

Networking

Networking

Computers alone - interesting
Computer connected to each other -- very interesting.
Communication may be the ultimate application

Theme: Babel vs. Standards

To solve networking, also need to solve all the differences: different operating systems, different programs, different data formats -- babel.
Use standards, mutually agreed formats, protocols, etc., to enable any computer to talk to any other computer.

Units -- Bits, Bytes

Bits -- K, MB

"1k" = ~1000 bytes = ~1000 characters
1 page text = 2k
small image = 10k (compressed format, such as GIF or JPEG)
screen size image = 100k
"1 Meg" = ~1000k (aka "1 MB")
Typical computer program 1-3 Megs
"1 Gig" = 1000 Meg

Exponential Price Drops

The exponential price decrease of "bit handling" hardware is pretty impressive.

AKA "Moore's law"

In 2002, a hard drive costs \$100 for a 40 GB drive --> \$0.0025/MB. Was \$0.005/MB in 2001, \$0.05/MB in 1999.

In 2002, memory costs \$0.29/MB. Was \$0.42/MB in 2001, \$1.5 /MB in 2000, \$3/MB in 1999.

They're just bits -- This is why, for example, a free email service like Hotmail is a possible business --> it's just bits, and they're cheap and getting cheaper all the time.

Bandwidth

"Bandwidth" -- bits per second (Kbps, Mbps, Gbps) used by EE types. It's easy to confuse millions-of-bits-per-second Mbps with meagabytes-per-second MBps. It's unfortunate that "bit" and "byte" begin with the same letter. Lowercase 'b' suggests "bits".

Bytes per second (K per second, MB per second) used by software types. Figure roughly 10 bits per byte when converting to allow for overhead and so the math is easy. Uppercase "B" suggests "bytes".

Bandwidth is like the diameter of the pipe -- how much can it carry?

Latency

"Latency" -- the delay it takes for a usable unit of information to get from the source to the destination. The time required for a "dialog" type protocol between two computers can be largely bound by latency rather than bandwidth, even though bandwidth is the more frequently quoted figure.

Ping-pong "roundtrip" -- e.g. a simple ping-pong type dialog between two computers (send:"hi I'm Alice"... respond:"Hi, I'm Bob") will take at least 2x the latency, no matter how fast the bandwidth is.

Latency is like the length of the pipe -- how long to get from one end to the other?

"It's the latency, stupid"

<http://rescomp.stanford.edu/~cheshire/rants/Latency.html>

Article by Stuart Cheshire: latency is at times more important and is generally harder to improve than bandwidth

Road-trip example

Load up your car with 1000 DVD-R disks of data (1000 x 5GB = 5000 GB). Drive it from SF to NYC. in 3 days = $86400 \times 3 = 259200$ seconds.

Bandwidth = 19MB/second = not bad. Latency = 3 days = terrible.

Could use 80 GB tapes to increase bandwidth, but won't help with latency.

Current Technologies

Typical phone line / modem type application = 53 Kbps = ~5K / sec

Cable Modem -- 500kbps -- 50k / sec

Digital Subscriber Loop (DSL) 500kbps -- 50k / sec (The local phone company monopolies have not been a good thing for development in this area -- fortunately the Cable companies scared them.)

10T Ethernet connection. Uses a 10Mbps signaling. Ideal case 2 computers in a room. May approach 900k/sec. Around 500k/sec more likely, with reductions the more traffic (from other computers) the ethernet must carry at the same time. Latency can approach 1 thousandth of second -- 1 ms.

USB 12Mbps -- 1.2MB/sec. USB 2.0 goes to 480 Mbps -- 48 MB/sec

Standard SCSI -- 5MB/ sec

Fast SCSI -- 10MB/sec (a current 9 GB hard drive can just about saturate this on sustained reads. Hard drives have latencies on the order of 10ms.)

Ultra Wide SCSI -- 40MB/sec

Ultra2 Wide SCSI -- 80MB/sec

ATA/IDE hard drive interfaces 66-100 MB/sec (current hard drives cannot generally provide data that fast)

Firewire, aka IEEE1394, 400Mbps -- 40MB/sec (800 Mbps and 1.6Gbps are rumored)

Fast Ethernet 100T (5-10MB/sec) and 1000T (50-100MB/sec) are increasingly in use. Capacity depends very much on how much "sharing" is going on the

network link and the ability of the computers involved to keep the pipe stuffed with data.

Serial vs. Parallel hardware. Paradoxically, the fastest future directions appear to be serial based. (Cheaper cables, remove the difficulty of keeping the parallel signals in synch.)

Copper can go up to around 1 Gbps. Wire quality, shielding, and length all matter. (There are 1 Gbps ethernet standards for both copper and fiber, but copper versions can't span very far -- say 100 Meters)

Fiber optic can go to around (in the lab) 1000 Gbps. Or 10^{12} bps -- on the order of a million simultaneous channels of video. More expensive than copper, but getting cheaper. A little harder to install, has fancier connectors, can't go around sharp bends. Current fiber standards run around 5 Gbps.

Data Rates

1 hour stereo CD = 600 MB (not compressed)

Uncompressed CD sound bandwidth = 160k/sec (16 bit samples, 44kHz, stereo)

1 hour of MP3 sound = 60 MB (1 MB/minute) (compressed, sounds almost as good as CD)

MP3 sound bandwidth = 15k / sec (depends very much on compression settings)

Uncompressed video is on the order of 20MB/sec -- video compresses very, very well

Compressed VHS video = ~1.5M bps = 150k bytes / second. (this is how those little satellite TV dishes work). Also, how Tivo works.

Basic Networking

Network Basics

Call

Depends on some addressing scheme -- "namespace" for the computers

Send/Receive

Copy bytes from the sender to the receiver

Technologies

Switched circuit

Packets

Old Style: Circuit Switched

Old

Old Phone Company Way

Phone calls are not necessarily handled this way any more, but the switched circuit concept will come up later.

Call

The "call" operation sets up an end-to-end connection.

In the old days, this was a wire connection all the way between the phones.

Good

Latency and bandwidth available don't vary during the call -- important for some applications --e.g. live 2-way video stream.

If the call is long, the set up cost is relatively cheap since it's a 1-time cost amortized over all the traffic for the call

"Routing" during the call is not hard -- all done up front

Bad

If the call is short, the setup is relatively costly

The call is using those resources, even during periods of silence -- potentially overall inefficient.

The switching logic is centralized into the "center"

LANs

Local Area Network -- computers in physical proximity. 200 computers within 1/4 mile radius -- one floor of a building scale.

Problem: want to get bytes from one computer to another -- place a "call" to send some data from one computer to another.

WAN

Wide Area Network -- connect separate LANs

New Style: Packet Switched

A "packet" is a relatively small bundle of bytes (like a small file) — perhaps 1k in size.

The source divides the data to be sent into a series of relatively small packets.

A typical text email message takes up a few packets. Audio takes a stream of thousands of packets.

A packet is made of "header" information for routing + "body" (also known as "payload") of bytes to be transmitted. The body can contain, text, voice, video....the transport system is independent of the purpose.

Each packet starts at the source and is communicated, possibly through several routers or other layers (we'll get to those details a little later), to the destination.

The packets are re-assembled at the destination to re-form the original data.

Packet Analysis

"bursty"

The rate at which the packets arrive at the destination will tend to be "bursty" and a little unreliable: silence, then 5 packets show up in one group, more silence, 1 packet, silence, 8 packets, ...

Fine for text, web pages

Bad for real time telephone, video

Q: how do you do audio or video? Related Q: why is there a 1 second delay when you start up your CD player? One solution: buffer up enough data to smooth out the bursts. This is how RealAudio and Quicktime work.

Good

Potentially efficient: the packets from many concurrent connections can share resources along the way. Over time, the silence in one connection is utilized by traffic from another.

Ship Container analogy

Suppose you are sending stuff from SF to Hawaii

Circuit switched: we reserve you an entire container. You put your stuff in it.

There tends to be unused space in the container.

Packets: you give your stuff to the shipper. The shipper packs your stuff along with the stuff of others into the containers. All the containers on the ship are full.

vs. Connection

Compare to "connection oriented":. Large startup cost to build a dedicated "connection".. Inefficient use of the silent periods in the conversation. Efficient if we are talking 100% of the time. Latency and bandwidth are predictable.

Routing

Route all packets independently (TCP/IP) vs. route all packets along per-connection assigned path (ATM). Disadvantage that each packet has its own routing computation. However, tends to use the overall resources efficiently since bursty traffic can interleave.

Point: Different from and typically more efficient than a telephone connection

Point: Efficient = **cheap**

QOS

Quality of Service (QOS) extensions to packet communication -- try to guarantee latency/bandwidth for some of the traffic. Complicates routing. TCP/IP does not do a good job currently with QOS.

Ethernet

LAN Technology

First, we'll look at a common LAN technology.

Then, we'll zoom out to see how the whole Internet is built up from LAN pieces.

Broadband Ethernet LAN -- One of the Cleverest things ever

(editorial) An admirably elegant way to cheaply connect a group of computers -- original design credited to Bob Metcalfe at Xerox PARC.

Original design was for a radio based network -- read the story in Accidental Empires, by Robert X Cringely.

All computers on the LAN have an address

There is one wire, and all the computers are connected to it -- they share the wire -- only one computer is supposed to transmit at once.

Much simpler than the "central hub" shape of a telephone type network. Simpler = cheaper.

All computers listen to the wire at all times

Ethernet uses a single cable as the shared medium

Could use the same sort of strategy with any shared medium -- e.g. a radio frequency

Ethernet Protocol

Sender divides their message into small "packets" of, say, around 1000 bytes.

Every packet has the address of the recipient in its header.

The sender listens, waiting for a period of silence.
 When there's a period of silence, sender broadcasts the packet
 Everybody listens all the time -- ignoring packets not for them
 Sometimes two transmission overlap, and so the packets "collide" and get garbled. This can happen because (speed of light and all that) both senders can start sending before each other's signals have propagated down the wire to each other. The network card can usually detect this collisions and so knows to stop transmitting.
 Have a "wait/re-transmit" protocol to re-send packets.: wait a random amount of time before retransmitting to avoid colliding with the same other transmitter again. The randomness is part of what makes ethernet a little unpredictable in terms of performance, especially latency.

Ethernet Conclusions

Shared

there's just the one wire and everybody uses it

Distributed and cooperative

no central control -- surprisingly decentralized

Insecure

not too hard to listen and pick up packets not intended for you

Random

Performance degrades as more computers use the shared medium

A little unpredictable how long it will take to get a message through

Compare to the telephone system "connection" oriented service -- connection services is more predictable but typically less efficient overall.

Works very well overall

The uncertainty of ethernet has proved to not be a big practical problem.

Ethernet works so well that it's irresistible. IBM's competing "Token Ring" technology provides less uncertain traffic -- the rumor goes that that's why "uncertainty" was getting so much press as an important problem. IBM did not like using Xerox technology, so had to think of a reason to promote their technology.

Ethernet Features

Less with more -- a basically great design

Cooperative / Decentralized -- no "center"

Insecure

Unpredictable

You can't really say what the effective latency or bandwidth will be for an ethernet transmission -- the collisions make it random.

This could be a problem for delivering video smoothly.

This could be a problem for networking the control system of an airplane where delays are not acceptable.

Ethernet Variations

10-T with hub

Arrange the network in star configuration with a hub in the middle and arms radiating out. Max length of an arm is 100 meters.

The hub may just be a dumb repeater that copies whatever it hears on one arm to the other arms.

Normally, all 10-t connections are with "straight through" cables. Use a "cross-over" cable, to connect two 10-t computers to each other directly with no hub. At Fry's, cross-over cables are bright orange.

You can daisy-chain hubs to get more capacity -- connect the new hub by its "uplink" port to a regular port on an existing hub.

Smart Hub - "Switch"

Or, a fancier hub can isolate all the arms from each other. Only putting traffic on an arm that is destined for that arm. Storing temporarily the traffic for an arm until the arm is available (silent).

Putting in a smarter hub can increase the capacity of the whole LAN.

The notion of "collision" may go away with a smart enough hub and its star topology.

100T

Similar to 10-T, but signals at 100 Mbps instead of the old 10Mbps. Also, 100-t is capable of using 2 sets of wires in the cable to allow transmission in both directions at once ("full-duplex").

1000-T (gigabit ethernet) exists, but is a bit exotic at present. It may be approaching the limit of what copper wires are good for.

The Internet

Books

The Internet Book, by Douglas Comer..\$32. A good, not-too-technical guide on how the Internet works.

Internet Core Protocols, by Eric Hall. O'Reilly, 2000. \$40. A more detailed, low-level discussion of TCP/IP for the curious.

"Balkanized" LAN picture: pre-Internet

Several different LANs which are not compatible in both hardware and software: token ring, wireless, ethernet, ATM, ...

Different cabling, name space, and packet formats.

The computers on each LAN speak their own language and the languages are not compatible. -- "Balkanized" or "Babel"

Vendors: Balkanize is default

It's very easy to get stuck in the balkanized state since it is the natural state for the vendors. Microsoft makes each piece of their technology work with other Microsoft technology. IBM, Intel, Apple, Oracle, etc. all do the same. It's an obvious strategy.

Network Effect

Each vendor is trying to help itself and hurt the others by "network effect".

Network effect is the value something creates by interoperating with the

largest number of other devices. It has been postulated that the network effect curve is a concave-up n -squared type. So each vendor wants to make their network-effect large while making the other vendor's small. We'll study network effects more later. (It is impossible to understand the Internet without understanding network effect incentives.)

TCP/IP Standard

Deal with heterogeneity -- everything different
Provide a single, standard language everyone can use
Very successful

TCP/IP has taken over the world. It's a standard, and its "network effect" has beaten out all the better-funded, proprietary/vendor-specific protocols. There's a lesson there.

TCP/IP -- On Top of LAN

TCP/IP allows two computers to communicate.

The two computers must be connected by some LAN technology -- ethernet, wireless, etc.

TCP/IP works on top of the basic LAN technology. The IP packets will be sent inside the LAN packets.

TCP/IP vs. LAN

Computers use the universal TCP/IP language to communicate with other computer.

Computers use their local LAN language at a lower level to exchange bytes with immediate neighbors.

Routers

To understand the Internet, want to define the idea of a router -- a device that connects two LANs

The router must be able to communicate on both the LANs -- it will translate between the two

Listen to packets on both sides

Retransmit packets on one LAN from the other when necessary -- eg. when the sender is on one LAN, and the recipient is on the other

1. Naming Standard: IP Address

IP Addr

IP address :4 bytes: 24.13.45.123 (4 billion total addresses)

Like a phone number

Every host and router on the Internet has its own IP address which uniquely identifies it for sending/receiving packets. (We will introduce NAT later which allows computers to share IP addrs.)

Subnet vs. Host

The left, parts of the IP address identify the neighborhood (subnet), and the right numbers identify the host in that subnet . For example the machines in my office have IP addresses 171.64.64.171, and 171.64.64.250. The left three

numbers are the same because the machines are in the same subnet. That subnet is known as 171.64.64.0. The hosts there have addresses in the range 171.64.64.1 to 171.64.64.255. In this case, the left 3 bytes are the subnet part of the address. However, networks can be set up with the subnet taking up more or less of the IP address.

Note: move a host to a different subnet, it will need a different IP address (just like phone numbers if you move towns). This is inconvenient for portable computers -- they need different IP addresses in different locations.

2. Packet Standard: IP Datagram

A standard format for a packet

Contains the IP address of the source and destination, the data, and some other miscellaneous routing information.

Classic standards problem: boring, but you really need all the computers to just agree on one.

3. Routing Standard: TCP

Problem

Suppose I send a packet to some IP address (250.5.3.240) -- how does my local router know how to forward it on?

It is not the case that the router knows where every other computer in the world is.

Next Hop

Each router has a table of "next hops" -- where to forward a packet based on its subnet.

Typically, a router will know about a few subnets that are near it, and other traffic will be forwarded to a "superior" router that knows more and is more connected than the local router.

A routing table giving the next hop for various net numbers might 50,000 rows.

"Pass the buck" strategy

"Best Effort" Delivery

The routers will try to forward the packet to the destination, but it's not guaranteed.

No Global Picture

No router has a global, end-to-end picture of the route a datagram should take.

Decentralized - NOT a central super Yellow Pages for the whole world.

There's a hierarchy of routers, each responsible for keeping track of routing and addresses in its local area.

Router Protocol

The routers are constantly talking to each other to collectively decide which routes are best. They can dynamically adjust things as congestion appears or if a link or router goes down.

It's a fascinating area, but 193i will focus on higher level services that use TCP/IP -- take CS244 for a more detailed view TCP/IP implementation.

TCP/IP in action

1. IP datagram
 - Sender formats the data as a standard IP datagram.
 - The datagram has the IP address of the sender and the ultimate recipient.
2. Send to router
 - Send the IP datagram to the closest router
 - Send the datagram **inside** whatever sort of packet the physical LAN uses. The sender and router are on the same LAN -- use whatever sort of packet that LAN provides
3. Route - hop hop hop
 - The router unpacks the datagram, looks at the IP address of the destination, and sends it on one further hop to a router one closer to the destination.
4. Final router
 - Eventually it gets to a router that is on the same physical LAN as the destination. That last router forwards it on its last hop to the recipient.

IP Key Points

IP Addresses

Standard namespace for computers participating in TCP/IP

IP Datagram

Standard packet format for TCP/IP communication


Uses IP addresses for sender and recipient

Sent inside LAN packets for each hop

IP "Best Effort Delivery"

For the most part, IP is about hopping a single IP datagram to the destination -- more complex communication is built with TCP (below).

IP Datagram Routing -- Hops



Routing of the packet
 -- router by router --
 hop by hop

IP Routing Hierarchy

Local vs. Backbone

There are two vague directions -- "downstream" or "local" towards networks smaller than the ones connected to the router, and "upstream" or "backbone" towards larger networks

Up Then Down

