

Lab & More on Quantification

Ling 233B 1/29/02

Running Glue & XLE

1. In your ~/glue directory, invoke emacs
2. ESC-x shell
% to start up a shell
3. ./glue_image
% to start the prolog / glue process
4. | ?- go.
% type "go." at the prolog prompt to start the glue loop
5. Split the emacs window
6. ESC-x run-new-xle
% start the XLE in the other window
7. parse "Chris saw David"
% input to the XLE window
% will open up four new XLE windows displaying results.
8. On the lower left hand XLE window opened, select
Prove semantics

1

Getting Started

Copy the following files from the class directory to your own glue directory

```
cp /afs/ir/class/Linguist233b/prolog/semlex.pl ~/glue
A preliminary semantic lexicon
cp /afs/ir/class/Linguist233b/prolog/class.doc.txt ~/glue
Some documentation
```

The lexicon in semlex.pl contains some illustrative entries for proper names and intransitive verbs

2

Proper Name Entries

In semlex.pl you have the following entries

```
sem_template(name, _Name, LogicalConstant, true,
             sigma(~) ~-> LogicalConstant
             ).
```

```
lex_sem('Chris', chris, 'N', name).
```

The format of these entries is:

```
sem_template(<template name>,          lex_sem(<word form>,
<word form>,                          <semantic predicate>,
<semantic predicate>,                 <basic category>,
<structure description>,              <template name>).
<glue formula>).
```

3

4

Every entry must be terminated with a period.

Use of underscore for anonymous variables

Atoms beginning with capital letters must be quoted (otherwise they are interpreted as prolog variables)

5

Modifying and Loading Your Lexicon

Add new entries to your semlex.pl file

To load these new entries, first get to a prolog prompt

- Either hit Ctrl-C in the prolog window
- Or select "Break semantics" from the fstructure window commands

At the prolog prompt, enter

```
| ?- load_lex.
```

The lexicon compiler tries to produce some helpful warnings if the file contains errors (see class-doc.txt)

7

```
sem_template(v_intrans, _Verb, Pred, true,
              &(X, sigma(p:[^,'SUBJ']1) --> X -* sigma(^) +-> [Pred, X])
            ).
lex_sem(yawns, yaw'n, 'V', v_intrans).
```

- `&(X, ...)`
to represent universal quantification
- `sigma(^)`
to indicate the semantic projection of \uparrow
- `sigma(p:[^,'SUBJ']1)`
to indicate semantic projection of \uparrow 's SUBJ
- `p:[^,'SUBJ']` is a path expression
- Two typed meaning assignments
`r --> M: resource r has a type e(nntity) meaning M`
`r +-> M: resource r has a type t(ruth) meaning M`

6

Hint: VAR and RESTR

To represent VARs and RESTRs in semantic projections, try the following kind of glue formula

```
&(X, 'VAR'(sigma(^)) --> X
  -*
  'RESTR'(sigma(^)) +-> [man,X]
)
```

8

Quantification

Today

- Quantified NPs v. Proper Names
- Quantifier scope ambiguity

Next week

- View quantified NPs as modifiers

9

Digression: Type Raising

Can also treat proper names as functions looking for predicates, via type raising

$$\frac{[P : g \multimap f]^1 \quad \text{chris} : g}{P(\text{chris}) : f}$$

$$\frac{\lambda P.P(\text{chris}) : (g \multimap f) \multimap f \quad \text{yawm} : g \multimap f}{\text{yawm}(\text{chris}) : f} \text{ }^{-\text{OT}_1}$$

Type raising is often regarded as deeply mysterious.

In glue, it is just a consequence of the standard inference rules for implication

11

The Problem with Quantifiers

Meanings for proper names are straight forward
Entity denoting arguments to which predicates apply

$$\frac{\text{yawm} : g \multimap f \quad \text{chris} : g}{\text{yawm}(\text{chris}) : f}$$

But quantified NPs don't act as simple arguments
Someone yawmed \Rightarrow *some*(*X*, *person*(*X*), *yawm*(*X*))

Treat quantified NPs as functions looking for predicates as argument

$$\frac{\text{yawm} : g \multimap f \quad \lambda P.\text{some}(X, \text{person}(X), P(X)) : (g \multimap f) \multimap f}{\text{some}(X, \text{person}(X), \text{yawm}(X)) : f}$$

10

Scope Ambiguity: Everyone saw someone

Suppose we have the instantiated premises

- $\lambda x, y.\text{see}(x, y) : g \multimap (h \multimap f)$
- $\lambda P.\text{every}(X, \text{person}(X), P(X)) : (g \multimap f) \multimap f$
- $\lambda Q.\text{some}(Y, \text{person}(Y), Q(Y)) : (h \multimap f) \multimap f$

There are two distinct derivations of *f*

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{g \multimap (h \multimap f)}{h \multimap f} [g]^1}{h \multimap f} [h]^2}{f} \quad \frac{\frac{g \multimap (h \multimap f)}{h \multimap f} [g]^1}{h \multimap f} [h]^2}{f} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{g \multimap f}{h \multimap f} \text{ }^{-\text{OT}_1} (g \multimap f) \multimap f}{h \multimap f} \text{ }^{-\text{OT}_2} (h \multimap f) \multimap f}}{f} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{g \multimap f}{h \multimap f} \text{ }^{-\text{OT}_2} (h \multimap f) \multimap f}{h \multimap f} \text{ }^{-\text{OT}_1} (g \multimap f) \multimap f}}{f}}$$

12

One Derivation, with Meaning Terms

$$\frac{\lambda x, y. see(x, y) : g \rightarrow (h \rightarrow f) \quad [X' : g]^1}{\lambda y. see(X', y) : h \rightarrow f} \quad [Y' : h]^2$$

$$\frac{see(X', Y') : f}{\lambda X'. see(X', Y') : g \rightarrow f} \quad \rightarrow_{I,1}$$

$$\lambda X'. see(X', Y') : g \rightarrow f \quad \lambda P. every(X, person(X), P(X)) : (g \rightarrow f) \rightarrow f$$

$$every(X, person(X), see(X, Y')) : f$$

$$\lambda Y'. every(X, person(X), see(X, Y')) : h \rightarrow f$$

$$\lambda Q. some(Y, person(Y), Q(Y)) : (h \rightarrow f) \rightarrow f$$

$$some(Y, person(Y), every(X, person(X), see(X, Y')) : f$$

13

F-structure & Premises

$$f : \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{[PREP believe} \\ \text{SUBJ } g : \left[\text{[PREP everyone]} \\ \text{COMP } h : \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{[PREP yawm} \\ \text{OBJ } k : \left[\text{[PREP someone]} \right] \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right] \right]$$

- believe : $g \rightarrow (h \rightarrow f)$
- yawm : $k \rightarrow h$
- everyone : $VH_1. (g \rightarrow H_1) \rightarrow H_1$
- someone : $VH_2. (k \rightarrow H_2) \rightarrow H_2$

15

Scope Across Clauses
Every man believed a woman yawmed

Quantified NP “a woman” can scope over main or subordinate clause.

$$every(x, man(x), believe(x, some(y, woman(y), yawm(y))))$$

$$every(x, man(x), believe(x, yawm(y)))$$

$$some(y, woman(y), every(x, man(x), believe(x, yawm(y))))$$

To allow quantifiers to ‘float up’, glue formula universally quantifies over scope resource, e.g.

$$\lambda P. every(X, man(X), P(X)) : VH. (g \rightarrow H) \rightarrow H$$

where H can be any (well, almost any!) semantic resource serving as the nuclear scope of the quantifier

14

Derivations

every(x, man(x), believe(x, some(y, woman(y), yawm(y))))

$$\frac{k \rightarrow h \quad \frac{VH_2. (k \rightarrow H_2) \rightarrow H_2}{(k \rightarrow h) \rightarrow h}}{h} \quad [g] \quad \frac{g \rightarrow (h \rightarrow f)}{h \rightarrow f}$$

$$\frac{f}{g \rightarrow f} \quad \frac{VH_1. (g \rightarrow H_1) \rightarrow H_1}{(g \rightarrow f) \rightarrow f}$$

$$f$$

some(y, woman(y), every(x, man(x), believe(x, yawm(y))))

$$\frac{k \rightarrow h \quad [k] \quad [g] \quad \frac{g \rightarrow (h \rightarrow f)}{h \rightarrow f}}{h} \quad \frac{VH_1. (g \rightarrow H_1) \rightarrow H_1}{(g \rightarrow f) \rightarrow f}$$

$$\frac{f}{g \rightarrow f} \quad \frac{VH_2. (k \rightarrow H_2) \rightarrow H_2}{(k \rightarrow f) \rightarrow f}$$

$$f$$

16

A Non-Derivation

If the “someone” quantifier can float up, why can’t the “everyone” quantifier float down?

Why prevents us from deriving the ill-formed meaning

believe(x, some(y, woman(y)),
every(x, man(x), yawm(y)))

The quantifier meaning constructor

everyone : $VH. (g \multimap H) \multimap H$

says: freely choose a scope H , **provided that H depends on g .**

The subordinate clause cannot be a scope for the main clause subject, since its meaning does **not** depend on the main clause subject.

17

e and t Resources

To get scoping right, we need to restrict possible scopes to semantic resources that correspond to propositional meanings.

We thus sort all semantic resources into two types:

- t -resources (truth value denoting)
- e -resources (entity denoting)

The quantified variable, H in *everyone* : $VH. (g \multimap H) \multimap H$ needs to be restricted to range over only t -resources.

Note that g is an e -resource.

From the glue formula $(g_e \multimap H_t) \multimap H_t$
we can read of the type of the meaning expression: $((e, t), t)$.

Experiment: see what happens of you allow the variable H to range over type e resources.

To float the main clause subject down, we would have to be able to take a derivation step

$$\frac{\frac{[k]}{h} \quad k \multimap h}{h} \quad \frac{VH. (g \multimap H) \multimap H}{(g \multimap h) \multimap h}}{h}$$

There is no way to do this