# Assignment 4: Kinesthetic Device Design, Sensors, and Actuators

PDF file due on Canvas by 11:59 pm PDT on Thursday, May 7, 2020 Please write clearly or type your responses. Submit some parts using the MATLAB Grader assignment on Canvas.

## **Optional Readings**

- B. Hannaford and A. M. Okamura. Chapter 42: Haptics. In B. Siciliano and O. Khatib, Eds., Handbook of Robotics. Springer, pp. 1063-1083, 2016. This book chapter provides an overview of the field of haptics. This will provide you with a good introduction to the breadth of the field.
- V. Hayward and K. E. MacLean. Do It Yourself Haptics, Part I. IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine, 14(4):88-104, 2007. This magazine article also gives an introduction to the field of haptics, with more emphasis on device design and mechatronics than the article above.

You can download papers from Canvas > Files > Papers.

#### 1. Transmission Mechanisms

List an least one advantage and one disadvantage of each of the following transmission mechanisms, in terms of their suitability for use in a kinesthetic haptic device:

- A. Gears
- B. Belt around two pulleys
- C. Capstan drive
- D. Friction drive
- E. Direct drive

#### 2. 1-DoF Kinematics: Motion

The values of kinematic constants (defined in Lecture 7) of the Hapkit are:

 $r_{\text{handle}} = 90.0 \text{ mm}$ 

 $r_{\text{pulley}} = 5.00 \text{ mm}$ 

 $r_{\text{sector}} = 74.0 \text{ mm}$ 

- A. In the Hapkit kinematics example given in Lecture 7, we found a relationship between  $x_{\text{handle}}$  and  $\theta_{\text{pulley}}$  based on the lengths you just measured. Compute the constant that relates  $x_{\text{handle}}$  to  $\theta_{\text{pulley}}$ .
- B. What is the constant that relates the velocities  $\dot{x}_{\text{handle}}$  and  $\dot{\theta}_{\text{pulley}}$ ? What is the constant that relates the accelerations  $\ddot{x}_{\text{handle}}$  and  $\ddot{\theta}_{\text{pulley}}$ ?
- C. If the measurement resolution of the angle of the pulley ( $\theta_{\text{pulley}}$ ) is 1°, what is the resolution with which  $x_{\text{handle}}$  can be calculated in meters?
- D. What would result in a more accurate measurement/calculation of  $x_{\text{handle}}$ : an  $r_{\text{sector}}$  that is *larger* or *smaller*?

## 3. 1-DoF Kinematics: Forces and Torques

- A. Say that you want to generate a force of 1 N at the handle. Given your measurements of the Hapkit length parameters in the previous problem, what motor torque ( $\tau_{\text{pulley}}$ ) is required to generate that force?
- B. Say that the motor can generate a torque with a resolution of 0.01 N-m. What is the resolution of force that can be displayed at the handle?
- C. Say the motor can generate a torque  $\tau_{\text{pulley}} = \tau_{\text{max}}$ . What would you change about the design to increase  $F_{\text{handle}}$  without requiring a change in  $\tau_{\text{max}}$ ?
- D. Are there any trade-offs resulting from changing length parameters? For example: Do you foresee any challenges with making any of the length parameters arbitrarily small or large?
- E. Why are transmissions like the capstan drive in Hapkit designed so that the applied force to the pulley will be perpendicular to a line emanating from the center of the pulley?
- F. If the motor (to which the motor pulley is attached) has a constant friction torque of 0.001 N-m, what is the friction force that will be felt by the user at the handle? Could a user notice this force? Note that humans can sense forces at least as small as 0.01 N.

## 4. Rendering a 1-D Wall

- A. Consider the role of position sensing resolution in the case of rendering a 1-D wall with stiffness k = 100 N/m using the Hapkit. The 1-D wall is located at x = 0, which is at the center of the Hapkit's workspace. The "solid" wall is to the right of the center of the workspace, which is considered the positive x direction. What is the resolution of the force output from the rendering algorithm when the measurement resolution of the angle of the pulley ( $\theta_{\text{pulley}}$ ) is 1°? Consider the Hapkit parameters given in Problem 2, and remember that the rendering is done based on the position of the handle,  $x_{\text{handle}}$ . (2 pts.)
- B. If the maximum torque the motor can output is  $\tau_{\text{max}}$ , at what depth into the wall (with stiffness k = 100 N/m) will the motor saturate? Again, use your earlier Hapkit parameter measurements. Write your result in terms of  $\tau_{\text{max}}$ .
- C. The workspace of the Hapkit is approximately 5 cm in either direction from the position where the handle is in its vertical position. Imagine that you are rendering a virtual wall with stiffness k = 100 N/m. What is the maximum force that would need to be displayed if the user wants to move their hand through the whole workspace? What would be the minimum value of  $\tau_{\text{max}}$  required for the motor not to saturate its output?