## Stanford Mathematics Department Math 205A Lecture Supplement #5 Riesz Representation for $L^{p}(\mu)$

Here  $(X, A, \mu)$  is any measure space and  $1 \le p \le \infty$ ,  $1 \le q \le \infty$  are "conjugate exponents," meaning that

 $(*) \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1,$ 

where of course we take  $\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$ .  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  will here, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , denote the real-valued  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable functions f such that  $\int_X |f|^p d\mu < \infty$ , equipped with the seminorm

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_X |f|^p \, d\mu\right)^{1/p},$$

and  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(\mu)$  denotes the set of  $\mu$ -essentially bounded real-valued functions f (i.e. the  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable functions  $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$  such that there is  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  with  $|f| \leq \lambda \mu$ -a.e.) and we let

$$||f||_{\infty} = \inf\{\lambda \in (0,\infty) : |f(x)| \le \lambda \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X\}.$$

In this section we discuss the dual space  $(L^p(\mu))^*$  of  $L^p(\mu)$ . Thus  $(L^p(\mu))^*$ is the set of bounded linear functionals F on  $L^p(\mu)$ , so  $F \in (L^p(\mu))^*$  means that  $F: L^p(\mu) \to \mathbb{R}$  is a linear map with  $||F|| = \sup_{||f||_p \le 1} |F(f)| < \infty$ .

To begin, recall the Hölder inequality

$$\int_X \left| fg \right| d\mu \le \|f\|_p \|g\|_q < \infty, \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu), \, g \in \mathcal{L}^q(\mu),$$

so if we define

$$T_g(\widetilde{f}) = \int_X fg \, d\mu, \quad f \in L^p(\mu),$$

where  $\tilde{f}$  denotes the  $L^p$  class of  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  (= {h : h = f a.e. in X}), then  $T_g$ is a bounded linear map of  $L^p(\mu)$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ ; that is  $g \in L^q(\mu) \Rightarrow T_g \in (L^p(\mu))^*$ . Notice that we also have linearity in g; that is if  $g_1, g_2 \in L^q(\mu)$  and  $\lambda, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ then  $T_{c_1g_1+c_2g_2} = c_1T_{g_1} + c_2T_{g_2}$ . Thus map

$$(**) T: g \mapsto T_g$$

defines a linear map  $L^q(\mu) \to (L^p(\mu))^*$ . The following Riesz theorem claims that *T*, so defined, is an isometric isomorphism of  $L^q(\mu)$  onto  $(L^p(\mu))^*$  provided that in the case p = 1 we make the additional assumption that  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. **Theorem (Riesz Representation for**  $L^p$ **.)** Let  $1 \le p < \infty$ , and let  $(X, A, \mu)$  be any measure space for  $p \ne 1$  and  $(x, A, \mu)$  be any  $\sigma$ -finite measure space in the case p = 1, and let q be the exponent conjugate to p as in (\*). Then the map T in (\*\*) is an isometric isomorphism of  $L^q(\mu)$  onto the dual space  $(L^p(\mu))^*$  of  $L^p(\mu)$ .

**Proof:** It was shown in Q.6 of hw8 that, under the conditions stated in the above theorem, T defined as in (\*\*) is an isometry of  $L^q(\mu)$  into  $(L^p(\mu))^*$  (i.e. that  $||T_g|| = ||g||_q$  where  $||T_g|| = \sup_{\|f\|_p \le 1} |T_g(f)|$ ).

Thus we merely have to prove that T is onto. That is for any given bounded linear functional  $F : L^p(\mu) \to \mathbb{R}$  we have to prove there is a  $g \in L^q(\mu)$  with  $F = T_g$ . So assume a linear  $F : L^p(\mu) \to \mathbb{R}$  is given with  $||F|| < \infty$ , where as usual  $||F|| = \sup_{\|f\|_p=1} |F(f)|$ . We consider cases, beginning with:

Case 1:  $\mu(X) < \infty$ . In this case we define  $\nu : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

2

$$\nu(A) = F(\widetilde{\chi_A}),$$

where  $\chi_A$  denotes the indicator function of A and  $\tilde{f}$  as usual denotes the  $L^{p}(\mu)$  class of a function  $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p}(\mu)$ . We claim that  $\nu$  is a signed measure. To check this, first observe that  $\widetilde{\chi_{O}} = 0$ , the zero class in  $L^{p}(\mu)$ , and hence  $F(\widetilde{\chi_{\emptyset}}) = 0$ , so  $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Also, if  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  are p.w.d. sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  then  $\nu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} A_j) = F(\widetilde{\chi_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} A_j}}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} F(\widetilde{\chi_{A_j}})$  and taking limits as  $N \to \infty$ we see that  $\nu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} A_i)$  converges to both  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} F(\widetilde{\chi}_{A_j})$  and  $F(\widetilde{\chi}_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i})$ , so  $\nu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i)$ , and hence indeed  $\nu$  is a signed measure. Furthermore it is finite (i.e.  $|\nu(A)| < \infty$  for each  $A \in A$ ) and the argument above to prove  $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$  actually shows that  $\nu(E) = 0$  whenever  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(E) = 0$ 0, because the indicator function  $\chi_E$  of any set of measure zero is in the  $L^p$ class of the zero function. Thus  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \nu(E) = 0$ . Thus if we let  $P, X \setminus P$  be a Hahn decomposition for  $\nu$  then  $\nu = \nu \sqcup P + \nu \sqcup (X \setminus P)$ and both  $v_1 = v \sqcup P$  and  $v_2 = -v \sqcup (X \setminus P)$  are positive measures on  $\mathcal{A}$  which are AC with respect to  $\mu$ , hence by the Radon-Nikodym Theorem there are A measurable functions  $g_1, g_2 : X \to [0, \infty)$  with  $\nu_j(A) = \int_A g_j d\mu$ , j = 1, 2,hence

(1) 
$$\nu(A) = F(\widetilde{\chi}_A) = \int_A g \, d\mu, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}, \quad g = g_1 - g_2.$$

By the linearity of each side of (1) we then have

(2) 
$$\int_X \varphi g \, d\mu = F(\widetilde{\varphi}), \quad \text{for any simple function } \varphi.$$

Riesz in  $L^p$ 

Next notice that if  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  then by a theorem of lecture we can find increasing sequences  $\psi_i, \eta_i$  of non-negative simple functions with  $\psi_i \to f_+(= \max\{f, 0\})$  and  $\eta_i \to f_-(= \max\{-f, 0\})$  pointwise on all of X and hence  $0 \le (f_+ - \psi_i)^p \to 0$  and  $0 \le (f_+ - \psi_i)^p \le f_+^p$  so by the Dominated Convergence Theorem  $||f_+ - \psi_i||_p \to 0$ , and similarly  $||f_- - \eta_i||_p \to 0$ . Hence we have shown (with  $\varphi_i = \psi_i - \eta_i$ )

(3) 
$$f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu) \Rightarrow \exists \text{ simple functions } \varphi_i \text{ with } \|f - \varphi_i\|_p \to 0.$$

If  $1 , we apply (3) to <math>f = f_k$ , where

$$\mathcal{L}_{k} = (\operatorname{sgn} g)|g|^{q/p} \chi_{G_{k}}, \quad \text{where } G_{k} = \{x \in X : |g(x)| < k\}.$$

In that case we can set  $\varphi = \varphi_i$  on each side of (2) where  $||f_k - \varphi_i||_p \to 0$  and hence by taking the limit of each side as  $i \to \infty$  we obtain

$$F(\tilde{f}_k) = \int_X f_k g = \int_{G_k} |g|^{1+q/p} \, d\mu, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

But  $F(\tilde{f}_k) \le ||F|| ||f_k||_p = ||F|| (\int_{G_k} |g|^q d\mu)^{1/p}$  and hence

$$\int_{G_k} |g|^{1+q/p} \, d\mu \le \|F\| (\int_{G_k} |g|^q \, d\mu)^{1/p},$$

hence, since 1 + q/p = q,

$$\|g\chi_{G_k}\|_q \le \|F\|$$

Letting  $k \to \infty$  and using the Monotone Convergence Theorem we thus have  $g \in L^q(\mu)$ . In the case when  $p = 1, q = \infty$  the argument is similar except that we use  $f_k = (\operatorname{sgn} g)|g|^Q \chi_{G_k}$ , where again  $G_k = \{x \in X : |g(x)| < k\}$ , where Q > 0 is arbitrary. Then using (2) as in the case p > 1 we get this time that

$$\int_{G_k} |g|^{1+Q} \, d\mu \le \|F\| \int_{G_k} |g|^Q \, d\mu$$

and by using Hölder to give  $\int_{G_k} |g|^Q d\mu \leq (\int_{G_k} |g|^{1+Q} d\mu)^{Q/(1+Q)} (\mu(G_k)^{1/(1+Q)})$  we obtain

$$\left(\int_{G_k} |g|^{1+Q} \, d\mu\right)^{1/(1+Q)} \le \|F\| \, \mu(X)^{1/(1+Q)}$$

and hence by letting  $Q \rightarrow \infty$  we get (see Q.2 of hw7)

$$\|g\chi_{G_k}\|_{\infty} \leq \|F\|, \quad k=1,2,\ldots,$$

and hence  $||g||_{\infty} < \infty$ . Thus in either case p = 1, p > 1 we have proved  $g \in \mathcal{L}^{q}(\mu)$ , and for any  $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p}(\mu)$  we can let  $\varphi = \varphi_{i}$  in (2) and use (3) to pass to the limit, giving

$$\int_X fg \, d\mu = F(\tilde{f}),$$

so indeed (in both cases p = 1, p > 1) we have  $F(\tilde{f}) = T_g(f)$ . This completes the proof in the case  $\mu(X) < \infty$ .

Case 2:  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Thus we assume  $\mu(X) = \infty$  and that there are p.w.d. sets  $B_1, B_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(B_j) < \infty$ . Then we can apply Case 1 to the measure space  $(B_j, \mathcal{A}_j, \mu_j)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_j = \{A \cap B_j : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  and  $\mu_j = \mu | \mathcal{A}_j$  and with  $F_j$  in place of F, where  $F_j(\tilde{f}) = F(\tilde{f}_j)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu_j)$ , where  $f_j$  the  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  function defined  $f_j | B_j = f$  and  $f_j | X \setminus B_j = 0$ . Thus there is  $g_j^0 \in \mathcal{L}^q(\mu_j)$  with  $\int_X f_j g_j d\mu = F(f_j)$ , where  $g_j | B_j = g_j^0$  and  $g_j | X \setminus B_j = 0$ . Thus

$$\int_X fg_j = F(\widetilde{\lambda_{B_j}f}), \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu), \ j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since the  $B_j$  are p.w.d. this can be written

$$\int_X f \,\chi_{B_j} g = F(\widetilde{\chi_{B_j} f}), \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu), \ j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $g|B_j = g_j$  for each j and  $g|X \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j) = 0$ , and by linearity this in turn gives

\*) 
$$\int_X f \chi_{\bigcup_{j=1}^N B_j} g = F(\widetilde{\chi_{\bigcup_{j=1}^N B_j}} f), \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu), \ N = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and (Cf. the argument used in Case 1) we then have

$$||g\chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{N}B_{i}}||_{q} \leq ||F||, \quad N = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and for  $q < \infty$  we can apply the monotone convergence theorem on the left to give

$$\|g\|_q \le \|F\| < \infty.$$

Of course the same is trivially true in the case  $q = \infty$  because  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j = X$ and hence  $\|g\chi_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} B_j}\|_{\infty} \to \|g\|_{\infty}$ . We can then let  $N \to \infty$  in (\*) to conclude  $F(f) = \int_X fg \, d\mu$ , so the proof is complete in Case 2.

Thus it remains to treat Case 3, the case when  $1 , <math>\mu(X) = \infty$ , and when no  $\sigma$ -finite hypothesis is assumed. To give the proof in this case we let

 $\mathcal{E} = \{ E \in \mathcal{A} : E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \text{ for some } E_j \in \mathcal{A} \text{ with } \mu(E_j) < \infty \forall j \}.$ 

Then for each  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  we can apply Case 2 above to the measure space  $(E, \mathcal{A}_E, \mu_E)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_E = \{A \cap E : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  and  $\mu_E(A) = \mu(A \cap E)$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , to give a  $g_E^0 \in \mathcal{L}^q(\mu_E)$  such that

$$\int_E fg_E^0 \, d\mu_E = F_E(\tilde{f}), \quad f \in L^p(\mu_E).$$

4

Riesz in  $L^p$ 

5

where  $F_E(\tilde{f}) = F(\tilde{f}_E)$ , with  $f_E \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  defined by  $f_E|E = f$  on E and  $f_E|X \setminus E = 0$ . Thus in fact

(‡) 
$$\int_X fg_E \, d\mu = F(\widetilde{\chi_E f}), \quad f \in L^p(\mu), E \in \mathcal{E}$$

where we use the notation  $g_E = g_E^0$  on E and  $g_E = 0$  on  $X \setminus E$  for each  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then as in Case 2 we have  $||g_E||_q \leq ||F||$  for each  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , so

$$\alpha = \sup_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \|g_E\|_q < \infty$$

and we can choose a sequence  $E_1, E_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{E}$  with  $||g_{E_i}||_q \to \alpha$ .

Now observe that  $E, H \in \mathcal{E}$  with  $E \subset H \Rightarrow g_H = g_E$  a.e. in E which is easily checked because (‡) implies that  $\int_E f(g_H - g_E) d\mu = 0$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ , so we can choose  $f = \operatorname{sgn}(g_H - g_E)|g_H - g_E|^{q/p}\chi_E$  (which is an  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  function), and hence (since 1 + q/p = q)

$$\int_E |g_H - g_E|^q = 0$$

Thus

$$E, H \in \mathcal{E} \text{ with } E \subset H \Rightarrow ||g_E||_q \le ||g_H||_q$$

with equality if and only if  $g_H = 0$  a.e. on  $X \setminus E$ . In particular  $||g_{E_j}||_q \to \alpha$ implies  $||g_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}E_j}||_q = \alpha$  and also  $H \in \mathcal{E}$  with  $H \supset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}E_j \Rightarrow g_H = 0$  a.e. on  $X \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}E_j)$ , otherwise we contradict the definition of  $\alpha$ . Since  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ evidently implies  $H_f = \{x \in X : |f(x)| \neq 0\} \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}E_j)$  is in the collection  $\mathcal{E}$ , we must then in particular have  $g_{H_f} = 0$  a.e. on  $X \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}E_j)$  and so, with  $g = g_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}E_j}$ ,

$$F(\tilde{f}) = \int_X fg \, d\mu \ \forall f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu),$$

and the proof is complete.  $\Box$