Introduction to Information Retrieval

CS276 Information Retrieval and Web Search Chris Manning and Pandu Nayak Crawling and Duplicates

Today's lecture

- Web Crawling
- (Near) duplicate detection

Basic crawler operation

- Begin with known "seed" URLs
- Fetch and parse them

luction to Information Retrieva

- Extract URLs they point to
- Place the extracted URLs on a queue
- Fetch each URL on the queue and repeat



Simple picture – complications

- Web crawling isn't feasible with one machine
 All of the above steps distributed
- Malicious pages

on to Information Retri

- Spam pages
- Spider traps incl dynamically generated
- Even non-malicious pages pose challenges
 - Latency/bandwidth to remote servers vary
 - Webmasters' stipulations
 - How "deep" should you crawl a site's URL hierarchy?
 Site mirrors and duplicate pages
- Politeness don't hit a server too often

What any crawler must do

- Be <u>Robust</u>: Be immune to spider traps and other malicious behavior from web servers
- Be <u>Polite</u>: Respect implicit and explicit politeness considerations

Explicit and implicit politeness

- Explicit politeness: specifications from webmasters on what portions of site can be crawled
 - robots.txt
- <u>Implicit politeness</u>: even with no specification, avoid hitting any site too often

Robots.txt

- Protocol for giving spiders ("robots") limited access to a website, originally from 1994
 - www.robotstxt.org/robotstxt.html
- Website announces its request on what can(not) be crawled
 - For a server, create a file / robots.txt
 - This file specifies access restrictions

Robots.txt example

uction to Information Retrieve

 No robot should visit any URL starting with "/yoursite/temp/", except the robot called "searchengine":

```
User-agent: *
Disallow: /yoursite/temp/
```

User-agent: searchengine Disallow:

What any crawler should do

- Be capable of <u>distributed</u> operation: designed to run on multiple distributed machines
- Be <u>scalable</u>: designed to increase the crawl rate by adding more machines
- <u>Performance/efficiency</u>: permit full use of available processing and network resources

What any crawler should do

ction to Information Retriev

- Fetch pages of "higher <u>quality</u>" first
- <u>Continuous</u> operation: Continue fetching fresh copies of a previously fetched page
- <u>Extensible</u>: Adapt to new data formats, protocols



URL frontier

- Can include multiple pages from the same host
- Must avoid trying to fetch them all at the same time
- Must try to keep all crawling threads busy





Introduction to Information Retrieval Sec. 20.2.2 DNS (Domain Name Server) A lookup service on the internet Given a URL, retrieve its IP address Service provided by a distributed set of servers – thus, lookup latencies can be high (even seconds) Common OS implementations of DNS lookup are blocking: only one outstanding request at a time Solutions DNS caching Batch DNS resolver – collects requests and sends them out together

Parsing: URL normalization

- When a fetched document is parsed, some of the extracted links are *relative* URLs
- E.g., <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Main_Page</u> has a relative link to /wiki/Wikipedia:General_disclaimer which is the same as the absolute URL <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:General_disclaimer</u>
- During parsing, must normalize (expand) such relative URLs

Content seen?

- Duplication is widespread on the web
- If the page just fetched is already in the index, do not further process it
- This is verified using document fingerprints or <u>shingles</u>
 - Second part of this lecture

Filters and robots.txt

- <u>Filters</u> regular expressions for URLs to be crawled/not
- Once a robots.txt file is fetched from a site, need not fetch it repeatedly
 - Doing so burns bandwidth, hits web server
- Cache robots.txt files

Duplicate URL elimination

- For a non-continuous (one-shot) crawl, test to see if an extracted+filtered URL has already been passed to the frontier
- For a continuous crawl see details of frontier implementation

Distributing the crawler

duction to Information Retrieva

uction to Information Retrieva

- Run multiple crawl threads, under different processes – potentially at different nodes
 Geographically distributed nodes
 - Geographically distributed hodes
- Partition hosts being crawled into nodesHash used for partition
- How do these nodes communicate and share URLs?



URL frontier: two main considerations

- Politeness: do not hit a web server too frequently
- <u>Freshness</u>: crawl some pages more often than others
 - E.g., pages (such as News sites) whose content changes often
- These goals may conflict with each other.
- (E.g., simple priority queue fails many links out of a page go to its own site, creating a burst of accesses to that site.)

Politeness – challenges

- Even if we restrict only one thread to fetch from a host, can hit it repeatedly
- Common heuristic: insert time gap between successive requests to a host that is >> time for most recent fetch from that host









on to Information Retrievo



- sequence to be described): picks a front queue from which to pull a URL
- This choice can be round robin biased to queues of higher priority, or some more sophisticated variant
 - Can be randomized





Back queue heap

- One entry for each back queue
- The entry is the earliest time t_e at which the host corresponding to the back queue can be hit again
- This earliest time is determined from
 - Last access to that host
 - Any time buffer heuristic we choose

Back queue processing

- A crawler thread seeking a URL to crawl:
- Extracts the root of the heap
- Fetches URL at head of corresponding back queue q (look up from table)
- Checks if queue q is now empty if so, pulls a URL v from front queues
 - If there's already a back queue for v's host, append v to it and pull another URL from front queues, repeat
 - Else add v to q

Introduction to

Information Retrieval

Near duplicate

document detection

• When *q* is non-empty, create heap entry for it

Number of back queues B

- Keep all threads busy while respecting politeness
- Mercator recommendation: three times as many back queues as crawler threads

Duplicate documents

on to Information Retrieval

- The web is full of duplicated content
- Strict duplicate detection = exact match
 Not as common
- But many, many cases of near duplicates
 - E.g., Last modified date the only difference between two copies of a page

Duplicate/Near-Duplicate Detection

- Duplication: Exact match can be detected with fingerprints
- Near-Duplication: Approximate match
 - Overview
 - Compute syntactic similarity with an edit-distance measure
 - Use similarity threshold to detect near-duplicates
 E.g., Similarity > 80% => Documents are "near duplicates"
 - Not transitive though sometimes used transitively

Computing Similarity

- Features:
 - Segments of a document (natural or artificial breakpoints)
 - <u>Shingles</u> (Word N-Grams)
 - a rose is a rose is a rose → 4-grams are

a_rose_is_a rose_is_a_rose is_a_rose_is

a_rose_is_a

- Similarity Measure between two docs (= <u>sets of shingles</u>)
 - Jaccard cooefficient: (Size_of_Intersection / Size_of_Union)



Introduction to Information Retrieval Sec. 19.6 Sketch of a document • Create a "sketch vector" (of size ~200) for each document • Documents that share ≥ t (say 80%) corresponding vector elements are deemed near duplicates • For doc D, sketch_D[i] is as follows: • Let f map all shingles in the universe to 1...2^m (e.g., f = fingerprinting) • Let π_i be a random permutation on 1..2^m • Pick MIN {π_i(f(s))} over all shingles s in D • Documents s in D













Random permutations

uction to Information Retrieval

- Random permutations are expensive to compute
- Linear permutations work well in practice
 - For a large prime p, consider permutations over $\{0,\,\ldots,\,p-1\}$ drawn from the set:

$$\mathcal{F}_{p} = \{\pi_{a,b} : 1 \le a \le p - 1, 0 \le b \le p - 1\}$$
 where

 $\pi_{a,b}(x) = ax + b \mod p$

Final notes

tion to Information Retr

- Shingling is a randomized algorithm
 - Our analysis did not presume any probability model on the inputs
 - It will give us the right (wrong) answer with some probability on any input
- We've described how to detect near duplication in a pair of documents
- In "real life" we'll have to concurrently look at many pairs
 - See text book for details