.	CL (printed constraint status), 18.
Rank Tolerance, 4, 13 (definition), 18.	Unbounded
REAL, 7.	objective function, 10, 15.
References, 26.	solution, 1, 10.
Re-ordering of variables, 2 (also see KX).	step, 15.
Reset optional parameters, 13-14.	Underflow, 19.
Residual, 10, 18.	Unique solution, 1, 10.
Residual vector, 3, 4, 10.	Univac 1100, 20.
Reverse-triangular matrix, 2 (also see T).	Unknowns, vector of (see x and I).
RMAX, 22.	Updating matrix factorizations, routines for, 21.

```
Upper bound, 18 (also see u and BU).
Upper-trapezoidai matrix, 1, 9 (also see Triang-
     ular factor).
Upper-triangular factor (see Triangular factor).
Valid option strings, examples of, 12.
Value, 18.
VARBL, 18.
Variable, 18.
Variance-covariance matrix, 9.
Vector
  of observations (b), 1 (also see B).
  of unknowns (x), 1 (also see X).
Vertex, 2, 3, 17.
Violations, constraint (see Infeasibilities).
W, 11 (definition).
Warm Start, 6, 8, 14 (definition), 19.
Weak minimum, 1, 10.
  example of, 24.
Weak LP solution (see Weak minimum).
Weak LS solution (see Weak minimum).
Weak QP solution (see Weak minimum).
Weighted sum of infeasibilities, 4, 17 (also see
     Infeasible problem).
WMACH, 22 (also see Machine constants).
Working precision, 7 (also see \epsilon).
Working set
  changes in, 4.
  condition estimate, 18 (also see Cond T).
  definition, 2.
Workspace parameters of LSSOL, 10-11.
x (vector of unknowns), 1.
  printout. 18.
X, 9 (definition).
Y, 2.
Z (basis for null space), 2 (also see Null space).
  dimension of (see n_z).
Z<sub>1</sub>, 4-5, 17.
Z_1^T g_{FR}, 5 (also see Projected gradient). Z_2, 4-5.
Z (printed constraint designation), 17.
Zero Lagrange multiplier, 19 (also see Lagrange
    multiplier).
-- (printed constraint status), 18 (also see Infea-
    sible problem).
++ (printed constraint status), 18 (also see Infea-
    sible problem).
```

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

Г	REPORT DOCUMENTATION	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM					
1.	REPORT NUMBER		3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER				
	SOL 86-1	į					
4.	TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED				
	Hearle Chile For ICCOL (Version	1 0).	Technical Report				
	User's Guide for LSSOL (Version A Fortran Package for Constraine	•	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER				
	Least-Squares & Convex Quadratic						
7.	AUTHOR(4)	<u> </u>	B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)				
l	N. 11 . B. 0111 . G. 7 . B 11		NO001/ 05 // 02/2				
ļ	Philip E. Gill, Sven J. Hammarli Murray, Michael A. Saunders & Ma		N00014-85-K-0343 DAAG29-84-K-0156				
•	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS				
	Department of Operations Researc	ch - SOL	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS				
	Stanford University		NR-047-064				
	Stanford, CA 94305						
".	CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research - Dept.	of the Navy	January 1986				
	800 N. Quincy Street	or the navy	13. HUMBER OF PAGES				
	Arlington, VA 22217		38 pp.				
			15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)				
	U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211		UNCLASSIFIED				
	Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	•	18a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING				
			SCHEDULE				
	This document has been approved its distribution is unlimited.	d for public rel	ease and sale;				
17.	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report)						
	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
19.	KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an	d identify by block number	,				
	optimization quadratic programming linearly constrained linear leas	line t-squares	nematical software ear programming				
20.	ABSTRACT (Continue on severee alde if necessary and	r raentily by block number)					
	See next page.						
	10						
			İ				

ABSTRACT: USER'S GUIDE FOR LSSOL (VERSION 1.0): A FORTRAN PACKAGE FOR CONSTRAINED LINEAR LEAST-SQUARES AND CONVEX QUADRATIC PROGRAMMING by Philip E. Gill, Sven J. Hammarling, Walter Murray, Michael A. Saunders and Margaret H. Wright.

This report forms the user's guide for Version 1.0 of LSSOL, a set of Fortran 77 subroutines for linearly constrained linear least-squares and convex quadratic programming. The method of LSSOL is of the two-phase, active-set type, and is related to the method used in package SOL/QPSOL (Gill, et al., 1984b). Two main features of LSSOL are its exploitation of convexity and treatment of singularity.

LSSOL may also be used for linear programming, and to find a feasible point with respect to a set of linear inequality constraints. LSSOL treats all matrices as dense, and hence is not intended for large sparse problems.