Exercise 1 (1D Petrov-Galerkin for advection-diffusion) Consider the following problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\nu u^{\prime \prime}+\beta u^{\prime}=1, \quad \text { in } \Omega=(0,1)  \tag{1}\\
& u(0)=u(1)=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu$ and $\beta$ are two positive constants.

1) Give a physical interpretation of the different terms in (1). $-\nu u^{\prime \prime}$ is a diffusion term, $\beta u^{\prime}$ an advection term and the right-hand side 1 is a source term.

## 2) Compute the exact solution to (1).

Integrating the ODE once leads to

$$
-\nu u^{\prime}+\beta u=x+C
$$

with $C$ being a constant to be determined by the boundary conditions. The associated homogeneous differential equation $\nu u^{\prime}+\beta u=0$ can be integrated as:

$$
u(x)=A \exp \left(\frac{\beta x}{\nu}\right)
$$

Using the method of variation of the constant, we are looking for a solution of the non-homogeneous ODE under the form:

$$
u(x)=A(x) \exp \left(\frac{\beta x}{\nu}\right)
$$

One finds

$$
A(x)=\frac{1}{\beta} \exp \left(-\frac{\beta x}{\nu}\right)\left(x+\frac{\nu}{\beta}+C\right)+D
$$

where $D$ is as constant. The two boundary conditions give the values of the constants:

$$
C=-\frac{1}{\exp \left(\frac{\beta}{\nu}\right)-1}-\frac{\nu}{\beta}
$$

and

$$
D=-\frac{1}{\beta\left(\exp \left(\frac{\beta}{\nu}\right)-1\right)}
$$

Therefore, the exact solution to (1) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\frac{1}{\beta}\left(x-\frac{\exp \left(\frac{\beta x}{\nu}\right)-1}{\exp \left(\frac{\beta}{\nu}\right)-1}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

3) Plot the exact solution for $\beta=1, \nu=1,0.1$ and 0.01 . Note the boundary layer in $x=1$. Propose an estimation of the thickness of this boundary layer as a function of $\nu$ and $\beta$ when $\beta / \nu$ is large. The exact solution is reported in Figure 1.


Figure 1: Exact solution of the ODE for various values of $\nu$

The thickness of the boundary layer $\delta=1-x^{\star}$ verifies $u^{\prime}\left(x^{\star}\right)=0$. Since

$$
u^{\prime}\left(x^{\star}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta}\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\nu} \frac{\exp \left(\frac{\beta x^{\star}}{\nu}\right)}{\exp \left(\frac{\beta}{\nu}\right)-1}\right)
$$

$x^{\star}$ verifies:

$$
x^{\star}=\frac{\nu}{\beta}\left(\ln \left(\frac{\nu}{\beta}\right)+\ln \left(\exp \left(\frac{\beta}{\nu}\right)-1\right)\right)
$$

when $\beta / \nu$ is large,

$$
x^{\star} \sim \frac{\nu}{\beta} \ln \left(\frac{\nu}{\beta}\right)+1
$$

and

$$
\delta \sim-\frac{\nu}{\beta} \ln \left(\frac{\nu}{\beta}\right)
$$

The larger $\nu$, the thicker the boundary layer.
4) Propose a variational formulation of the equation and show that the problem is well-posed in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

Considering the Hilbert Space $\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),\|\cdot\|_{1}\right)$, a variational formulation of the equation can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu \int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime} v^{\prime} d x+\beta \int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime} v d x=\int_{0}^{1} v d x, \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is the exact solution to the problem.
Let $a(u, v)$ denote the bilinear form:

$$
a(u, v)=\nu \int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime} v^{\prime} d x+\beta \int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime} v d x
$$

$a$ is continuous since $\forall u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ :

$$
|a(u, v)| \leq \nu\left(\left|\int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}+\frac{\beta}{\nu} v\right) d x\right|\right)
$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|a(u, v)| & \leq \nu \sqrt{\int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime 2} d x} \sqrt{\int_{0}^{1}\left(v^{\prime}+\frac{\beta}{\nu} v\right)^{2} d x} \\
& \leq \nu\|u\|_{1} \sqrt{\int_{0}^{1}\left(v^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\nu^{2}} v^{2}\right) d x} \\
& \leq \nu \max \left(1, \frac{\beta}{\nu}\right)\|u\|_{1}\|v\|_{1} \\
& \leq \max (\nu, \beta)\|u\|_{1}\|v\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

using the property $\int_{0}^{1} v v^{\prime} d x=0$. This shows the continuity. Let $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(v, v) & =\nu \int_{0}^{1} v^{\prime 2} d x+\beta \int_{0}^{1} v v^{\prime} d x \\
& =\nu \int_{0}^{1} v^{\prime 2} d x+\frac{\beta}{2}\left[v^{2}\right]_{0}^{1} \\
& =\nu \int_{0}^{1} v^{\prime 2} d x \\
& \geq \frac{\nu}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} v^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{C_{\Omega}} \int_{0}^{1} v^{2} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

using Poincare's inequality. Therefore:

$$
a(v, v) \geq \min \left(\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2 C_{\Omega}}\right)\|v\|_{1}^{2}
$$

which shows the coercivity of $a$.
The conditions of Lax-Milgram are met and the well-posedness is proved.
We wish to approximate this problem with the $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ finite element on a uniform mesh with step $h=1 /(N+1)$. We denote by $\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{N}\right)$ the classical hat function basis of $V_{h}=X_{h}^{1} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. The approximate solution $u_{h}$ is solution to the problem: find $u_{h} \in V_{h}$ such that for all $v_{h} \in V_{h}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(u_{h}, v_{h}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} v_{h} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

5) Introduce the Peclet number:

$$
\gamma=\frac{h \beta}{\nu}
$$

and compute the matrix associated to problem (4).

$$
\left[\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{i}^{\prime} \phi_{j}^{\prime}\right]=\frac{1}{h}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & -1 & & & (0) \\
-1 & \ddots & \ddots & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & -1 \\
(0) & & & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\left[\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{i}^{\prime} \phi_{j}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \frac{1}{2} & & & (0) \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \ddots & \ddots & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{1}{2} \\
(0) & & & -\frac{1}{2} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The matrix associated to the problem is therefore:

$$
A=\frac{\nu}{h}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & -1+\frac{\gamma}{2} & & & (0) \\
-1-\frac{\gamma}{2} & \ddots & \ddots & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & -1+\frac{\gamma}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

6) Simulations in Matlab. Take $\beta=1, h=0.01$ and plot the solutions to (4) for values of $\nu$ corresponding to $\gamma=1$ and $\gamma=5$. Try different values of $\gamma$ to determine an instability threshold. What is the meaning of this threshold for the matrix of the problem?

The right-hand side vector is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\int_{0}^{1} & \phi_{i}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
h \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
h
\end{array}\right]
$$

The solutions for values of $\nu$ corresponding to $\gamma=1$ and $\gamma=5$ are reported in Figure 2.

The instability threshold is found for $\gamma^{\star}=2$.
REMARK: This corresponds to the value of $\gamma$ for which the matrix is no longer an $M$-matrix. The discrete maximum principle is violated.

Definition: A matrix $A=\left[a_{i, j}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is said to be an $M$-matrix if

- It is invertible and all the entries of $A^{-1}$ are non-negative.
- All its non-diagonal elements $a_{i, j}, i \neq j$ are non-positive.

Property 1: (Continuous maximum principle) The maximum principle for the advection-diffusion equation $-\nu u^{\prime \prime}+\beta u=f$ on a bounded domain $x \in \Omega$ holds, that is:

$$
f(x) \geq 0 \Rightarrow u(x) \geq 0 .
$$

Property 2: (Discrete maximum principle) Let $A_{h} U_{h}=b_{h}$ be a linear system associated with a $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ finite element formulation of the advection diffusion $-\nu u^{\prime \prime}+\beta u=f$ with $f(x) \geq 0$. Then, if $A_{h}$ is an $M$-matrix, the solution $u_{h}$ of the associated variational formulation satisfies $u_{h}(x) \geq 0$.


Figure 2: Numerical solution of the Galerkin-based $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ Finite Element formulation for various values of $\gamma$

Proof: Noticing first that $f \geq 0, b_{h}^{j}=\int_{0}^{1} f \phi_{j} d x \geq 0$. Furthermore, $A_{h}$ is invertible and since it is an $M$-matrix

$$
U_{h}=A_{h}^{-1} b_{h} \geq 0 .
$$

$u_{h} \geq 0$ holds since $u_{h}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} U_{h}^{j} \phi_{j}(x)$.
A similar inequality exists for the upper bound of the right-hand side, ensuring that the solution remains bounded, and large oscillations cannot occur.
7) Let $b:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $b(0)=b(1)=0$ and $b(\xi)>0$ on $(0,1)$ ( $b$ is called a bubble function). We propose to replace the test function space $V_{h}$ by another space $W_{h}$, while keeping the same space $V_{h}$ to look for the solution. We define $W_{h}$ spanned by the functions $\psi$ defined by:

$$
\psi_{i}=\phi_{i}+ \begin{cases}b\left(\frac{x-x_{i-1}}{h}\right), & x \in\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right] \\ -b\left(\frac{x-x_{i}}{h}\right), & x \in\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]\end{cases}
$$

where $x_{i}=i h$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ node of the mesh. Choose an arbitrary bubble function $b$ and plot the basis function $\psi_{i}$. Comment.

Two arbitrary bubble functions are chosen here:

$$
b_{1}(x)=x(1-x), \quad b_{2}(x)=\sin (\pi x)
$$

The two corresponding basis functions are reported in Figure 3 as well as $\phi_{i}$. The functions $\psi_{i}$ give more weight "upstream" than "downstream". (here $\beta>0)$.
8) Consider the problem: search for $\bar{u}_{h} \in V_{h}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\bar{u}_{h}, v_{h}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} v_{h}, \quad \forall v_{h} \in W_{h} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Compute the matrix associated to this problem. Remark: The approach consisting of choosing different spaces for the solution and the test functions is referred to as the Petrov-Galerkin method.

$$
\bar{A}=\left[\nu \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{j}^{\prime} \psi_{i}^{\prime}+\beta \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{j}^{\prime} \psi_{i}\right]
$$



Figure 3: Respective basis functions corresponding to the two bubble functions $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$,

- The diffusive terms are not affected by the bubble function:

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{j}^{\prime} \psi_{i}^{\prime}= \pm \frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\phi_{i}^{\prime} \pm b^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{j}^{\prime} \phi_{i}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{1} b^{\prime}=\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{j}^{\prime} \phi_{i}^{\prime}+0
$$

- The advective terms are modified.

The matrix associated to the problem is then:

$$
\bar{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 \frac{\nu_{h}}{h} & \frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{\nu_{h}}{h} & & & (0) \\
-\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{\nu_{h}}{h} & \ddots & \ddots & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{\nu_{h}}{h} \\
(0) & & & -\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{\nu_{h}}{h} & 2 \frac{\nu_{h}}{h}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with

$$
\nu_{h}=\nu+\beta h \int_{0}^{1} b(x) d x
$$

Defining a Peclet number $\gamma_{h}=\frac{h \beta}{\nu_{h}}$, the matrix can be written as

$$
\bar{A}=\frac{\nu_{h}}{h}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & -1+\frac{\gamma_{h}}{2} & & & (0) \\
-1-\frac{\gamma_{h}}{2} & \ddots & \ddots & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & -1+\frac{\gamma_{h}}{2} \\
(0) & & & -1-\frac{\gamma_{h}}{2} & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

9) Show that the matrix resulting from the Petrov-Galerkin method (5) is the same as that resulting from the Galerkin method (4) up to the modification of the diffusion coefficient. Show that problem (5) is equivalent to searching for $\bar{u}_{h} \in V_{h}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{h}\left(\bar{u}_{h}, v_{h}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} v_{h}, \quad \forall v_{h} \in V_{h} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{h}$ is a bilinear form which depends on $h$.

$$
a_{h}\left(u_{h}, v_{h}\right)=\nu_{h} \int_{0}^{1} u_{h}^{\prime} v_{h}^{\prime}+\beta \int_{0}^{1} u_{h}^{\prime} v_{h}
$$

10) How to choose $\int_{0}^{1} b$ as a function of $\gamma$ such that problem (6) is always stable.

In order for the matrix to be an $M$-matrix, a necessary condition is:

$$
\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{\nu_{h}}{h}<0
$$

that is

$$
\int_{0}^{1} b>\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\gamma}
$$

11) Simulation in Matlab. Take $\beta=1, h=0.01$, a bubble function of your choice. Plot the solution to (6) for $\gamma=1$ and $\gamma=5$. Comment.

The right-hand side vector is still:

$$
\left[\int_{0}^{1} \psi_{i}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
h \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
h
\end{array}\right]
$$

The bubble function $b_{2}$ verifies

$$
\int_{0}^{1} b_{2}=\frac{2}{\pi}>\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{5}
$$

The corresponding solutions are reported in Figure 4. There are no more oscillations for $\gamma=5$.
12) Prove and comment the following error estimate:

$$
\left|u-\bar{u}_{h}\right|_{1} \leq\left(1+\frac{\|a\|}{\nu}\right) \inf _{w_{h} \in V_{h}}\left|u-w_{h}\right|_{1}+\frac{1}{\nu} \inf _{w_{h} \in V_{h}} \sup _{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{\left|a\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)-a_{h}\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)\right|}{\left|v_{h}\right|_{1}}
$$

Hint: First notice that, generally speaking, if $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is $\alpha$-coercive, one always have:

$$
\alpha\|u\|_{X} \leq \sup _{v \in X} \frac{a(u, v)}{\|v\|_{X}}
$$

Next prove:

$$
\nu\left|u_{h}-w_{h}\right|_{1} \leq \sup _{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{a_{h}\left(u_{h}-w_{h}, v_{h}\right)}{\left|v_{h}\right|_{1}}
$$



Figure 4: Numerical solution of the Petrov-Galerkin-based $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ Finite Element formulation for various values of $\gamma$
and

$$
a_{h}\left(\bar{u}_{h}-w_{h}, v_{h}\right)=a\left(u-w_{h}, v_{h}\right)+a\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)-a_{h}\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)
$$

If $a$ is $\alpha$-coercive

$$
\alpha\|u\|_{X}^{2} \leq a(u, u)
$$

implies that

$$
\alpha\|u\|_{X} \leq \frac{a(u, u)}{\|u\|_{X}} \leq \sup _{v \in X} \frac{a(u, v)}{\|v\|_{X}}
$$

Since:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{h}\left(v_{h}, v_{h}\right) & =\nu_{h} \int_{0}^{1} v_{h}^{\prime 2} \\
& \geq \nu\left|v_{h}\right|_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$a_{h}$ is $\nu$-coercive for the norm $|\cdot|_{1}$. Therefore, if $u_{h}, w_{h} \in V_{h}$ :

$$
\nu\left|u_{h}-w_{h}\right|_{1} \leq \sup _{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{a_{h}\left(u_{h}-w_{h}, v_{h}\right)}{\left|v_{h}\right|_{1}}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{h}\left(\bar{u}_{h}-w_{h}, v_{h}\right) & =a_{h}\left(\bar{u}_{h}, v_{h}\right)-a_{h}\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right) \\
& =\left\langle 1, v_{h}\right\rangle-a_{h}\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right) \\
& =a\left(u, v_{h}\right)-a_{h}\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right) \\
& =a\left(u-w_{h}, v_{h}\right)+a\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)-a_{h}\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for any $w_{h} \in V_{h}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u-\bar{u}_{h}\right|_{1} & \leq\left|u-w_{h}\right|_{1}+\left|w_{h}-\bar{u}_{h}\right|_{1} \\
& \leq\left|u-w_{h}\right|_{1}+\frac{1}{\nu} \sup _{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{\left|a_{h}\left(\bar{u}_{h}-w_{h}, v_{h}\right)\right|}{\left|v_{h}\right|_{1}} \\
& \leq\left|u-w_{h}\right|_{1}+\frac{1}{\nu} \sup _{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{\left|a\left(u-w_{h}, v_{h}\right)\right|+\left|a\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)-a_{h}\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)\right|}{\left|v_{h}\right|_{1}} \\
& \leq\left|u-w_{h}\right|_{1}+\frac{\|a\| \mid}{\nu}\left|u-w_{h}\right|_{1}+\frac{1}{\nu} \sup _{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{\left|a\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)-a_{h}\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)\right|}{\left|v_{h}\right|_{1}} \\
& \leq\left(1+\frac{\|a\|}{\nu}\right)\left|u-w_{h}\right|_{1}+\frac{1}{\nu} \sup _{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{\left|a\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)-a_{h}\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)\right|}{\left|v_{h}\right|_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this is valid for any $w_{h} \in V_{h}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u-\bar{u}_{h}\right|_{1} \leq \inf _{w_{h} \in V_{h}}\left(\left(1+\frac{\|a\|}{\nu}\right)\left|u-w_{h}\right|_{1}+\frac{1}{\nu} \sup _{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{\left|a\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)-a_{h}\left(w_{h}, v_{h}\right)\right|}{\left|v_{h}\right|_{1}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The error is bounded by two terms: the first term is proportional to the distance from the the solution $u$ to the subspace $V_{h}$ and the second terms is the error due to Petrov-Galerkin formulation: the solution $\bar{u}_{h}$ verifies a variational formulation in $a_{h}$ instead of $a$.

