**Exercise 1 (Penalization method)** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(M, \|\cdot\|_M)$  two Hilbert spaces. We denote by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)_M$  the scalar products associated to the norms  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$ . For  $f \in X'$  and  $g \in M'$ , we are interested in the solution of the following problem: search for  $(u, p) \in X \times M$  such that for all  $(v, q) \in X \times M$ :

$$(P) \begin{cases} a(u,v) + b(v,p) = < f, v >, \\ b(u,q) = < g, q >. \end{cases}$$

We assume that  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  et  $b(\cdot, \cdot)$  are bilinear continuous forms on  $X \times X$  and  $X \times M$  respectively. We assume there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that:

$$\forall q \in M, \exists v \in X, v \neq 0, b(v,q) \ge \beta \|v\|_X \|q\|_M.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

and that  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  is  $\alpha$ -coercive.

Let  $c(\cdot, \cdot)$  be a bilinear continuous and  $\gamma$ -coercive form on  $M \times M$  and let  $C \in \mathcal{L}(M, M')$  be defined by

$$\langle Cp, q \rangle = c(p,q), \quad \forall p,q \in M.$$

We define analogously operator A and B associated to  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $b(\cdot, \cdot)$  respectively.

## 1) Prove that problem (P) is well-posed.

Let V = Ker B. *a* is coercive on  $X \times X$ , thus also on  $V \times V$ . Moreover, *b* satisfies the inf-sup condition because of Eq. (1). Therefore Problem (P) is well-posed.

For  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , we consider the following problem: find  $(u_{\varepsilon}, p_{\varepsilon}) \in X \times M$ such that for all  $(v, q) \in X \times M$ ,

$$(P_{\varepsilon}) \begin{cases} a(u_{\varepsilon}, v) + b(v, p_{\varepsilon}) &= < f, v >, \\ -\varepsilon c(p_{\varepsilon}, q) + b(u_{\varepsilon}, q) &= < g, q >. \end{cases}$$

2) Prove that  $(P_{\varepsilon})$  is equivalent to finding  $(u_{\varepsilon}, p_{\varepsilon}) \in X \times M$  such that

$$a(u_{\varepsilon}, v) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} < C^{-1}Bu_{\varepsilon}, Bv > = < f, v > +\frac{1}{\varepsilon} < C^{-1}g, Bv >, \forall v \in X(2)$$
$$p_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}C^{-1}(Bu_{\varepsilon} - g).$$
(3)

 $\forall q \in M,$ 

$$-\epsilon c(p_{\epsilon},q) = \langle g,q \rangle - b(u_{\epsilon},q)$$
$$-Cp_{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\epsilon}g - \frac{1}{\epsilon}Bu_{\epsilon}$$
$$p_{\epsilon} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon}C^{-1}g + \frac{1}{\epsilon}C^{-1}Bu_{\epsilon}.$$

C is invertible since c is coercive on  $M \times M$  with constant  $\gamma > 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{array}{lll} b(v,p_{\epsilon}) &=& < B^{T}p_{\epsilon}, v > \\ &=& \frac{1}{\epsilon} < B^{T}C^{-1}Bu_{\epsilon}, v > -\frac{1}{\epsilon} < B^{T}C^{-1}g, v > \\ &=& \frac{1}{\epsilon} < C^{-1}Bu_{\epsilon}, Bv > -\frac{1}{\epsilon} < C^{-1}g, Bv > \end{array}$$

3) Prove that the problem of the previous question has a unique solution. Is condition (1) necessary to prove that result?

Defining  $a_{\epsilon}(u, v) = a(u, v) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} < C^{-1}Bu, Bv >, a_{\epsilon}$  is coercive:

$$a_{\epsilon}(u,u) = a(u,u) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} < C^{-1}Bu, Bu >$$
  
$$= a(u,u) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} < q, Cq >$$
  
$$\geq \alpha \|u\|_X^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\epsilon} \|q\|_M^2$$
  
$$\geq \alpha \|u\|_X^2,$$

where q is defined by Cq = Bu.

Moreover,  $a_{\epsilon}$  is continuous since,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\epsilon}(u,v) &= a(u,v) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} < q, Bv > \\ &\leq \|a\| \|u\|_X \|v\|_X + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|B\| \|q\|_M \|v\|_X \\ &\leq \|a\| \|u\|_X \|v\|_X + \frac{\|B\|^2}{\epsilon\gamma} \|u\|_X \|v\|_X \end{aligned}$$

where the following inequality has been used

$$\gamma \|q\|_M^2 \le < Cq, q > = < Bu, q > \le \|B\| \|q\|_M \|u\|_X$$

Moreover, the right hand side belongs to X'. From the Lax-Milgram theorem, this problem is well-posed. Note that the inf-sup condition is not necessary here.

## 4) Prove the following inequalities:

$$\|p_{\varepsilon} - p\|_M \le \frac{\|a\|}{\beta} \|u_{\varepsilon} - u\|_X,\tag{4}$$

$$a(u_{\varepsilon} - u, u_{\varepsilon} - u) \le \varepsilon \frac{\|a\| \|c\|}{\beta} \|u_{\varepsilon} - u\|_X \|p\|_M,$$
(5)

Starting from

$$\begin{cases} Au_{\varepsilon} + B^T p_{\varepsilon} = f, \\ Bu_{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon C p_{\varepsilon} = g \end{cases}$$
(6)

and

$$\begin{cases} Au + B^T p = f, \\ Bu = g, \end{cases}$$
(7)

 $A(u - u_{\varepsilon}) + B^{T}(p - p_{\varepsilon}) = 0. \text{ using the inf-sup condition,}$  $\|B^{T}(p - p_{\varepsilon})\|_{X'} \ge \beta \|p - p_{\varepsilon}\|_{M}.$ 

$$|B^T(p-p_{\varepsilon})||_{X'} \ge \beta ||p-p_{\varepsilon}||_M.$$

 $\mathbf{As}$ 

$$\begin{split} \|A(u-u_{\varepsilon})\|_{X'} &\leq \|A\| \|u-u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X} = \|a\| \|u-u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X}.\\ \|p-p_{\varepsilon}\|_{M} &\leq \frac{\|a\|}{\beta} \|u-u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X}.\\ \text{Since } A(u_{\varepsilon}-u) + B^{T}(p_{\varepsilon}-p) = 0, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} < A(u_{\varepsilon} - u), u_{\varepsilon} - u > & = & \\ & = & \\ & = & + \end{array}$$

since  $\langle p - p_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon C(p_{\varepsilon} - p) \rangle \geq 0$ ,

$$< A(u_{\varepsilon} - u), u_{\varepsilon} - u > \le \\ \le \varepsilon \|c\| \|p - p_{\varepsilon}\|_{M} \|p\|_{M} \\ \le \frac{\varepsilon \|a\| \|c\|}{\beta} \|u_{\varepsilon} - u\|_{X} \|p\|_{M}$$

Thus,

$$\|u - u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X} \le \frac{\varepsilon \|a\| \|c\|}{\alpha \beta} \|p\|_{M}.$$

5) Conclude that there exists  $C_2 > 0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$||u - u_{\varepsilon}||_{X} + ||p - p_{\varepsilon}||_{M} \le C_{2}\varepsilon \left(||f||_{X'} + ||g||_{M'}\right).$$
(8)

We know that

$$\|p\|_{M} \leq \frac{\|a\|}{\beta^{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\|a\|}{\alpha}\right) \|g\|_{M'} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(1 + \frac{\|a\|}{\alpha}\right) \|f\|_{X'}.$$

Thus

$$||u - u_{\varepsilon}||_X \le C_1 \varepsilon (||f||_{X'} + ||g||_{M'})$$

and

$$||p - p_{\varepsilon}||_M \le \frac{||a||}{\beta} C_1 \varepsilon (||f||_{X'} + ||g||_{M'})$$

therefore,

$$||u - u_{\varepsilon}||_X + ||p - p_{\varepsilon}||_M \le C_2 \varepsilon \left(||f||_{X'} + ||g||_{M'}\right)$$

6) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Consider the problem: find  $(u_{\varepsilon}, p_{\varepsilon}) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^3 \times L_0^2(\Omega)$  such that:

$$\begin{cases} -\nu \Delta \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} p_{\varepsilon} = f, \\ p_{\varepsilon} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon}. \end{cases}$$
(9)

Prove that this problem is well-posed and that, when  $\varepsilon$  goes to 0, its solution goes to the solution of a problem to be determined.

Applying the result from question 1 with  $A = -\nu\Delta$ ,  $B = -\operatorname{div}$ , C = Id and g = 0, the problem is well-posed.

According to question 5,  $u_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{H_0^1} u$  and  $p_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{L^2} p$  where (u, p) is solution to the Stokes problem.

7) If  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $c(\cdot, \cdot)$  are symmetric, prove that (9) can be written as a minimization problem. Discuss the advantages and the drawbacks of the resolution of this problem.

From

$$-\nu\Delta u_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\nabla\operatorname{div} u_{\varepsilon} = f$$

one can define

$$J(u) = \frac{\nu}{2} \int |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int |\operatorname{div} u|^2 - \int fu.$$

From the Lax-Milgram theorem,

$$J(u_{\varepsilon}) = \inf J(u).$$

Resolution of Eq. (9) does not need the inf-sup condition. (On the other hand, convergence of  $u_{\varepsilon}$  to u and  $p_{\varepsilon}$  to p need the inf-sup condition). The presence of  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$  deteriorates the condition number of the problem and may result in the locking phenomena.

**Exercise 2 (Augmented Lagrangian method)** Let  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with M < N. We denote by  $|\cdot|$  the Euclidian norm in  $\mathbb{R}^M$  or  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  the associated scalar product. Let A be a  $N \times N$  symmetric positive definite matrix and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Let B a full-rank matrix  $M \times N$ . Define  $\mathcal{L}$  from  $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^M$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  by:

$$\mathcal{L}(v,q) = J(v) + (q, Bv)$$

with  $J(v) = \frac{1}{2}(Av, v) - (b, v)$ . Lets denote by (u, p) a saddle-point of  $\mathcal{L}$ : for all  $(v, q) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^M$ :  $\mathcal{L}(u, q) \leq \mathcal{L}(u, p) \leq \mathcal{L}(v, p)$ . Let r > 0, lets define

$$\mathcal{L}_r(v,q) = \mathcal{L}(v,q) + \frac{r}{2}|Bv|^2.$$

We define the sequences  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  as follows. Let  $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^M$ . For  $n \ge 0$ , assuming  $p_n$  is known, we compute  $u_n \in \mathbb{R}^N$  solution to

$$\mathcal{L}_r(u_n, p_n) \le \mathcal{L}_r(v, p_n), \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$
(10)

then, we set

$$p_{n+1} = p_n + \rho_n B u_n,\tag{11}$$

where  $\rho_n$  is a given positive number. We assume that  $\forall n, 0 < \alpha \leq \rho_n \leq 2r$ , where  $\alpha$  is given. We set  $\delta u_n = u - u_n$  and  $\delta p_n = p - p_n$ .

1) Show that (10) is equivalent to

$$(A + rB^T B)u_n + B^T p_n = b$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{r}(v, p_{n}) = \mathcal{L}(v, p_{n}) + \frac{r}{2}|Bv|^{2} = \frac{1}{2}(Av, v) - (b, v) + (p_{n}, Bv) + \frac{r}{2}|Bv|^{2}.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ .

$$\mathcal{L}_r(v+\epsilon w, p_n) - \mathcal{L}_r(v, p_n) = \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{2}(Aw, v) + \frac{1}{2}(Av, w) - (b, w) + (p_n, Bw) + r(B^T Bv, w)\right).$$

Since A is symmetric

$$\mathcal{L}_r(v + \epsilon w, p_n) - \mathcal{L}_r(v, p_n) = \epsilon \left( (Av, w) - (b, w) + (B^T p_n, w) + r(B^T Bv, w) \right).$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{L}'_{r}(v, p_{n}).w = (Av, w) - (b, w) + (B^{T}p_{n}, w) + r(B^{T}Bv, w)$$

and if  $u_n$  is a global minimum in the open set  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , necessarily

$$\mathcal{L}'_r(u_n, p_n).w = 0, \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^N \Leftrightarrow Au_n - b + B^T p_n + rB^T Bu_n.$$

## 2) Show that

$$|\delta p_n|^2 - |\delta p_{n+1}|^2 = 2\rho_n(A\delta u_n, \delta u_n) + \rho_n(2r - \rho_n)|B\delta u_n|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta p_n|^2 - |\delta p_{n+1}|^2 &= |\delta p_n|^2 - |\delta p_n - \rho_n B u_n|^2 \\ &= 2(\rho_n B u_n, \delta p_n) - \rho_n^2 |B u_n|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since Bu = 0,

$$|\delta p_n|^2 - |\delta p_{n+1}|^2 = -2(\rho_n B \delta u_n, \delta p_n) - \rho_n^2 |B u_n|^2$$

Moreover,

$$Au + B^T p = b$$

and

$$Au_n + B^T p_n = b - rB^T Bu_n.$$

Therefore,

$$B^T \delta p_n = -A \delta u_n + r B^T B u_n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta p_n|^2 - |\delta p_{n+1}|^2 &= -2(\rho_n \delta u_n, -A\delta u_n + rB^T B u_n) - \rho_n^2 |B u_n|^2 \\ &= 2\rho_n (A\delta u_n, \delta u_n) - 2\rho_n r(\delta u_n, B^T (-B\delta u_n)) - \rho_n |B\delta u_n|^2 \\ &= 2\rho_n (A\delta u_n, \delta u_n) + \rho_n (2r - \rho_n) |B\delta u_n|^2 \end{aligned}$$

3) Show that  $\delta p_n$  converges. Deduce that  $u_n \to u$  and  $p_n \to p$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Since  $2r - \rho_n > 0$ , and A is symmetric positive definite,  $|\delta p_n|^2 - |\delta p_{n+1}|^2 \le 0$  and thus the sequence  $|\delta p_n|^2$  is decreasing and lower bounded by zero. Therefore it converges.

Since

$$(A + rB^T B)\delta u_n = -B^T \delta p_n$$

and the matrix  $(A + rB^TB)$  is symmetric definite positive (SPD), thus invertible,  $\delta u_n$  converges as well.

Since  $2\rho_n(A\delta u_n, \delta u_n) + \rho_n(2r - \rho_n)|B\delta u_n|^2$  converges to zero and is the sum of two positive terms, each term converges to zero. In particular  $|2\rho_n(A\delta u_n, \delta u_n)| \ge |2\alpha(A\delta u_n, \delta u_n)| > 0$  converges to zero and so does  $\delta u_n$  since A is SPD. Thus  $u_n \to u$ .

Going back to

$$B^T \delta p_n = -(A + rB^T B) \delta u_n$$

since B is of full-rank  $M, B^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$  is injective and  $\delta p_n \to 0$ , thus  $p_n \to p$ .

4) Show that any saddle point of  $\mathcal{L}$  is a saddle point of  $\mathcal{L}_r$  and conversely.

• Let (u, p) be a saddle point of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Thus,

$$Au + B^T p = b, \quad Bu = 0.$$

Letting  $(v,q) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^M$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_r(u,q) = \mathcal{L}(u,q) \le \mathcal{L}(u,p) = \mathcal{L}_r(u,p) \le \mathcal{L}(v,p) \le \mathcal{L}_r(v,p).$$

(u, p) is a saddle point of  $\mathcal{L}_r$ .

• Let  $(u_r, p_r)$  be a saddle point of  $\mathcal{L}_r$ . We showed that

$$(A + rB^T B)u_r = b - B^T p_r.$$

Since  $\mathcal{L}_r(u_r, q) \leq \mathcal{L}_r(u_r, p_r), \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R}^M,$ 

$$(q, Bu_r) \le (p_r, Bu_r), \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R}^M$$

Necessarily, choosing  $q \neq 0$  and -q shows that  $Bu_r = 0$ .

Then  $Au_r = b - B^T p_r$ . These two equalities show that  $(u_r, p_r)$  is a saddle point for  $\mathcal{L}$  as well.