# Thinking Recursively Part Four 

## Announcements

- Assignment 2 due right now.
- Assignment 3 out, due next Monday, April $30^{\text {th }}$ at 10:00AM.
- Solve cool problems recursively!
- Sharpen your recursive skillset!


## A Little Word Puzzle

"What nine-letter word can be reduced to a single-letter word one letter at a time by removing letters, leaving it a legal word at each step?"

## Shrinkase Words

- Let's call a word with this property a shrinkable word.
- Anything that isn't a word isn't a shrinkable word.
- Any single-letter word is shrinkable
- A, I, O
- Any multi-letter word is shrinkable if you can remove a letter to form a word, and that word itself is shrinkable.
- So how many shrinkable words are there?


## Recursive Backtracking

- The function we wrote last time is an example of recursive backtracking.
- At each step, we try one of many possible options.
- If any option succeeds, that's great! We're done.
- If none of the options succeed, then this particular problem can't be solved.


## Recursive Backtracking

if (problem is sufficiently simple) \{ return whether or not the problem is solvable
\} else \{
for (each choice) \{ try out that choice. if it succeeds, return success.
\}
return failure
\}

## Failure in Backtracking
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## Failure in Backtracking

- Returning false in recursive backtracking does not mean that the entire problem is unsolvable!
- Instead, it just means that the current subproblem is unsolvable.
- Whoever made the call to this function can then try other options.
- Only when all options are exhausted can we know that the problem is unsolvable.


## Extracting a Solution

- We now have a list of words that allegedly are shrinkable, but we don't actually know how to shrink them!
- Could we somehow have our function tell us if there's a solution?


## Output Parameters

- An output parameter (or outparam) is a parameter to a function that stores the result of that function.
- Caller passes the parameter by reference, function overwrites the value.
- Useful if you need to return multiple values.
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## CHeMoWIZrDy

- Some words can be spelled using just element symbols from the periodic table.
- Given a word:
- Can you spell it out using just element symbols?
- If so, what does it look like?


## RhHeCuRhSiON

- BaSe CaSe:
- The empty string can be spelled using just element symbols.
- RhHeCuRhSiV STeP:
- For each 1-, 2-, or 3-letter prefix:
- If that prefix is an element symbol, then check if the rest of the word is spellable.
- If so, then the original word is spellable too.
- Otherwise, no option works, so the word isn't spellable.


## Revisiting an Old Problem

## Buying Cell Towers
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Maximize what's left in here.

Revisiting our Solution

## Introduction to Algorithmic Analysis

## The Call Tree



## Counting Recursive Calls

- Let $n$ be the number of cities.
- Let C(n) be the number of function calls made.
- If $n=0$, there is just one call, so $\mathrm{C}(0)=1$.
- If $n=1$, there is just one call, so $C(1)=1$.
- If $n \geq 2$, we have the initial function call, plus the two recursive calls. So $\mathrm{C}(n)=1+\mathrm{C}(n-1)+\mathrm{C}(n-2)$.


## Counting Recursive Calls

- $\mathrm{C}(0)=\mathrm{C}(1)=1$.
- $\mathrm{C}(n)=\mathrm{C}(n-1)+\mathrm{C}(n-2)$
- This gives the series

$$
\begin{gathered}
1,1,3,5,9,15,25,41,67,109,177,287, \\
465,753,1219,1973,3193,5167, \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

- This function grows very quickly, so our solution will scale very poorly.
- Neat mathematical aside - these numbers are called the Leonardo numbers.
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We're doing completely unnecessary work! Can we do better?

## What Just Happened?

- Remember what values we've computed so far.
- New base case: If we already computed the answer, we're done.
- When computing a recursive step, record the answer before we return it.
- This is called memoization.
- No, that is not a typo - there's no "r" in memoization.


## Memoization

- Memoization is useful if
- you make a large number of recursive calls
- with exactly the same arguments.
- Not a "silver bullet" to speed things up, but when applicable can have huge performance implications.


## Memoized Recursion



## Next Time

- Algorithmic Analysis
- How can we predict the behavior of an algorithm on inputs we haven't seen?
- How can we quantitatively rank algorithms against one another?

